

## Leveraging mobile phones for learning

*Last updated: junho 2026*

Mobile phones are a scalable, low-cost platform to improve learning by engaging students, teachers, and parents in hard-to-reach settings.



A young child sits and engages with a phone.

Photo credit: Shutterstock.com

### Summary

Students, teachers, parents and administrators need to share timely information with one another, but long distances, poor roads, school closures, and busy schedules make this costly and slow. Simple mobile phones offer an opportunity to make connections less costly and more effective.

Unlike other ed-tech options, simple mobile phones are an almost universal technology, reaching over 95 percent of the global population as of 2025<sup>1</sup>. Mobile phones have become more than a simple communication device, increasingly used to support financial services and data collection. However, they remain underused in education despite clear gaps. For example, climate and conflict keep students from going to school—delivering lessons through a family phone could enable learning from home in these settings. In spread-out rural districts, coaching teachers is costly and overwhelming for limited staff members. Mobile phones offer a faster way to share feedback than in-person visits. Finally, parents' work schedules inhibit meetings with concerned teachers. Communicating via mobile phones could arm busy parents with the information to support their child's learning. This policy insight documents growing evidence of mobile phones' potential to improve education outcomes by enabling targeted reach at low cost.

A review across thirty studies that measured the impact of mobile phone education programs on student learning, teacher behavior, and parental engagement found that light-touch texting programs in low- and middle-income countries were cheap but rarely effective enough on their own to shift outcomes. Texting interventions are most effective when they deliver practical, personalized information and build on top of solid foundations such as reliable data systems and regular parent-student interactions, which tend to be more common in high-income settings. In contrast, more interactive, intensive interventions layered on top of proven education interventions, such as incorporating tutoring via phones or incentivizing teachers with cash in addition to texts, were most effective in low-resource contexts and among remote populations. Since mobile phones are cheap and widely accessible, effective approaches are also highly cost-effective.

## Supporting evidence

### Mobile phones for student instruction

**Phone-based instruction helped students continue learning when they were outside the classroom,** [4], [5], [6], [7], [25], [30] especially when instruction was interactive and tailored to students' learning levels and when instructors and learners were available.

Many low-income children and adults lack at-home resources like books, practice problems, or parents with the time and education to tutor them and supervise their homework. Mobile phones help close this gap by bringing instruction to the home in various ways, such as providing short story texts for parents to read to their children [30], or enabling practice for writing, reading, and number recognition with adult learners [4]. Beyond serving as a resource, mobile education can also directly connect students with live instruction.

Sometimes, students and teachers are forced to learn out of the classroom. Over two billion people live in regions where shocks, such as climate events, teacher strikes, conflicts, and diseases, can shut down schools and severely disrupt learning [7], . In response, governments, nonprofits, and international organizations have been piloting new approaches to keep students learning during crises, like remote learning via radio, television, and mobile phones [6], [7], [21], . Mobile phones reached and engaged students in a way that television, radio, online media, computers, and tablets could not: phones were physically in more households, easily transportable in emergencies, charged faster, lasted longer, enabled more active engagement, and did not hold students to strict broadcast schedules[7].

**Mobile remote instruction works best when it supports human interaction rather than one-way content delivery.** [4], [5], [6], [7], [25], Making content available is not enough—active engagement is needed. While sending lessons through blast texts or recordings alone is enticing because it is cheap, in most settings, these types of one-way interactions are often not enough, as these approaches can be too passive. Learners often need an instructor to create accountability and adapt to their level; at home, when students get stuck and they have no one prompting them to persist or address their questions, even well-designed nudges and content can be ignored. In five countries with school closures, mobile phones supported learning, especially when weekly tutoring calls and SMS messages were offered together. SMS messages alone were only effective where access to learning was particularly low. Students who received a combination of weekly math texts, reminders, and live tutoring calls improved their test scores by 0.30–0.35 standard deviations (SDs), at an average cost of US\$11 per child [7], . In a follow-up study in Botswana, sending additional prerecorded WhatsApp video lessons did not add marginal learning. What mattered most was the base model: supplementing text messages with an active live tutoring phone call [5].

Even in the phones-as-a-resource examples mentioned earlier, including an active engagement component was important for getting the most out of the interventions. In the SMS short story example in Zambia, the SMS messages included both short stories and follow-up questions intended to prompt engagement and comprehension. Additionally, pairing SMS with in-person

monthly meetings between caregivers and community mobilizers proved to be a cost-effective program. In Niger, simply learning how to use a mobile phone encouraged adults to be more active and engaged users of mobile phones, leading to increases in literacy and numeracy test scores by 0.20–0.26 SDs, with impacts in the short and long term [3], [4].

