

GOVERNANCE INITIATIVE PROPOSAL GUIDELINES: MULTIDISCIPLINARY GRANTS

Round 26 - Fall 2025 | Request for Proposals (RFP)

This document contains the Governance Initiative's (GI) [Overview](#), [Budget Guidelines](#), [Application Checklist](#), and [Narrative Template](#) for multidisciplinary grants. Please read this document carefully before submitting your proposal.

OVERVIEW

Submission instructions: To respond to this RFP, all applicants must first **submit the required Letter of Intent on WizeHive, using the relevant application link from the [RFP webpage](#), and following the [Applicant Instructions](#)**. Successful applicants will receive an invitation to proceed with their full proposal materials.

Strong multidisciplinary research proposals will combine insights from applied economics and political science with those from other disciplines (including social sciences, humanities and, potentially, natural sciences) to answer pressing governance questions, with a preference for proposals incorporating theory, methods, and/or analytical frameworks drawn from psychology and behavioral science. Multidisciplinary grants must relate to political participation. We strongly recommend that approaches from other disciplines inform how research questions are formulated and answered, not just how inputs and outcomes are measured.

These grants will fund the following activities:

Type I: Exploratory research, which may also include travel activities, to (a) address a general topic of interest within the field of governance and (b) propose ideas for how the topic might be explored through a future randomized evaluation that incorporates theory, methods, or analytical frameworks from disciplines outside economics and political science. Proposals do not need to include a detailed program or evaluation design.

Type II: Additional research activities on top of an ongoing or recently completed randomized impact evaluation—including (but not limited to) the addition of a new survey module, treatment arm, or qualitative fieldwork—that draw from disciplines outside economics and political science.

Both Type I and Type II activities can include other costs associated with promoting interactions and collaborations between researchers from different disciplines centered around the development of a research project in the governance space (including travel).

GI's research priorities are identified in the Governance Initiative Review Paper (full paper [here](#); executive summary [here](#)) which summarizes existing evidence in governance from primarily economics and political science, with an emphasis on field evaluations.

All multidisciplinary projects must have a PI or co-PI from a discipline other than economics, political science, public policy, or similar programs with a focus on applied microeconomic methods. We also encourage proposals by eligible graduate students (see Eligibility section below). Successful grant proposals will be eligible to apply for larger pilot and full-scale grants during the Governance Initiative's regular RFP process.

Please note: if J-PAL has already funded part of, or precursors to, this project in a past competition round for any initiative, **the project will not be eligible to receive additional funding unless some preliminary data (e.g. take-up rates from a pilot, etc.) from the previously funded work is available and shared in the proposal.** You may submit this additional material as an addendum to your submission via the online application.

Funding per multidisciplinary award: Awards are capped at \$30,000. **We expect projects of various sizes, and will carefully check whether the budget size accurately reflects the scope of work proposed.** Note the guidelines on allowable and unallowable costs in the [Budget Guidelines section](#).

Timeline: Type I grantees must complete their multidisciplinary work and submit final reports within 18 months of receiving the award. Type II grantees must complete their multidisciplinary work and submit final reports within 24 months of receiving the award. All grantees must complete research activities by 5/31/2028.

Required Data Collection: We intend to require that all multidisciplinary projects collect **data on a subset of** indicators. The initiative team will be in touch at the launch of funded projects to support research teams in the specific survey questions or modules to be used and will be mindful of the additional survey time required as well as open to budget modifications to incorporate the time it takes to collect these required indicators.

Project Costing Exercise: J-PAL multidisciplinary type II grantees are requested to collect and share detailed program cost data following the [J-PAL Costing Guidelines](#). **The [Narrative Template](#) includes details on what to include in your proposal narrative.**

Motivation: The goal of this exercise in each multidisciplinary (type II) proposal is to ensure

that the research team has plans from the outset to collect cost data for all “ingredients” needed to implement a program or intervention, excluding the costs of evaluating the impact of that program. In policy outreach activities, J-PAL has found that policymakers often ask how much a program or intervention costs, and collecting detailed cost data allows for cost-effectiveness analysis. This can assist policymakers when they are choosing how to allocate resources between different programs, or deciding to replicate or scale up a program that is demonstrated to be effective¹.

Principles and expectations: While a rigorous cost-effectiveness analysis requires very granular data, J-PAL will provide grantees a basic cost collection template, alongside basic reporting templates, which helps researchers gather the figures for the various cost categories. The template includes a sheet to assist with calculation of a “total program cost,” and a sheet to calculate high-level cost figures that are of greatest interest to policymakers.

Your proposal should help us understand the potential for a very rough, back-of-the-envelope cost-effectiveness calculation. When planning your cost data collection and approach to cost-effectiveness analysis, you should consider not only the costs of any inputs offered to participants (e.g. seeds, equipment, etc.), but also the costs of facilities and utilities, implementation staff, transport, and any other costs required to conduct the program. You may find it useful to consider the following questions when constructing your plan to collect relevant cost data:

- Are there costs in identifying the participant populations? (e.g. costs of doing a census, distributing flyers or other marketing materials, or holding information sessions necessary to implement the program.)
- Are there training costs for program staff implementing the intervention?
- Are there costs borne by participants (consider opportunity costs, subsidized components of the program, etc.)
- Are there activities that are reduced in size or discontinued as a result of this intervention being introduced? These might indicate cost savings from this intervention.
- Are there implementation monitoring costs involved, necessary to track progress or ensure compliance with plans to achieve effective implementation?

