

Peer Effects, Diversity, and College Roommates in the United States

Johanne Boisjoly, Greg Duncan, Jacque Eccles, Dan Levy, Michael Kremer



Photo: Shutterstock.com

Location: A large, academically strong state university in the United States

Sample: 1,278 undergraduate students

Timeline:
1997 to 2003

Partners:
John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation
National Institutes of Health (NIH)
W.T. Grant Foundation

Policy Issue: Because of historical class and race segregation, people in the US tend to live in relatively homogeneous communities and thus may not have much interaction with those different from themselves. Not much is known on the social impact of policies that disrupt this homogeneity in favor of a more diverse setting, whether that be in the workplace or school. The goal of diversity programs is to encourage empathy, understanding, and respect for those who have been historically underrepresented in these settings. However, there is little empirical evidence of the effects of inclusive policies such as affirmative action on individual attitudes.

Context of the Evaluation: Universities are a natural place to examine outcomes related to empathy towards students different than themselves. Students, perhaps for the first time, may live in close quarters with individuals of a different race. While they still may not represent the true proportions of race or socioeconomic status in the population,

universities may be the first place where students interact or live in close quarters with individuals of a different race or income level, especially since the randomization of dorm-style living assignments prevents self-segregation to a certain degree. This makes university an opportune setting to study the effects of inclusive policies.

The university examined in the study has a strong commitment to equity and inclusivity, and therefore values more than traditional admissions indicators that might exclude students who attended disinvested high schools or had other barriers on their way to college. If affirmative action indeed reinforces stereotypes or causes tension among students, we would expect to see such effects in this population.

Details of the Intervention: This study investigates the consequences of intergroup interactions in a real-world context by examining whether individual attitudes and

behaviors change when people of different races and economic backgrounds are randomly assigned to live together at the start of their first year of college. In this natural experiment, students at a large state university were randomly assigned roommates from the pool of new students through a lottery system.

The university's housing office provided data on each student's housing application and dorm placement. Racial/ethnic, socioeconomic and attitudinal data on social policies and future plans were gathered from each student in the Cooperative Institutional Research Program's entering student survey. A follow-up survey was conducted in 2003 from the 1997 cohort, resulting in a sample of 1,278 white students. This survey collected responses about racial attitudes, behaviors such as time spent with others from a different race, and life goals.

Results and Policy Lessons: When the final survey was conducted, white students who had been randomly assigned black roommates were more likely to endorse affirmative action policies than those assigned to white roommates. Endorsement of affirmative action was between one-third and one-half of a standard deviation higher among these students. While white students who were randomly assigned roommates of color were more likely to say that they interacted more comfortably with members of minority groups, their reported friendships and socializing did not change. However, they were just as likely to remain close friends with their roommates beyond their freshman year - 14 percent of whites with white roommates and 15 percent of whites with black roommates considered these roommates to be their "best college friend."

Additionally, students appear to become less supportive of higher taxes for the wealthy when they are assigned roommates from high-income backgrounds, and more likely to volunteer when assigned roommates from low-income backgrounds, implying that attitudinal effects are not limited to racial differences. The pattern of results seems to indicate that roommates do have an effect on attitudes, such as endorsement of affirmative action policies, and intermediate behaviors, such as being comfortable interacting with others. On the other hand, more concrete behaviors such as befriending or socializing with people from another racial group appear to be unchanged by these policies. Taken together, these results suggest that students become more

empathetic with the social groups to which their roommates belong, providing evidence against theories which state that deliberate efforts to encourage mixing may actually inflame tensions and exacerbate conflict.

Related Papers Citations: *Boisjoly, Johanne, Greg Duncan, Michael Kremer, Dan Levy, and Jacque Eccles. 2006. "Empathy or Antipathy? The Impact of Diversity." The American Economic Review 96(5): 1890-1905.*

Visit this page online for links to related research, news, videos, and more:

<https://www.povertyactionlab.org/evaluation/peer-effects-diversity-and-college-roommates-united-states>

The Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab (J-PAL) is a network of 171 affiliated professors from 56 universities. Our mission is to reduce poverty by ensuring that policy is informed by scientific evidence. We engage with hundreds of partners around the world to conduct rigorous research, build capacity, share policy lessons, and scale up effective programs. J-PAL was launched at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), and now has regional offices in Africa, Europe, Latin America & the Caribbean, North America, South Asia, and Southeast Asia. For more information visit povertyactionlab.org.