

Asistencia e Inserción Laboral para Jóvenes en Francia

Sector(s): Mercado Laboral

Ubicación: 10 regions throughout France

Muestra: 57,000 personas en búsqueda de trabajo entre 18-30 años de edad

Grupo objetivo: Job seekers

Resultado de interés: Employment

Tipo de intervención: Job counseling Recruitment and hiring

Socios Implementadores: Centre de recherche en économie et statistique (CREST), Pôle Emploi, Département de l'Animation de la Recherche des Etudes et des Statistiques (DARES)

Problema de política pública

Francia tiene una gran población que por largo tiempo busca trabajo, especialmente entre las generaciones jóvenes. Para mitigar este problema, el gobierno proporciona servicios de inserción de empleo, ayudando a que los empleadores se relacionen con los que buscan empleo. A pesar de la presencia de esta red de seguridad social, el desempleo juvenil sigue siendo alto y aún aquellos graduados de universidades a menudo tienen dificultad para encontrar trabajo. Algunos han sugerido que habría que implementar formas de asesoría y apoyo laboral en una forma más intensa, tales como las que proporcionan algunas firmas privadas en Francia, de manera de mejorar la eficiencia y la coincidencia del encuentro entre empleadores y empleados. Sin embargo, no se conoce la efectividad relativa entre operadores públicos y privados. ¿Si las agencias de empleo privadas están dando fuertes incentivos para encontrar un empleo a largo plazo a los postulantes a empleo, mejorarán estas los resultados del mercado laboral?

Contexto de la evaluación

El gobierno francés opera una oficina nacional de empleo "Pôle Emploi" que reúne a los postulantes a empleos con potenciales empleadores, así como también proporciona prestaciones y orientación profesional a desempleados. Este programa fue implementado en 10 regiones de Francia para 57,000 jóvenes que actualmente buscan empleo a través de la oficina estatal Pôle Emploi. La población objetivo incluyó individuos desde los 18 hasta los 30 años de edad que tuvieran un título universitario y estuvieran desempleados por más de 6 meses. Debido a que la muestra de estudio era tan grande, estos postulantes a empleos debían ser representativos de personas jóvenes desempleadas a través de Francia.



A job counseling session in Paris, France. Photo: Aude Guerrucci | J-PAL/IPA

Detalles de la intervención

A randomized evaluation of this program was carried out from 2007 to 2010, covering 235 communities in ten regions throughout France. The goal of the evaluation was to assess whether offering job counseling created a displacement effect among job seekers who do not receive counseling, and how large this effect might be. Nearly 30,000 young, educated job seekers were randomly selected to be part of the evaluation. These youth were generally in their mid-twenties, college-educated (they possessed at least a two-year degree), and had not found stable work for at least six months. Nearly two-thirds of the sample consisted of women. Because the job seekers had spent very little time working, 67 percent were not receiving unemployment benefits at the time of the study.

The evaluation used a two-step randomization approach: first, randomly assigning the proportion of job seekers who would receive intensive counseling in a given community, and then randomly assigning job seekers in each treated community into the treatment or comparison group. Individuals assigned to the treatment group were put in contact with a private agency for intensive job counseling lasting a year, while those in the comparison group continued to have the option of receiving standard job counseling from Pôle Emploi. Since both treatment and comparison individuals were eligible to receive counseling through Pôle Emploi, this evaluation measures the impact of supplementing public employment counseling with more intensive counseling from a private provider.

1. *Intensive job counseling with private firms:* Private counseling firms (both for-profit and non-profit) received the names of job seekers and invited them to participate in a two-stage counseling program. The first stage focused on finding long-term employment (lasting at least six months) and the second focused on stabilizing them in their new job. The government did

not prescribe a specific counseling structure, but it provided the agencies with incentives—up to €2,100 per person counseled—for meeting specific outcome targets: enrolling an eligible job seeker in the program, finding that job seeker a job contract of at least six months, and having that job seeker still employed six months after entering the job.

2. *Proportion of local job seekers receiving counseling:* Researchers varied the proportion of eligible youth who were offered counseling within each community from 0%, 25%, 50%, 75%, up to 100% to examine the effects of having more or fewer people receiving counseling in a local job market. If job counseling for some displaces others from jobs, then one would expect to see higher unemployment among youth who were not offered counseling in communities where some youth received intensive counseling.

Resultados y lecciones de la política pública

Only 35 percent of the treatment group enrolled in the intensive counseling program. This low take-up may have been because nearly half of the participants already had some form of employment when they were assigned to receive counseling. Take-up of intensive counseling services was higher among people who were not working, had less advanced degrees, and were receiving government unemployment benefits.

Individuals in the treatment group found work more quickly than those in the comparison group in the same areas, but these gains disappeared after twelve months. After eight months, job seekers in the treatment group were 2.5 percentage points more likely to have found long-term employment, an effect that was mostly driven by men. Increases in employment were also driven by job seekers who found fixed-term contracts, and there was no increase in permanent employment contracts. However, all employment gains disappeared after twelve months and individuals in the treatment group were no more likely to be employed than those in the comparison group.

Providing intensive job counseling created a displacement effect, lowering the employment rate among the comparison group individuals who lived in treatment areas. In treatment areas, job seekers who were offered counseling were 2.5 percentage points more likely to have found long-term employment after eight months than their peers who did not receive counseling. However, these peers were 2.1 percentage points less likely to have found long-term employment than job seekers in areas where no one received counseling. The net effect of being offered the program is, therefore, small and insignificant.

The low overall impact of being offered intensive counseling is partly due to the relatively low take-up of the program. Since only 35 percent of those offered intensive counseling chose to enroll, the direct benefit on those who took up the program was essentially compensated by the displacement effects on the job seekers who were assigned to the treatment group but did not enroll.

Displacement effects were strongest in places where job seekers eligible for the program were competing for a smaller pool of jobs. In treatment areas with extremely competitive job markets, the employment rate among the comparison group individuals was lower by 7.7 points than the employment rate in areas where no treatment was offered at all.

Intensive job counseling in France helped young graduates find work slightly faster than their peers who did not receive counseling in the same areas but did not increase their employment rates in the long term. This evaluation found no evidence for the presence of a “stepping stone” effect, where a fixed duration job in the short run can lead to a permanent position.

Positive impacts for individuals who participate in a program do not necessarily indicate positive impacts for the population as a whole. Even if the beneficiaries of intensive job counseling are more likely to find employment, their success may come at the expense of other job seekers with whom they compete in the labor market. In programs that have low take-up, this displacement effect may be large enough to result in almost zero effect on beneficiaries as compared to others not affected by the program at all.

It is possible to empirically test large-scale labor market programs and generate evidence that can guide national policies. Most existing evaluations of active labor market policies compare treated and untreated workers within the same communities, ignoring

possible spillover effects. Without a large-scale, market-level evaluation, this study would have concluded that intensive job counseling has a positive impact in the short run; it would not have been possible to know whether a displacement effect existed and whether it outweighed the benefits to individuals who participated in the program.

"L'Accompagnement des jeunes diplomes demandeurs d'emploi par des operateurs prives de placement: les enseignements d'une evaluation." 2011. Dares Analyses 094: 1-14. Crepon, Bruno, Esther Duflo, Marc Gurgand, Roland Rathelot, and Philippe Zamora. 2013. "Do Labor Market Policies Have Displacement Effect? Evidence From a Clustered Random Experiment." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 128(2): 531-580.