BUDGET GUIDELINES

Funding awarded by GI is conditional on continued support from our own core donors. If GI’s scheduled or anticipated funding is reduced, GI may need to reduce or cancel your award. We strongly encourage every funded project to prioritize completing the necessary steps outlined in

¹ For more information on comparative cost-effectiveness analysis, see: <https://www.povertyactionlab.org/resource/conducting-cost-effectiveness-analysis-cea>. If you have feedback on this exercise, the template, or the underlying rationale, please submit feedback [online](#).

your award letter to establish your subaward and begin invoicing as soon as possible. If you anticipate any major delays to your project timeline, please notify us immediately.

It is your responsibility that your budget follows your host institution's policies for costs, and **you must submit a letter from the institution to receive the award that states that they have reviewed your proposal and accepted your budget.**²

Guidelines for completing a multidisciplinary type I proposal budget

Survey and other in-country expenses: While designed for travel reimbursements and exploratory research, multidisciplinary type I grants can in some cases be used to cover expenses for in-country costs such as hiring enumerators, procuring translation or transcription services, developing instruments, and others. If these expenses are in the budget, J-PAL will provide the forms necessary to document them with your award notice, and you can claim these expenses as a reimbursement once the travel is completed. **J-PAL is not able to directly reimburse survey firms or local organizations for these costs. If you need a fieldwork organization to be reimbursed for such work, we encourage you to reach out to gi@povertyactionlab.org and gi_grant_admin@povertyactionlab.org before finalizing your proposal materials** to discuss a Milestone-Based Payment Schedule. Milestone-Based Payment structures are provided on a case-by-case basis based on a justified need for advanced funding. Applicants should be prepared to justify and/or provide evidence that their Universities are not able to fund initial activities.

Please note: If your scope of work requires reimbursing your Institute Receiving the Award (ITRA) (e.g., for fieldwork conducted with a partner such as focus groups or limited surveys) it is your responsibility that your budget follows the ITRA's policies for costs, and **you must submit a Letter of Transmission from the ITRA that states that they have reviewed your proposal and accepted your budget.** MIT now requires that at least one project PI be employed by the organization receiving the subaward and funds.

More information on ITRA/IRB/PI alignment and FAQs can be found [here](#).

Guidelines for completing a multidisciplinary type I grant proposal budget:

Be sure to use the Multidisciplinary Type I Budget Template on the RFP release page, providing detailed notes in the column provided in the template):

1. Multidisciplinary type I grants are paid as reimbursements. Multidisciplinary type I grants are for a maximum of \$30,000.

² If the organization allows you to submit your proposal without such a letter (due to time constraints or some other reason), please describe this in the notes section of your submission. Please note that this applies to all projects, including those going through J-PAL and IPA offices. You should contact them in advance to make sure you are aware of their policies for proposal review and give them enough time to meet the proposal deadline.

2. International travel: J-PAL will put you in touch with an MIT travel agent who can book international travel and charge J-PAL directly. If you choose to buy your own ticket, we will not be able to reimburse you until your flights have been completed.
3. J-PAL will only reimburse the lowest-cost available economy/cabin/coach flights. Please review [J-PAL's travel reimbursement policies](#) before completing your budget.
4. Grantees must complete their work and submit final reports within 18 months of receiving the grant award letter. Expenses will be reimbursed upon submission of an expense report. All expenses must be claimed within 30 days of your return. Expenses should be supported by receipts whenever possible, and all expenses over \$50 must be supported by receipts.
5. **If your project includes human subject research:**
 1. **Institute to Receive Award (ITRA) and Principal Investigator (PI) Alignment:**
 1. The ITRA must employ the PI formally named in the award. This formally-named PI will be the researcher responsible for the subaward agreement, as authorized by the Letter of Transmission. The formally-named PI must be:
 1. An employee of the ITRA
 2. PI named in the Letter of Transmission
 3. The main PI named in the IRB approval and IRB application
 2. **Institutional Review Board (IRB) Requirements:**
 1. The IRB is held by the institution that enters into the subaward agreement with MIT. The IRB must have [IORG](#) status and [FWA](#), or
 2. If the institution does not have its own IRB, the institution must engage the services of a commercial IRB or third party IRB to review and provide oversight for the research activities.
6. **In most cases, J-PAL is not able to directly reimburse any individuals or organizations other than the grantees awarded the travel grant.**
7. **Please note that J-PAL Initiatives do not cover PI salaries with the exception of PIs who completed a PhD and are based at an academic institution in a middle- or low- income country.** There are no individual PI limits, but the total budgeted amount for LMIC researcher PI time in aggregate across all co-PIs should not exceed \$3,750 per award.
8. **Project Implementation Costs: Implementation costs are expected to be borne by the project partners.** However, under some circumstances, initiatives can fund implementation costs where it is a marginal addition to an existing program to offset costs from an experiment (e.g. adding an additional treatment arm or the costs of an

encouragement design). These types of costs might include travel, small participant incentives, and/or SMS fees.

1. Proposals requesting funds for implementation are **required to explain why the implementer cannot bear the costs in the budget narrative and must also justify the input costs** (e.g, if texting fees are requested as an implementation cost, the budget narrative should include a breakdown of how many texts are planned, the estimated cost per texts, number of people on a given campaign, etc).
2. The approval of implementation costs rests solely at the discretion of the Initiative. Submission of a justification does not guarantee that such costs will be deemed allowable.