**Mobile instruction might support education as a complement [9], [22], to schooling as well as a substitute [4], [6], [7].**

Interactive mobile phone instruction requires two people to be actively engaged and available on either side of the phone. For example, teachers and parents would need to have the time to call and text effectively when they are working full schedules. In emergency settings, like the Covid-19 pandemic, when schools and offices are closed, teachers, students, and parents are available. Most effective mobile phone instruction programs conducted during nonemergency times targeted adult learners [1], [3], [25], and parents of preschoolers [17], [13], [31], who had more availability. A recent quasi-experimental study that followed up on five Covid-19 studies showed that remedial tutoring calls were also effective during normal schooling times when schedules tended to be busier, suggesting that brief tutoring interventions can be delivered as a complement, not only as a substitute, to normal schooling [7]. Randomized A/B tests show that the cost-effectiveness of mobile phone instruction as a complement to schooling can be improved by reducing scheduling frictions to lower costs and engaging caregivers to maximize impact [5].

Of note, for most populations, mobile phones should build on—not replace—in-school instruction. This builds on a broader ed-tech literature showing the importance of using technology to complement teachers, not displace them [9], [22]. For example, replacing textbooks with laptops produced no detectable improvement in learning [8], while replacing in-person teachers with video-conferenced teachers improved some learning but at a high cost [23].

**Mobile phones to change teacher behavior and improve education monitoring systems**

**When schools used mobile phones to monitor teachers' attendance, teachers showed up to school more [14], [27] and sometimes students learned more. It also reduced overall monitoring costs.**

Teacher absenteeism is a major barrier to learning in many low- and middle-income countries. However, sending a monitor to track teachers' attendance and effort is expensive and logistically challenging for education systems with limited infrastructure and weak institutions. Incorporating texts or calls on top of other monitoring efforts offers a more cost-effective way to change teacher behavior and increase student learning. In Niger, adding weekly phone calls to the teacher, village chief, and two students on top of irregular teacher monitoring visits (less than once per year) increased students' math and reading test scores by 0.12–0.15 SDs [2].

However, mobile monitoring is not always effective. In some cases, it undermined teachers' motivation and did not translate to better attendance or student learning. In Côte d'Ivoire, an NGO nudged parents to take an interest in their children's school life and nudged teachers about their attendance through twice-weekly texts [27]. When NGOs nudged parents, students stayed in school, and historically higher-attendance teachers showed up to around five additional class periods per year. However, nudging both parents and teachers had no effect on teacher attendance or student dropout. In fact, historically highly motivated teachers actually showed up to school less. Authors suggested that too much scrutiny (via the combined teacher and parent channels) reduced teachers' intrinsic motivation. In Uganda, NGO staff trained head teachers to submit reports of teacher attendance via an online platform on their phones [14]. This local phone-based monitoring did not improve teacher attendance or student dropouts unless teachers also received a bonus payment when they had good attendance. While mobile phones may increase the ability and reach of local monitoring, policymakers should carefully consider which local stakeholders to engage and how to preserve teacher motivation.

Even when mobile monitoring did not improve attendance or learning, it may still be an attractive policy if it delivers similar attendance or learning outcomes as the status quo at lower cost.

One recent promising study shows that texting is also a cheap tool to coordinate different ministries so that monitoring translates to changes in schools and learning [16]. In Tanzania, one ministry ran a school inspection program that generated valuable tailored recommendations, but that information was failing to reach the local monitors responsible for following up with schools. A cheap mobile phone tweak unblocked this bottleneck. With public endorsement, researchers sent text message summaries of the inspection reports and reminders to local education monitors. Students learned about 16 percent more when their local monitors received text summaries in addition to the inspection.

**There is mixed evidence on whether texting and calling teachers provides enough support to improve their teaching, but when it does, it could be a cheaper option.** Many education interventions ask teachers to change their pedagogy but don't provide sustained support to ensure that these changes happen<sup>2</sup>, . In-person coaches or mentors can offer effective, tailored, and ongoing professional development<sup>2</sup>, but hiring them can be expensive, and many virtual professional development programs rely on internet access. Mobile phones offer an opportunity to make coaching interventions cheaper and even more adaptable to low-connectivity areas, though more research is needed.

