Offering rewards for lifestyle changes to manage diabetes can help encourage people to make changes that they might otherwise put off or avoid, potentially leading to improvements in health. In partnership with the Government of Tamil Nadu, researchers evaluated the impact of incentivizing and monitoring walking on exercise and health. Incentives increased walking and improved health, as measured by risk factors for diabetes and by mental health. Incentives that only rewarded participants who walked on a minimum number of days during the week were more effective for impatient people and more cost-effective to implement.

Policy issue

Lifestyle diseases like diabetes and hypertension are increasing in prevalence across low- and high-income countries. The costs associated with diabetes are estimated to be 1.8 percent of global GDP. Lifestyle changes such as increasing physical activity and eating a healthier diet can both prevent the disease and help the diagnosed avert serious long-term complications, such as amputations, heart disease, kidney disease, and stroke. Yet, adopting these lifestyle changes requires individuals to change behaviors in the present—which can be costly in terms of time and effort—to achieve a better (and uncertain) outcome in future. This creates a challenge because impatient individuals, who undervalue the future relative to the present, may prefer to put off the effort of modifying their lifestyle. One potential way to address this challenge is to offer rewards that can encourage individuals to adopt healthy behaviors. However, little evidence exists on how to design incentives that are effective for different types of people and how to best incentivize impatient individuals.
India is facing a diabetes epidemic, with more than 60 million individuals diagnosed with diabetes and an additional 77 million considered pre-diabetic. Diabetes poses immense costs in treating the disease and in lives lost; in 2010, the Indian healthcare system spent an estimated US$38 billion (2 percent of GDP) on treating the disease and approximately one million individuals died.¹ These costs may continue to mount as anecdotal evidence from physicians suggests that few individuals have adopted lifestyle changes. The Government of Tamil Nadu, a partner for this evaluation, was interested in evaluating and scaling up effective strategies to help diabetics manage the disease.

On average, participants in this study were almost 50 years old and 58 percent were male. A majority (65 percent) had been diagnosed with diabetes by a doctor and 81 percent had elevated blood sugar (Hba1c) levels, a biometric indicator of diabetes. Many participants faced additional health conditions commonly associated with diabetes, such as hypertension (49 percent) or obesity (61 percent).
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**Details of the intervention**

In partnership with the Government of Tamil Nadu, researchers evaluated the impact of incentivizing and monitoring lifestyle modifications on patients’ ability to prevent and manage diabetes. Researchers identified a sample of nearly 3,200 diabetics and individuals with high blood sugar, a risk factor for developing diabetes. First, a week before the evaluation period began researchers taught all participants how to properly wear a pedometer and report steps nightly through an automated calling system. Participants were then randomly assigned to one of the following eight groups for twelve weeks (Figure 1):

**Incentives Groups:** Individuals received a pedometer to monitor their daily steps and incentives to walk at least 10,000 steps a day. The incentives were paid out in mobile recharges. Researchers offered six different types of incentive contracts within this group
that varied in amount, the frequency of payments, and the minimum number of days per week that the target must be met to receive rewards.

- **Base Incentive Contract:** Individuals received INR 20 (US$0.33) for each day they walked 10,000 steps or more. Payments were made weekly.

- Two groups differed from the base incentive contract only by how often individuals received the reward.
  - **Daily Payment Contract:** Individuals received INR 20 (US$0.33) the same night on which they reported and met the step target.
  - **Monthly Payment Contract:** Every month, individuals received INR 20 (US$0.33) per day for all days they met the step target over the past month.

- Two groups differed from the base incentive contracts only by the minimum number of days participants had to meet the target before receiving an incentive. Payments were made on a weekly basis.
  - **Four-day threshold:** If individuals met the target on at least four days of a given week, they received INR 20 (US$0.33) for each day they met the step target.
  - **Five-day threshold:** If individuals met the target on at least five days of a given week, they received INR 20 (US$0.33) for each day they met the step target.

- Finally, one group differed from the base incentive contract only by the amount paid per day. The number of individuals in this treatment group was small and was primarily included to benchmark the size of the effects against the other incentive contracts.
  - **Lower Payment Contract:** Individuals received 10 INR (US$0.17) for each day they met the step target. Payments were made weekly.

**Monitoring Group:** Individuals received a pedometer, but no incentives. They were encouraged to wear the pedometer and report their steps every day.

**Comparison Group:** Individuals did not receive pedometers to monitor their steps, nor incentives for their behavior.

Participants in the incentives and monitoring groups reported steps taken through an automated calling system every evening. Researchers visited all participants three times during the twelve-week program to sync the pedometers and verify self-reported steps. In addition, researchers conducted a baseline health survey, collected baseline walking data, and assessed participants’ impatience through a series of questions on preferences. Health measures included HbA1c levels—a measure of blood sugar control and the most common measure of diabetes risk—body mass index (BMI), blood pressure, and mental health.

**See author Rebecca Dizon-Ross explain the intervention and results:**

**Results and policy lessons**

Overall, incentivizing walking led participants to walk more and improved risk factors for diabetes and mental health. Incentives designed with minimum thresholds for receiving rewards can be effective to motivate impatient individuals and may be more cost-effective.

Providing incentives to encourage individuals to walk over 10,000 steps per day increased walking. Incentives increased the number of days participants reached the step target; individuals who received any incentives reached the step target on 49 percent of days compared to 29 percent of days for the monitoring group. Likewise, the number of steps taken per day increased by nearly 1,270 steps from approximately 6,770 per day; this is equivalent to walking an additional 13 minutes. Importantly, these effects persisted not only across the 12 weeks of the program, but also incentivized participants continued to walk more 12 weeks
after the incentives ended. This suggests that the incentives may have helped individuals form lasting habits.

Incentive contracts with a minimum threshold to receive the reward were more effective for impatient individuals. On average, individuals who received rewards only if they met the target at least four or five days in a given week met the target as frequently and walked a similar number of steps overall as individuals who received rewards without the thresholds. However, thresholds worked better for impatient individuals, i.e. those who undervalue the future relative to the present.

Incentives with thresholds were more cost-effective overall. The total payouts for individuals on four- and five-day threshold contracts cost 10 and 15 percent less respectively than the base incentive contract, while spurring the same amount of walking. This is because under the minimum threshold contracts, participants who achieved the target on a couple of days, but not enough to meet the threshold, did not receive any payments for the week. Whereas rewarding participants who met the terms of basic incentive contract cost INR 20 (US$0.33) for each day they met the target, four-day threshold contract cost approximately INR 18 (US$0.30) each day the target was met and the five-day threshold contract cost approximately INR 17 (US$0.28). The persistence effect of incentives on walking is also promising for the cost-effectiveness of the program.

Incentives for walking improved health outcomes, particularly blood sugar and mental health. The incentive program led to a 0.05 standard deviation reduction across an index of five health risk factors, which included random blood sugar, blood pressure, and body mass index (BMI). These effect sizes are comparable to other text message or exercise programs aimed at increasing healthy behaviors. Incentives also improved mental health, with an overall increase in the mental health index and participants reporting feeling happier and less worn. The positive effect on mental health may be from increased exercise, the financial benefit of the reward, or a combination of both.

Taken together, this evaluation demonstrates the potential use of relatively small incentives to catalyze changes in health-promoting behavior. In particular, the results underscore the importance of considering individuals’ impatience when designing incentive programs. Future research could explore how to tailor incentives further to participants in order to improve cost-effectiveness.