9. Allowable Direct and Indirect Costs

1. Unallowable costs include those labeled as “incidental,” “miscellaneous,” or “contingency.” Any costs for rent should be explained in the budget narrative.
2. *Medical insurance:* All grantees are required to provide proof of emergency medical insurance coverage before travel. As many universities provide this to their students, faculty, and staff at no cost, this is not a reimbursable cost under this award. J-PAL can provide information on affordable coverage if needed.
3. *Per diem:* A per diem stipend of \$25/day-in-country can be claimed to cover food and miscellaneous expenses.
4. Universities in high-income countries (according to the [World Bank classification](#)) can charge up to 10% in indirect costs, applied to total direct costs.
5. Non-university non-profits from any location and universities from mid- or low-income countries may charge up to 15% in indirect costs, applied to total direct costs.
6. We understand that the cap on overhead or indirect costs is low and that grantees may have reasonable project support costs included in budgets as direct costs. Such costs should be reasonable and explained in the budget narrative.

Guidelines for completing a multidisciplinary type II proposal budget: Please submit a detailed project budget using the [Multidisciplinary Type II Budget Template](#) provided at the [RFP release page](#). To reduce processing time, please keep the following in mind:

- **Institute to Receive Award (ITRA) and Principal Investigator (PI) Alignment:**
 - The ITRA must employ the PI formally named in the award. This formally-named PI will be the researcher responsible for the subaward agreement, as authorized by the Letter of Transmission. The formally-named PI must be:
 - An employee of the ITRA
 - PI named in the Letter of Transmission

- The main PI named in the IRB approval and IRB application
- **Institutional Review Board (IRB) Requirements:**
 - The IRB is held by the institution that enters into the subaward agreement with MIT. The IRB must have [IORG](#) status and [FWA](#), or
 - If the institution does not have its own IRB, the institution must engage the services of a commercial IRB or third party IRB to review and provide oversight for the research activities.
- Please refer to the Grant Conditions section in the RFP Overview, available at the GI RFP release page, to review all of GI's award requirements.
- Only projects with co-funding should complete both Excel sheets in the template, i.e. both "Total Project Budget" AND "Initiative Budget" (i.e. what you're requesting from the Initiative) in the budget template. **If the project has other funders, the proposal should clearly explain the marginal contribution of the requested funds from the Initiative.**
- **Applications must include a brief budget narrative document detailing the major costs within the budget in addition to the Excel template.**
 - **We also strongly encourage applicants to include budget notes in the column provided in the budget template, specifying input costs for line items within the budget.** Travel costs should include a breakdown of how many trips are planned, the estimated cost per trip, number of people on a given trip, etc. Any computer/equipment purchases should include a breakdown of what is being purchased (e.g. how many laptops), as well as the project staff that will be assigned to the equipment.
- Applicants should review J-PAL best practices on questionnaire design and data collection/management in the [J-PAL Research Protocol Checklist](#), to ensure they have budgeted for expenses associated with piloting and surveyor training, survey translation, field spot checks, and back checking.
- **Awards are paid on a cost-reimbursable basis.** However, MIT may agree to advance payments via a milestone payment structure to Institutes to Receive Award that are based in low- and middle-income countries on a case-by-case basis if requested by the research team. Please note that preparing an advance payment model requires additional time and additional coordination between MIT and the Institute to Receive Award.

Allowable Direct and Indirect Costs

- **Please note that J-PAL initiatives do not cover PI salaries with the exception of PIs who completed a PhD and are based at an academic institution in a middle- or low- income country.** There are no individual PI limits, but the total budgeted

amount for LMIC researcher PI time in aggregate across all co-PIs should not exceed \$3,750 per award.

- **Project Implementation Costs: Implementation costs are expected to be borne by the project partners.** However, under some circumstances, initiatives can fund implementation costs where it is a marginal addition to an existing program to offset costs from an experiment (e.g. adding an additional treatment arm or the costs of an encouragement design). These types of costs might include travel, small participant incentives, and/or SMS fees.
 - Proposals requesting funds for implementation are **required to explain why the implementer cannot bear the costs in the budget narrative and must also justify the input costs** (e.g, if texting fees are requested as an implementation cost, the budget narrative should include a breakdown of how many texts are planned, the estimated cost per texts, number of people on a given campaign, etc).
 - The approval of implementation costs rests solely at the discretion of the Initiative. Submission of a justification does not guarantee that such costs will be deemed allowable.
- Universities in high-income countries (according to the [World Bank classification](#)) can charge up to 10% in indirect costs, applied to total direct costs.
- Non-university non-profits from any location and universities from mid- or low-income countries may charge up to 15% in indirect costs, applied to total direct costs.
 - We understand that the cap on overhead or indirect costs is low and that grantees may have reasonable project support costs included in budgets as direct costs. Such costs should be reasonable and explained in the budget narrative.
- Unallowable costs include those labeled as “incidental,” “miscellaneous,” or “contingency.” Any costs for rent should be explained in the budget narrative.
 - Purchase of Assets: Depending on the source of your J-PAL funding and should your proposal be successful, you may be required to remove any assets (i.e., laptops, tablets, etc.) from your budget. Please create your budget with this in mind. Rentals of such items are allowed and the preferred way to address needs for assets like laptops.
- J-PAL will only reimburse the lowest-cost available economy/cabin/coach flights. Please review [J-PAL’s travel reimbursement policies](#) before completing your budget.