When programs shared resources and support to teachers through tablets in Pakistan [9], or online discussion rooms in the United States, teachers changed their behavior and students scored better on tests. In Kenya, teachers received in-person training, semi-scripted lesson plans, and weekly text messages with brief teaching tips and motivation to use the lesson plans [24] . They also adopted a phonics-based approach to reading despite minimal in-person support. After two years, students taught by these teachers were more literate than their peers and were 60 percent less likely to drop out. A similar sustainable and affordable approach to literacy instruction has since been adopted nationwide in Kenya.

However, light-touch digital follow-up, such as text reminders, may not be sufficient to strengthen teacher professional development without more hands-on support like coaching visits, which admittedly do have high resource trade-offs for policymakers. In China, sending follow-up texts and calls to teachers after a fifteen-day in-person teacher training showed no difference in teacher attitudes and behaviors or students' math achievement, though this was likely because the training content was not practical enough and the texts focused on alerting teachers to new materials on the platform and their progress through the online platform [28], . In South Africa, a structured pedagogy program improved student literacy more when coaches visited teachers in person rather than regularly calling and texting them [15]. Researchers suggest that in-person observations enabled tailored feedback, stronger teacher accountability, and more trust built through face-to-face interactions. The in-person coaching program was about 27 percent more expensive than the virtual coaching program, and while its better learning outcomes made it a more cost-effective option, the program has not scaled due to absolute costs.

**One recent study suggests that in a particularly difficult context, monitoring and coaching had to be used together to overcome barriers to student learning [1].** In Niger, researchers tested two phone-based approaches with teachers: regular monitoring calls and a package combining monitoring calls with instructional calls and texts. Monitoring alone reduced teacher absence by 12 percent, strengthened teacher motivation, and increased parent involvement but did not improve student learning in the short term. Adding phone-based instructional support further increased motivation but did not change teachers' knowledge. Over time, the two approaches together led to higher student learning, mainly in basic math skills.

### **Mobile phones to engage parents**

**When mobile phones were used to provide parents with information and guidance, parents engaged more,[10], [11], [12], [17], [18], [19], [21], [26], [29], [31], and sometimes students learned more [10], [11], [12], [17], [18], [19], [26], [29], [31].**

This is particularly effective in high-resource settings where school-savvy parents know how to engage with the education system and follow up productively. Evidence from 23 randomized evaluations shows that sharing actionable, timely guidance with parents and caregivers on supporting their child's education boosts caregiver involvement and student learning [32], . SMS messaging is one widely used, affordable approach to achieving these outcomes. In China, weekly text messages informing

parents about anemia prevention did not improve health or academic outcomes; however, a more engaging approach combining texts with quizzes for parents ultimately improved students' health, math scores, and classroom concentration [29], . Recent evidence from mobile phone tutoring in Botswana shows that some parental engagement techniques were more effective than others[5]. When tutors encouraged parents to co-lead the tutoring call, two-thirds of the parents agreed, and students learned more than those tutored solely by the teacher. In contrast, sending motivational nudges to parents, on top of the normal tutoring calls, had no impact on students' learning. This suggests that simple messages alone may not always be enough, but interactive approaches can enhance the intervention's impact at low cost.

### **Sector chair(s) or Academic lead(s)**

Jenny Aker Noam Angrist

### **Insight author(s)**

Jessica Williams

Demitria Wack

J-PAL Policy Insight. 2026. "Leveraging Mobile Phones for Learning." Cambridge, MA: Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab (J-PAL).

---

1. GSMA. "The State of Mobile Internet Connectivity 2025: Overview Report." 2025. Report
  2. Akyeampong, Kwame; Andrabi, Tahir; Banerjee, Abhijit; Banerji, Rukmini; Dynarski, Susan; Glennerster, Rachel; Grantham-McGregor, Sally; Muralidharan, Karthik; Piper, Benjamin; Ruto, Sara; Saavedra, Jaime; Schmelkes, Sylvia; Yoshikawa, Hirokazu. 2023 Cost-effective Approaches to Improve Global Learning : What does Recent Evidence Tell Us are Smart Buys for Improving Learning in Low- and Middle-income Countries? (English). Global Education Evidence Advisory Panel (GEEAP) Washington, D.C. : World Bank Group. Report
- 

