

Providing Female Garment Workers with Soft Skills Training for Professional Advancement in Bangladesh

Researchers:

Hannah Uckat

Christopher Woodruff

Sector(s): Gender, Labor Markets**Fieldwork:** Innovations for Poverty Action (IPA)**Location:** Bangladesh**Initiative(s):** Urban Services Initiative (USI)**Target group:** Urban population Women and girls Managers Workers**Outcome of interest:** Employment Productivity Soft skills**Intervention type:** Apprenticeships and on-the-job training Business skills training Coaching and mentoring Training Soft skills Hard skills**AEA RCT registration number:** AEARCTR-0001843**Partner organization(s):** Innovations for Poverty Action (IPA), International Finance Corporation (IFC), Better Work

The garment industry has expanded women's employment opportunities in the urban job market in Bangladesh. However, jobs available to women at factories are typically limited to junior positions. This study evaluates the effectiveness of a program that provides female garment workers with skills that lead to promotion to supervisory positions. By comparing the impacts of soft skills training, a combination of soft and hard skills training, and no training, researchers seek to determine whether soft skills training alone is an effective and efficient way to increase the numbers of promotions offered to women and prepare women to be strong supervisors.

Policy issue

Rapid expansion of manufacturing jobs in low-income countries over the last fifty years has driven economic growth in urban areas.¹ Expansion of a local manufacturing industry is often linked to increases in women's participation in the labor force.² Existing research shows that women working in the manufacturing industry report improvements in well-being, usually through an increased sense of economic independence and self-worth, and because they are better positioned to re-negotiate relations with husbands and parents.³

However, opportunities for women in manufacturing are often limited to repetitive assembly-line work in "light" industries like garments, textiles, shoes and electronics, and prospects for advancement to managerial positions are weak.⁴ Providing specialized training for female workers could be one way to increase their promotion potential, but there is little evidence to indicate whether training in "hard skills" like production planning or "soft skills" like leadership abilities is most effective.

Context of the evaluation

In Bangladesh, the garment industry accounts for eighty percent of the country's export earnings. The growth of the garment and textile industry has helped raise incomes of less-skilled people living in poverty in Bangladesh, and it is an important source of job opportunities for women.⁵ Women make up around two-thirds of the industry's approximately four million workers.⁶

However, women tend to be excluded from leadership positions due to gender biases. Survey results from a previous randomized evaluation of an extended training program showed that factory employees at all levels in Bangladesh perceived men to be more effective supervisors than women, even when men and women had similar levels of skills and knowledge.⁷ This 2015 evaluation, conducted by some of the same researchers involved in this study, found that men and women participating in supervisory training did not have significantly different levels of literacy, reasoning, or technical knowledge of garment production.

Researchers also found that though women entering the training program were much less confident than men, both women and men had higher confidence by the end of the training. Although confidence improved, however, the training did not lead to improvements in technical knowledge of garment production for either gender.⁸ This suggests that a training program focused on building soft skills, like confidence, could be just as effective or more effective in improving women's promotion potential than training that includes both hard and soft skills.



Garment factory worker in Bangladesh.

Photo credit: Sk Hasan Ali, Shutterstock.com

Details of the intervention

In this study, researchers are working with Better Work Bangladesh, Innovations for Poverty Action, and the International Finance Corporation to roll out a low-cost training program, called “Work-Progression & Productivity Toolkit,” to about 240 female factory workers at 27 factories in Bangladesh. Participation in the training programs is voluntary.

Participants will be randomly assigned to one of three groups:

1. *Soft skills training*: This group will participate in four days of classroom training spread over two weeks and eight weeks of on-the-job training. The classroom training emphasizes building confidence and leadership abilities. Skills to be taught include identifying personal strengths, challenging and changing negative thoughts, managing stress, influencing higher-ups, building relationships, praising good performance and correcting poor performance, and managing teams.
2. *Hard and soft skills training*: This group will participate in nine days of classroom training spread over five weeks, and eight weeks of on-the-job training. The classroom training covers the same four days as the soft skills training group, with an additional five days of sessions focusing on technical skills, including production processes from cutting to finishing, post-order and pre-cutting procedures, work measurement, and types of methods, machines, and motors.
3. *Comparison group*: This group will not participate in either training program until after the completion of the follow-up survey in August 2017.

Researchers will evaluate the impacts of the training programs on three primary outcomes: promotion probability, operator assessments of trainees, and line efficiency. Researchers will measure efficiency using production data and administrative records from participating factories to track productivity, worker absenteeism, and product quality on the lines on which training participants work as supervisors.

Results and policy lessons

Research ongoing; results forthcoming.

-
1. Heath, Rachel, and A. Mushfiq Mobarak. 2015. “Manufacturing Growth and the Lives of Bangladeshi Women.” *Journal of Development Economics* 115, (July): 1-15. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2015.01.006>, ; and Quigley, John. 1998. “Urban Diversity and Economic Growth.” *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 12, no. 2: 127-138. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.12.2.127>.
 2. Atkin, David. April 2009. “Working for the Future: Female Factory Work and Child Health in Mexico.” Working Paper; based on preliminary findings.
 3. Barrientos, Stephanie, Naila Kabeer, and Naomi Hossain. May 2004. “The Gender Dimensions of the Globalization of Production.” International Labour Office Working Paper no. 17, 6. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.908162>.
 4. Barrientos, Stephanie, Naila Kabeer, and Naomi Hossain. May 2004. “The Gender Dimensions of the Globalization of Production.” International Labour Office Working Paper no. 17, 4. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.908162>.
 5. “Transforming Bangladesh’s Garment Sector.” 2014. International Finance Corporation.
 6. “Garment Workers in Bangladesh: Social Impact of the Garment Industry.” 2015. Asian Center for Development; and Bangladesh Garment Manufacturers and Exporters Association. 2015-16. “Trade Information: Membership and Employment.”
 7. Macchiavello, Rocco, Andreas Menzel, Atonu Rabbani, and Christopher Woodruff. “Challenges of Change: An Experiment Training Women to Manage in the Bangladeshi Garment Sector.” Working Paper, November 2015.
 8. Macchiavello, Rocco, Andreas Menzel, Atonu Rabbani, and Christopher Woodruff. “Challenges of Change: An Experiment Training Women to Manage in the Bangladeshi Garment Sector.” Working Paper, November 2015.