APPLICATION CHECKLIST

Please complete all sections in the online application by the full proposal deadline. You must upload several documents to complete your full proposal. All templates for these documents are provided at the [RFP release webpage](#) and listed below.

1. **Proposal Narrative:** Guidance pertaining to the narrative prompts in the online application is included in the [Narrative template](#) below. Please note that this section requires detailed power calculations.
2. **Proposal Budget:** Carefully review the Budget Guidelines in this document, then use the [Multidisciplinary Budget Template](#), which must be completed in its entirety and saved as a single Excel file with the title: [PI Last Name, First Name][Budget].xls(x) for upload to the application. The budget template is located on the [GI RFP website](#).
3. **Budget Narrative:** Detail the major costs within the budget, referring to the Budget Guidelines above, in a Word document with the title [PI Last Name, First Name][Budget Narrative].doc(x) for upload to the application. This document is required in addition to the Proposal Budget -- i.e. notes included in the Excel sheet do not suffice.
4. **Research Timeline:** Please upload a simple table, chart, or bulleted list with a timeline that outlines key project activities.
5. **Letter(s) of Support:** Please obtain a letter of support from the following, each saved as a single PDF file with the title [PI Last Name, First Name] [Name of Organization Letter of Support].pdf for upload to the application. If any of your letters are not in English, please upload a version translated to English.
 - a. required letters from each implementing partner, indicating the details of their commitment to partner on the research, and (for multidisciplinary type II) their willingness to share relevant program cost data. Consider including letter(s) from any potential scale-up partner(s), if relevant.
 - b. a required letter/document stating the proposed grant host institution's approval of the proposal materials.
 - c. **Graduate students applying as the primary PI are required to include a letter of support from a researcher adviser eligible for this call.** The letter should indicate the adviser's willingness to remain involved in a supervisory role throughout the lifetime of the project.
6. **Validation and Test Accuracy data:** Proposals that include **Artificial Intelligence (AI) or any new specialized technology should include validation and test accuracy data in the proposal**, showing that the technology successfully does what it intends.
7. **(Possible) Addendum:** If your proposal builds on completed project development or pilot findings, please submit an addendum detailing the results that inform your Multidisciplinary design.

APPLICATION TEMPLATE

The online application will require you to address the below prompts.

LETTER OF INTEREST QUESTIONS

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

1. **Primary Eligible Researcher*** - Please identify one researcher who is eligible for J-PAL Initiative funding. This may be the principal PI or any eligible co-PI. Details for only one primary eligible researcher are required in cases where there's more than one eligible researcher. Other PIs who are eligible can be added as co-PIs.
[First Name; Last Name; Email Address]
2. **Select PI Eligibility Category*** - Indicate how the researcher is eligible for J-PAL Initiative funding. [J-PAL Affiliate; Invited Researcher; PhD Student; J-PAL Postdoc]
 - a. **PhD Student Applicants*** - If you are a PhD student, please indicate the J-PAL-affiliated researcher who serves or will serve as an advisor on your dissertation committee. [First Name; Last Name; Email Address]
 - **Graduation Date*** – Please enter your expected graduation date/year.
3. **Organization Name of Eligible Researcher*** - Please tell us the name of the organization the eligible researcher (i.e., the professor or PhD student) is affiliated with.
4. Below, if you choose "Other" instead of "University," please contact the initiative team to provide more details. The organization mentioned below is the eligible researcher's organization type.
5. **Organization Type of Eligible Researcher*** [University; Other]
6. **Organization Website of Eligible Researcher**
7. **Country Where Organization of Eligible Researcher is Based or Headquartered***
8. **Team Members & Roles*** - Please add all your project team members and indicate their role(s) on the project/application below. Please copy and paste the role exactly as it is written below so that it matches our internal database.
Role options are: Applicant; Primary PI; co-PI; Reporting Contact; Secondary Reporting Contact; IRB Contact; Finance Contact; Collaborator; Contact for Contracting.
If you are the applicant and a PI (or another role), indicate so by adding yourself as an applicant and then again as a PI. As the applicant, you will be receiving all notifications related to this application. [First Name; Last Name; Role or Title; Email Address; up to 10 contacts]
9. **PI Certification**
 - a. I certify that any listed eligible researchers have agreed to be active, engaged, and responsive PIs or advisors on this project. Eligible researchers who are involved have confirmed they will be dedicated to guaranteeing quality control on all

aspects of this research and that their participation is not merely to provide access to resources and funding to other project team members who would otherwise be ineligible.

- b. I certify that all eligible researchers are up to date on reporting for all existing grants, across all J-PAL initiatives.

PI Certification* - Do you certify the above statements? [Yes; No]

10. Demographic Information

J-PAL is collecting information about all project teams, including demographic information, to better understand and support our research network. As the applicant, please send the language and [link](#) included below to all PIs/co-PIs on your proposal research team. Provide your proposal name and each member's role (as you listed them on the application) when you send out the blurb. Completion of this step is required for all primary investigators on your research team. Please note that each PI/co-PI only needs to complete this survey once. If they have already submitted the survey for a previous application, they do not need to fill it out again.

If you have any technical problems with completing this step please email help@povertyactionlab.org and the initiative team directly.

Feel free to cut and paste this suggested language in an email to send to the PIs and co-PIs on your team:

J-PAL is collecting information about all project teams, including demographic information, to better understand and support our research network. J-PAL is requiring all PIs and co-PIs on our research team to complete this [short form](#). This data will only be accessible in disaggregated, identifiable form to select J-PAL staff. Aggregate, anonymized data will be used to report to key partners such as donors and may be included on our website.