1. Aker, Jenny, and Josué Awonon. "Experimental Evidence on Supporting Teacher Effort and Student Learning Using Simple Technologies." Working Paper, April 2025.
2. Aker, Jenny C, ., and Christopher Ksoll. 2019. "Call Me Educated: Evidence from a Mobile Phone Experiment in Niger." *Economics of Education Review* 72 (October): 239–257. Research Paper, | J-PAL Evaluation Summary
3. Aker, Jenny C, ., and Christopher Ksoll. 2020. "Can ABC Lead to Sustained 123? The Medium-Term Effects of a Technology-Enhanced Adult Education Program." *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 68, no. 3 (February): 1081–1102. Research Paper, | J-PAL Evaluation Summary
4. Aker, Jenny C, ., Christopher Ksoll, and Travis J. Lybbert, . 2012. "Can Mobile Phones Improve Learning? Evidence from a Field Experiment in Niger." *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 4, no. 4: 94–120. Research Paper, | J-PAL Evaluation Summary
5. Angrist, Noam, Claire Cullen, and Janica Magat. "Cheaper (and More Effective) by the Dozen: Evidence from 12 Randomised A/B Tests Optimising Tutoring for Scale." What Works Hub for Global Education Working Paper Series. Working Paper 2025/001, October 2025. Research Paper
6. Angrist, Noam, Peter Bergman, , and Moitshepi Matsheng. 2022. "Experimental Evidence on Learning Using Low-Tech When School Is Out." *Nature Human Behaviour* 6, no. 7 (June): 941–950. Research Paper, | J-PAL Evaluation Summary
7. Angrist, Noam, Micheal Ainomugisha, Sai Pramod Bathena, Peter Bergman, , Colin Crossley, Claire Cullen, Thato Letsomo, Moitshepi Matsheng, Rene Marlon Panti, Shwetlena Sabarwal, and Tim Sullivan. "Building Resilient Education Systems: Evidence from Large-Scale Randomized Trials in Five Countries." NBER Working Paper #31208, June 2024. Research Paper, | J-PAL Evaluation Summary

8. Bando, Rosangela, Francisco Gallego, Paul Gertler, and Dario Romero Fonseca. 2017. "Books or Laptops? The Effect of Shifting from Printed to Digital Delivery of Educational Content on Learning." *Economics of Education Review* 61 (December): 162–173. Research Paper, | J-PAL Evaluation Summary
9. Beg, Sabrin, Waqas Halim, Adrienne M. Lucas, and Umar Saif. 2022. "Engaging Teachers with Technology Increased Achievement, Bypassing Teachers Did Not." *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy* 14, no. 2 (May): 61–90. Research Paper, | J-PAL Evaluation Summary
10. Bergman, Peter. 2021. "Parent-Child Information Frictions and Human Capital Investment: Evidence from a Field Experiment." *Journal of Political Economy* 129, no. 1 (January): 286–322. Research Paper
11. Bergman, Peter, and Eric W. Chan. 2021. "Leveraging Parents Through Low-Cost Technology: The Impact of High-Frequency Information on Student Achievement." *Journal of Human Resources* 56, no. 1: 125–158. Research Paper, | J-PAL Evaluation Summary
12. Berlinski, Samuel, Matias Busso, Taryn Dinkelman, and Claudia Martínez. "Reducing Parent-School Information Gaps and Improving Education Outcomes: Evidence from High-Frequency Text Messages." NBER Working Paper #28581, March 2021. Research Paper, | J-PAL Evaluation Summary
13. Bloomfield, Juanita, Ana Balsa, Alejandro Cid, and Philip Oreopoulos. "Calling All Parents: Leveraging Behavioral Insights to Boost Early Childhood Outcomes in the Developing World." Working Paper, January 2025. Research Paper
14. Cilliers, Jacobus, Ibrahim Kasirye, Clare Leaver, Pieter Serneels, and Andrew Zeitlin. 2018. "Pay for Locally Monitored Performance? A Welfare Analysis for Teacher Attendance in Ugandan Primary Schools." *Journal of Public Economics* 167 (November): 69–90. Research Paper
15. Cilliers, Jacobus, Brahm Fleisch, Janeli Kotze, Nompumelelo Mohohlwane, Stephen Taylor, and Tshegofatso Thulare. 2022. "Can Virtual Replace In-Person Coaching? Experimental Evidence on Teacher Professional Development and Student Learning." *Journal of Development Economics* 155 (March): 102815. Research Paper
16. Cilliers, Jacobus, and James Habyarimana. 2026. "Addressing Weak Links in Government Implementation at Scale: Experimental Evidence from a School Governance Reform in Tanzania." *Journal of Development Economics* 182 (June): 103824. Research Paper
17. Cortes, Kalena E., Hans Fricke, Susanna Loeb, David S. Song, and Benjamin N. York. 2021. "Too Little or Too Much? Actionable Advice in an Early-Childhood Text Messaging Experiment." *Education Finance and Policy* 16, no. 2: 209–232. Research Paper
18. Cortes, Kalena E., Hans Fricke, Susanna Loeb, David S. Song, and Benjamin N. York. 2023. "When Behavioral Barriers Are Too High or Low: How Timing Matters for Text-Based Parenting Interventions." *Economics of Education Review* 92 (February): 102352. Research Paper, | J-PAL Evaluation Summary
19. Doss, Christopher, Erin Fahle, Susanna Loeb, and Ben York. 2019. "Supporting Parenting Through Differentiated and Personalized Text Messaging: Testing Effects on Learning During Kindergarten." *Journal of Human Resources* 54, no. 3: 537–566. Research Paper
20. Doss, Christopher, Hans Fricke, Susanna Loeb, and Justin B. Doromal. 2022. "Engaging Girls in Math: The Unequal Effects of Text Messaging to Help Parents Support Early Math Development." *Economics of Education Review* 88 (June): 102262. Research Paper
21. Fujii, Tomoki, Christine Ho, Rohan Ray, and Abu Shonchoy. "Boosting Study Habits with High-Frequency Information: A Field Experiment to Aid Disadvantaged Students." Working Paper, February 2025. Research Paper
22. Jackson, Kirabo, and Alexey Makarin. 2018. "Can Online Off-the-Shelf Lessons Improve Student Outcomes? Evidence from a Field Experiment." *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy* 10, no. 3 (August): 226–254. Research Paper, | J-PAL Evaluation Summary
23. Johnston, Jamie, and Christopher Ksoll. 2022. "Effectiveness of Interactive Satellite-Transmitted Instruction: Experimental Evidence from Ghanaian Primary Schools." *Economics of Education Review* 91, 102315 (December). Research Paper
24. Jukes, Matthew C. H., Elizabeth L. Turner, Margaret M. Dubeck, Katherine E. Halliday, Hellen N. Inyega, Sharon Wolf, Stephanie Simmons Zuilkowski, and Simon J. Brooker. 2017. "Improving Literacy Instruction in Kenya Through Teacher Professional Development and Text Messages Support: A Cluster Randomized Trial." *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness* 10, no. 3: 449–481. Research Paper

