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Rural areas are more likely than urban areas to have inadequate public services, poor infrastructure and housing conditions, and limited economic and educational opportunities. In rural Mexico, researchers investigated whether giving parents more control over grants to support local schools could improve student learning, particularly in disadvantaged communities. Preliminary results suggest that doubling grants to parent associations modestly reduced dropout rates and substantially increased test scores.

Policy issue

Around the world, there are often large disparities in wealth and opportunity between rural and urban areas. Those in rural areas often face inadequate delivery of public services, poor infrastructure and housing conditions, and fewer economic and educational opportunities. In an effort to improve service delivery in disadvantaged rural areas, many governments and NGOs are decentralizing education decision-making and increasing parental and community involvement in schools. Local administration and oversight puts power into the hands of those with the most interest in seeing improvements and the best information about current education quality and the needs of the community. In the past decade, numerous countries including Burkina Faso, France, India, Kenya, Madagascar, and Mali have adopted community-based management policies. But despite such enthusiasm for participation programs, the existing evidence on their success in improving learning is mixed, and little is known about the mechanisms that drive successful programs.

Context of the evaluation

Mexico faces a wide disparity in education performance between urban and rural schools, and the problem of poor achievement is particular pronounced for the rural, indigenous population. Mexico has one of the largest and most diverse indigenous populations in Latin America, with 12.7 million indigenous people speaking a total of 62 languages. The majority of the
indigenous population lives in small, rural communities, most of which are located in the poorer southern states. In an effort to improve educational performance in rural areas, and between indigenous and non-indigenous populations, in 1971, the Mexican government created Consejo Nacional de Fomento Educativo (CONAFE, or the National Council of Education Development) to provide extra resources to schools that enrolled disadvantaged students. The components of CONAFE include recruiting and training community instructors to teach in marginalized communities; the development of curricula and textbooks in both Spanish and local indigenous languages to facilitate bilingual education; and improvements to school infrastructure. An additional component of the program, called Apoyo a la Gestion Escolar (AGE, or Support to School Management), provides monetary support and training for local parent associations, which receive US$500-700 per year to invest in educational materials or infrastructure improvements of their choosing.

Existing qualitative evidence suggests that the AGE program has increased parents' participation and commitment to their children's education, and has subsequently led to a reduction in the drop out rate. However, little is known about the mechanisms through which community-based management affects student performance.

**Details of the intervention**

Researchers used a randomized evaluation to examine the mechanisms through which the AGE program might affect education quality and student learning.

From the full list of AGE schools in the states of Chiapas, Guerrero, Puebla, and Yucatán, researchers selected 250 rural primary schools and then randomly divided them into a treatment and comparison group. To estimate the impact of different grant amounts, researchers compared the performance of treatment schools whose parent association received double the normal grant during that time period to comparison schools whose parent association received the normal grant (US$500-700 per year).

In order to estimate the impact of the training component of AGE, researchers randomly selected an additional 150 schools from the total population of indigenous primary schools in the same four states, and assigned half to receive the training component but no grant, and the other half to the comparison group, which received no training or financial support.

**Results and policy lessons**

*Research is ongoing; results forthcoming.*
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