11. **Demographic Information Confirmation*** - Please confirm that every PI/co-PI has completed the questions in the above-linked survey. You may select "Not Yet" so as to finish other questions. However, if you submit the application without "Yes" selected, your application will not be considered (until the surveys are complete). If you select "Yes" and PIs or co-PIs have not completed their surveys, their applications will not be considered until the surveys are complete.

PROJECT DETAILS

1. **Full Title of Proposal*** [30 words max]
2. **Funding Amount*** - Amount of requested funding in USD.

3. **National Location*** - In which country or countries will your research project or travel take place?
4. **Past and Future Submissions to J-PAL*** - Have you submitted or do you plan to submit this proposal or a related proposal to any other J-PAL Initiative RFP, including in any previous [Initiative] round of funding? [Yes, I have submitted or plan to submit this proposal to another initiative/Yes, I have submitted this proposal previously to this initiative/No, I have not submitted or do not plan to submit this proposal to another initiative]
 - a. *[Logic-based if response is “Yes, I have submitted or plan to submit this proposal to another initiative”]* Please include the following:
 - Initiative(s), year/season of RFP, and the name of the LOI/proposal you submitted or plan to submit. (e.g., GI Spring 2019 Using Mobile Phones to Improve Service Delivery)
 - Are the PI team, context, and research question the same as in the previously submitted or soon to be submitted proposal?
 - If this proposal is for a similar project, but would be using funds for something different, please explain the difference in terms of what research you’re going to be conducting and how you’re using the budget
 - b. *[Logic-based if response is “Yes, I have submitted this proposal previously to this initiative”]* Please include the following:
 - Year/season of RFP, and the name of the LOI/proposal you submitted or plan to submit. (e.g., GI Spring 2019 Using Mobile Phones to Improve Service Delivery)
 - Are the PI team, context, and research question the same as in the previously submitted or soon to be submitted proposal?
 - If this proposal is for a similar project, but would be using funds for something different, please explain the difference in terms of what research you’re going to be conducting and how you’re using the budget
 - If the proposal you submitted previously was not funded, could you briefly explain if/how you responded to the feedback?
 - c. *[Logic Based - if either “Yes” response is selected]* **Details about Past and Future Submissions*** [350 words max]
5. **Abstract*** - Write a study abstract, including the project description, research question, and intervention or treatment to be evaluated. Discuss the project’s technical design, what is going to happen during the project, the practical value of your project, the conceptual value of your research, and the distributional implications of your work. Provide context about proposed partners. Please note that this abstract will be added to

the Initiative's webpage if the project receives funding. Below, please be sure to highlight both the main evaluation and the multidisciplinary angle in the abstract. [200 words max]

6. **Research Focus Areas and/or Cross-Cutting Themes*** - Please indicate which initiative focus areas or themes your proposal relates to; these are described in detail in the RFP materials. [political participation; multidisciplinary; corruption and leakages; state capacity]

Please note that GI has limited funding available for projects with corruption, leakages, and state capacity themes. This funding round will focus primarily but not exclusively on political participation and multidisciplinary themes.

7. **Initiative Alignment*** - Please briefly describe how the project aligns with the initiative's research focus areas and/or cross-cutting themes, as described in the RFP materials, initiative research agenda, or initiative review paper. [250 words max]
8. **AI Technology Type: Does the intervention being studied use AI (including machine learning, heterogeneous treatment targeting, generative AI, etc.)?*** If Yes, specifically indicate the AI technology type. [No, Yes: Supervised learning; Yes: Heterogeneous treatment targeting; Yes: Large language models (LLMs) (e.g., GPT, Claude, PaLM, etc.)]
 - a. **If you selected that it is based on LLMs, please also answer all of the following:**
 - 1) Which LLM is being used and why?
 - 2) Will a qualified human (e.g., teacher, healthcare worker, extension agent) review and verify the AI's output before this output is used?
 - 3) If yes, please describe their role and qualifications. If no human in the loop is present, explain why the intervention is still unlikely to cause harm even if the LLM's output is inaccurate. [200 words max]

FULL MULTIDISCIPLINARY APPLICATION QUESTIONS

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

1. **Full Title of Proposal*** Please enter your proposal title. If it hasn't changed since your LOI, copy the original title. [30 words max]
2. **Multidisciplinary Grant Type*** - Indicate by checking the appropriate box whether the application is for Type I or Type II funding.
3. **Funding Amount*** - Please enter the amount of requested funding in USD. This should match the amount indicated in your uploaded budget.
4. **Proposed Period of Performance Start Date*** - What is the proposed start date for this J-PAL grant's subaward activities?