25. Ksoll, Christopher, Jenny C. Aker, , Danielle Miller, Karla Perez, and Susan L. Smalley. "Learning Without Teachers? Evidence from a Randomized Experiment of a Mobile Phone-Based Adult Education Program in Los Angeles." Center for Global Development Working Paper #368, July 2014. Research Paper
26. Lebedinski, Lara, Pedro Manuel Carneiro, , Tamara Urzua, Julie Perng, Ana Maria Boudet, and Katia Sosa. "Experimental Impacts of a Virtual Parenting Program with Mothers and Fathers." Working Paper, January 2024. Research Paper
27. Lichand, Guilherme, and Sharon Wolf. "Arm-Wrestling in the Classroom: The Non-Monotonic Effects of Monitoring Teachers." University of Zurich, Department of Economics, Working Paper #357, 2021. Research Paper
28. Loyalka, Prashant, Anna Popova, Guirong Li, Chengfang Liu, and Henry Shi. "Unpacking Teacher Professional Development." Stanford Center on Global Poverty and Development Working Paper #1009, April 2017. Research Paper
29. Mo, Di, Renfu Luo, Chengfang Liu, Huiping Zhang, Linxiu Zhang, Alexis Medina, and Scott Rozelle. 2014. "Text Messaging and Its Impacts on the Health and Education of the Poor: Evidence from a Field Experiment in Rural China." *World Development* 64: 766–780. Research Paper
30. Ome, Alejandro and Alicia Menendez. 2022. "Using SMS and Parental Outreach to Improve Early Reading Skills in Zambia." *Education Economics* 30, no. 4: 384–398. Research Paper
31. York, Benjamin N., Susanna Loeb, , and Christopher Doss. 2019. "One Step at a Time: The Effects of an Early Literacy Text-Messaging Program for Parents of Preschoolers." *Journal of Human Resources* 54, no. 3 (July): 537–566. Research Paper
32. Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab (J-PAL). 2020. "Improving Learning Outcomes Through Providing Information to Students and Parents." J-PAL Policy Insights. Last modified July 2020. Insight