5. **Proposed Period of Performance End Date*** - What is the proposed end date for this J-PAL grant's subaward activities?
6. **Existing Research Project Funded by J-PAL*** - Are you applying to fund additional research as part of an existing research project previously funded by J-PAL (e.g., a second proposal development grant continuing from a prior proposal development grant, a pilot grant building on a travel/proposal development, a full RCT building on a pilot, etc.)?
[Yes;No]
 - a. *[Logic Based - if above response is Yes]* **Existing Research Project Details*** - Please provide the title and/or J-PAL grant number of your previously funded project. Please explain how the project has progressed since it was last submitted to J-PAL. [250 words max]

NARRATIVE

7. **Final Abstract*** - Write a brief abstract of the study. Please note that this abstract will be added to the Initiative's webpage if the project receives funding. If nothing has substantially changed since the LOI submission, please copy the original response here. Below, please be sure to highlight both the main evaluation and the multidisciplinary angle in the abstract.
[200 words max]
8. **Final Research Focus Areas and/or Cross-Cutting Themes*** - Indicate which Initiative focus areas or themes your proposal relates to; these are described in detail in the RFP materials. If nothing has changed since the LOI submission, please copy the original response here.
[Multi Select]
9. (Type I) **Statement of Topic** - What is your research topic?

Multidisciplinary type I grants are intended to facilitate preliminary research ideas, so proposals need only address the general topic of interest and current ideas on how the question might be explored through a randomized evaluation with a multidisciplinary angle. Proposals do not need to include a detailed program or evaluation design.

- a. Please describe the policy problem that motivates this project and how it fits with the research priorities identified by GI.
- b. Include information about the potential population and key outcomes.
- c. In your response, please also list any proposed partner(s), including J-PAL regional offices, IPA country offices, or other organizations you plan to work with for both program implementation and evaluation. Include the history of the partnership, and any in-kind or financial support they have committed or provided to the project.

10. (Type I) **Pathway to an RCT*** - How will the proposed activities inform the design of a full RCT grant proposal? Will this grant provide qualitative data to inform intervention design? How could the findings meet the needs of policymakers or development practitioners?
11. **Multidisciplinary Connections*** - Please discuss the proposal's connection to multidisciplinary research frameworks and questions, and how these frameworks and key research questions relate to or differ from frameworks and key questions in the [Governance Review Paper \(executive summary here\)](#).
Please note that all multidisciplinary projects must have a PI or co-PI from a discipline other than economics or political science.
12. (Type II) **The Policy Problem*** - Provide a summary of the policy problem that motivates this research, explaining its importance and how it aligns with the research priorities in the RFP Overview. Save finer details of the intervention for the Intervention Details question below. Describe the knowledge gap your project addresses, how it will advance the field, and why the research is valuable. Support your case with descriptive data, a brief literature review, or other evidence of the problem in this setting. Demonstrate meaningful ex ante uncertainty about the results of the study, i.e., that given existing evidence there are both reasons to believe the intervention(s) studied are promising and also reasons to doubt whether they will achieve their goals.
[500 words max]
13. (Type II) **Intervention Details*** - Describe the intervention or treatment to be evaluated and how it could potentially improve one or more of the initiative's priority outcomes. Please name the implementing partner(s), specify the target population and how the intervention benefits people living in poverty.
[400 words max]
14. (Type II) **Evaluation Design*** - Please describe your evaluation design, and how it fits with the topics outlined in the RFP materials. Please include the following:
 - a. Details of your evaluation design can include but are not limited to:
 - i. What are the units of randomization and analysis (e.g., individual, household, village, etc.)?
 - ii. What is the method of randomization (e.g., lottery, phase-in, encouragement, etc.)?
 - iii. What is the sample size? How would it be divided into treatment and control?
 - iv. What is the theorized causal relationship between the intervention and the outcomes to be tested? How will you test these mechanisms? Are there

threats that could compromise the validity of results? How do you plan to address those threats?

- b. Please include information about data collection and key outcomes:
 - i. Succinctly describe your data collection plan and key outcome measures of the study. Please include the data collection partner and your relationship with them.
 - ii. What are your intermediate and final outcomes? How will these be measured? When will you take measurements, and how frequently? If there are more than two treatment groups, please list them using numerals.
 - iii. Include preliminary, pilot, or other available descriptive data relevant to the evaluation. Indicate the reliability of this existing data from this research context.
 - iv. In the "Additional Attachments" section, you may also attach more detailed pilot data and results as an appendix (that does not count as part of the character/page limits for this proposal narrative), but please highlight in this section of your narrative the key details to ensure reviewers take them into account.
- [600 words max]

15. (Type II) **Policy Impact and Potential to Scale*** - What is the potential policy impact of this intervention (policies, programs, processes, or delivery mechanisms)? Please comment on the ability of this intervention to potentially scale and translate in different contexts.

[400 words max]

16. (Type II) **Power Calculations*** - Please provide detailed, convincing, and well-justified power calculations, e.g., based on current or past pilot data, existing literature, admin data of ongoing operations, etc., for any impacts that the research team plans to measure. Include and describe effect size, take up/compliance, variance, clusters, observations per cluster, rho). Power calculations should quantitatively demonstrate that the study is well-powered enough to detect effects on the outcome(s) of interest that would be practically or conceptually meaningful. Proposals should articulate which null hypotheses are relevant for this exercise and why (in particular, not assuming that the null of zero impact is necessarily the relevant one). Be sure to answer these two questions:

- a. What is the minimum detectable effect size? Why do you believe this is an appropriate size?
- b. Clearly state which data and assumptions you use for these estimates. Please include assumptions of take-up, and do not assume take-up will be 100% for the purposes of power calculations.

For more detailed information about power calculations, see this resource:

<https://www.povertyactionlab.org/resource/power-calculations>.

[300 words max]

17. **Optional: Timeline** - Please write out a timeline with key project activities. If you have a graphic for your timeline, please upload it in the last section of the application, under “Additional Attachments.”

[200 words max]

18. **Local Researchers and PIs*** - Describe how this project involves researchers and/or PIs local to the project context.

[100 words max]

19. (Type II) **Gender Analysis and Reporting*** - Does the proposal address any gender issues? For example, have you taken into account how gender considerations could affect the outcomes of the intervention? Does the proposal disaggregate data and outcomes by gender? Pilot, RCT, and Multidisciplinary Type II projects are required to report on gender-disaggregated impacts: Please briefly explain whether you will disaggregate gender impacts or why this would not be feasible.

[200 words max]

20. (Type II) **Project Costing Exercise***

If funded, you will be expected to provide cost data as a project deliverable. Please outline your approach to collect and report costing data for the intervention you are evaluating. In your response, please address:

- a. Your rough assessment of the cost per beneficiary or per benefit in a scalable, non-research setting.
- b. How you will distinguish implementation costs from evaluation costs.
- c. The types of cost data you plan to collect, when, and how.
- d. How you will collaborate with implementing partners to gather accurate cost data.
- e. Any anticipated challenges in collecting or reporting this data and how you plan to address them. [500 words max]

21. **AI: Validation and Test Accuracy Data*** - Proposals that include Artificial Intelligence (AI) or any new specialized technology should include validation and test accuracy data in the proposal, showing that the technology successfully does what it intends. If applicable, please provide that validation and test accuracy data here, or please upload it in the last section of the application, under “Additional Attachments.” If not applicable, please write

“N/A.”

[200 words max]

POTENTIAL RISKS

To protect children, research participants, staff, and community members, to comply with donor requirements, and to maintain a strong reputation for ethical research, J-PAL wants researchers to think carefully about the risks their research projects could face and how they will address such risks. The below questions provide an opportunity for researchers to do that. Successful applicants must provide updated answers in annual narrative reports only if there have been substantial changes since their proposal or last annual narrative report. **Please answer the following questions in detail.**

22. **Completion*** - Are there any technical, logistical, ethical, or political obstacles and risks that might threaten the completion of the project (e.g., implementation capacity, government authorization, or other funding)? How do you plan to monitor and prevent/address these types of risks throughout the project? [200 words max]
23. **Implementing Partners*** - Please discuss any information about the implementing partner(s) that could pose ethical, reputational, or legal risks (e.g., child safeguarding, corruption or misuse of funds, etc). If applicable, what proactive measures have you taken or will you take to assess, monitor, and mitigate/prevent any such potential risks? [150 words max]
24. **Child Safeguarding*** - Particularly for projects working with children, what child safeguarding risks exist? [200 words max]
25. **Participants, Staff, Community Members*** - For each of the groups below, please describe any potential unintended consequences or risks of this project to them. What proactive measures have you taken or will you take to assess, monitor, and mitigate/prevent any such potential risks? [300 words max]
 - a. Program and research participants
 - b. Staff (e.g., implementing partners, research assistants, enumerators)
 - c. Community members (e.g., untreated members of a household, untreated neighbors, or broader communities if the treatment might have spillover or downstream effects beyond the study sample)
26. **Contractual Limitations*** - Are there any contractual limitations on the ability of the researchers to report the results of the study? If so, what are those restrictions, and who are they from? [150 words max]

PARTNERSHIP QUESTIONS (Type II)

27. Partnership Status* - Have you established communication with relevant stakeholders including but not limited to government agencies and implementing partners, for research collaboration? [Yes;No]

- a. *[Logic based if answer above is Yes]* If this project takes place in a country that has a J-PAL office but it is **not** the host institution, please detail the reasoning in the Partner Description question below. Your answer will help us understand how J-PAL offices can be more competitive and better respond to the needs of PIs.
- b. *[Logic based continued]* **Name of Partner Organization #1*** - Indicate the name(s) of the partner organization.
- c. *[Logic based continued]* **Role of Partner Organization #1*** - Indicate the role of the organization on this project. If you are adding a co-funder as a partner, please add more details under the question "Co-funder Details" farther down.
[Co-funder; Scale-up Partner; Other; Research Partner; Intervention Implementation Partner; Government Partner]
 - i. *[Logic based if answer above is Other]* If you selected "Other" above, please explain the role of your partner #1.
- d. *[Logic based continued]* **Partner Description #1*** - Please provide a brief description of the partner(s), the partner's involvement in project activities, and any in-kind or financial support they have committed or provided to the project. [250 words max]
- e. *[Logic based continued]* **Point of Contact Based at the Partner Organization #1*** - Please provide details about your point of contact at the partner organization.
 - i. First Name*
 - ii. Last Name*
 - iii. Role or Title*
 - iv. Email Address*
 - v. Phone Number (not required)

If you have more than one partner, there will be the option to add a second and third partner organization.

28. Co-funder Details - If you are adding co-funders, indicate the total amount of received or committed funding, the funded proposal or project title, and the name of the primary PI for the co-funded proposal or project. [150 words max]

29. Interest in Co-funding - In the "Interest in Co-funding" box below, tell us if you are interested in applying for scaling co-funding from the [Fund for Innovation in Development \(FID\)](#) or other donors in J-PAL's network? Would you potentially like assistance from J-PAL staff in preparing a proposal to these donors? Please note that assistance will be provided on a case-by-case basis, but the first possible step is assessing interest. [150 words max]

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD AND INSTITUTE TO RECEIVE AWARD

30. Institutional Review Board (IRB) Information

Please be mindful that MIT requires that the Institutional Review Board (IRB) determination must be held by the institution that enters into the subaward agreement with MIT. The PI at the ITRA must be listed as the main PI on the IRB. If the institution does not have its own IRB, the institution must engage the services of a commercial IRB to review and provide oversight for the research activities. [Heartland](#) and [Solutions](#) provide review of international research and satisfy J-PAL's IRB requirements; fees can be found on their respective websites. Furthermore, MIT requires that the PI named on the IRB must be employed by the institute receiving the award. Information about this MIT policy can be found [here](#) and [here](#). Consult with J-PAL regarding including the cost of this commercial entity in the project budget. J-PAL also requires that the reviewing IRB have IRB Organization (IORG) status with the US Office of Human Protections.

[You can look up the IORG status of an IRB here.](#)

31. Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Record

If the project has not received an IRB exemption and IRB review is required, please provide the current or expected IRB of record. IRB Requirements - If this proposal receives initiative funding, we will ask that you submit:

- a. All IRB approval(s) or exemption(s)
- b. All IRB-approved protocols
- c. Any IRB-approved consent forms

32. Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Record* - Provide the name of the IRB of Record below.

33. Is this IRB of Record IORG certified?*

[Yes;No]

34. Local Legal Requirements Certification* - All PIs and co-PIs certify that they understand they must adhere to all local legal requirements, including obtaining local IRB approval and government research permits, where applicable. Do you agree? [Yes/No]

35. Data Publication* - Please confirm you plan to publish data collected in an open-access, online database at the end of the evaluation. Data publication is required for projects funded by a J-PAL initiative, unless researchers request an exemption (which J-PAL has the discretion to deny) for legal, ethical, or proprietary reasons. Please see J-PAL's Data and Code Availability Policy for more information about data publication:

 [Data_code_availability_policy_2020.pdf](#)

[Yes;No]

36. Institute to Receive Award (ITRA) Information

PLEASE NOTE: MIT now requires that at least one project PI be employed by the organization receiving the subaward and funds. Please provide the name of the ITRA here.

Please see this [memo](#) and [FAQs document](#) for more information. **Please note that if your proposal involves HSR, it will be set up as a subaward agreement between MIT and your home institution. Only proposals without HSR can be set up as cost-reimbursable purchase orders.**

37. **Institute to Receive Award (ITRA)*** - Please indicate the institution that will receive the grant funds.
38. **Contact at Institute to Receive Award (ITRA)** - Please provide contact information for the person who will be in charge of the contract at the ITRA. [First Name; Last Name; Role or Title; Email Address; up to 1 contact]
39. **Unique Entity ID*** - Does your ITRA have a Unique Entity ID (UEI) from the website SAM.gov? Please note that, if your proposal is awarded funding, all subawardees of MIT require a UEI from SAM.gov.
 - d. Yes, my ITRA has a UEI
 - e. No, my ITRA does not have a UEI
 - f. I am not sure

BUDGET

40. Budget Template

From the [RFP website](#), download and complete the Multidisciplinary Budget Template. There are two tabs: one for the initiative-specific budget and one for the project budget (i.e., the initiative-specific budget plus any other sources of funding you may have). When done, please upload your completed budget in the field below. Please note that the budget template is formatted specifically for this application. Do not remove the formatting, change any of the formatting, or create new columns.

41. Budget Upload*

42. **Budget Narrative Upload*** - Please justify the expenses outlined in your budget in a Word document with the title [PI Last Name, First Name][Budget Narrative].doc(x), and upload it here. This document is required in addition to the Proposal Budget. Notes included in the Excel sheet of the budget do not suffice.

LETTERS OF SUPPORT & ADDITIONAL MATERIALS

43. **Letter of Support from Implementing Partner*** - Multidisciplinary proposals (Type I) are not required, but encouraged, to submit a letter of support from an implementing partner. Multidisciplinary proposals (Type II) are required to provide a letter of support from the implementing partner on their underlying evaluation, as well as from any new implementing partners that will be included as a part of their new proposed research activities. This letter should indicate a willingness to work with the research team and an agreement to share

program cost data with J-PAL (through the PI) for the purpose of conducting a cost-effectiveness analysis.

44. **Letter of Transmission*** - If setting up a subaward (i.e., if there is human subject research), Type I and Type II projects are required to provide a letter or document stating approval of the proposal materials and budget from each proposed institute to receive award (ITRA). Please note that MIT policy states that the project PI needs to be at the ITRA and that the ITRA should provide the IRB (either using the Institute's IRB or a third party IRB). The Project PI must be the PI on the IRB.
45. **Potential Scale-Up Partner Letter of Support** - If available, applicants should also include letters of support from potential scale-up partners.
46. **Are you applying as a PhD Student?***[Yes/No]
 - a. *[Logic based if answer above is Yes]* **J-PAL Affiliate or Invited Researcher Letter of Support*** - PhD student applicants are required to submit a letter of support from a J-PAL Affiliate or Invited Researcher who serves as an adviser on the applicant's dissertation committee. This letter should indicate the adviser's willingness to advise the student throughout the project's lifetime. Please note that in some cases, the adviser may be asked to add their name to the financial award and IRB documents. Please upload the letter of support here. If your advisor would rather submit this letter confidentially, ask them to email it to gi@povertyactionlab.org. If the advisor submits the letter by email, the PhD student will need to bypass this upload field by uploading a blank document.
47. **Additional attachments** - Please attach any relevant materials discussed in your answers to the previous questions.