



How to Randomize

Santosh Harish

Energy Policy Institute at University of Chicago

4 July 2017



Course Overview

- 1. What is Evaluation?
- 2. Theory of Change
- 3. Outcome, Impact & Indicators
- 4. Why Randomize?

5. How to Randomize?

- 6. Sampling and Sample Size
- 7. Threats and Analysis
- 8. Research to Policy
- 9. Project from Start to Finish

Lecture Overview

- What is randomization
- Simple Randomization
- Unit of Randomization
- Methods for different evaluation questions
- Real world challenges & design solutions

Lecture Overview

- What is Randomization
- Simple Randomization
- Unit of Randomization
- Methods for different evaluation questions
- Real world challenges & design solutions









ANDOMEZE

Randomly sample from area of interest

Alter and





Randomly assign to treatment

CANDOWZE





Randomly *assign* to treatment and control

ANDOMEZE





Alternate methods of Randomization?



If we took a random sample from the South of the city (bottom of the screen) for C, and one from the North (top of the screen) as T, in expectation, the difference in income will be statistically insignificant.



J-PAL | HOW TO RANDOMIZE

Β.

C.

b5

NOT Random Assignment

NOT Random Assignment

Lecture Overview

- What is Randomization
- Simple Randomization
- Unit of Randomization
- Methods for different evaluation questions
- Real world challenges & design solutions

Simple randomization: Fixed **probability**

- For each member, set probability (e.g. 50%).
 - Spot randomization
 - Point-of-service randomization

 May end up with slightly more in one group and fewer in the other

ID	Coin	Treatment /Control
1	Heads	Т
2	Heads	Т
3	Tails	С
4	Heads	Т
5	Tails	С
6	Heads	Т
7	Tails	С
8	Tails	С
9	Heads	Т
10	Heads	Т
Count:		T: 6

Complete randomization: Fixed **proportion**

- Need sample frame
- Determine number in treatment (and in control)
- Pull out of a hat/bucket
 -or-
- Use random number generator to order observations randomly
- What if no lists exist?

Source: Chris Blattman

Lecture Overview

- What is Randomization
- Simple Randomization
- Unit of Randomization
- Methods for different evaluation questions
- Real world challenges & design solutions

Unit of Randomization: Individual?

Unit of Randomization: Individual?

Unit of Randomization: Clusters?

Unit of Randomization: Class?

ŤŤŤ

ŤŤ

ŤŤŤ

ŤŤ

ŤŤ

Unit of Randomization: Class?

Unit of Randomization: School?

Unit of Randomization: School?

An education department wants to see if increasing the duration of recess can help reduce rates of obesity. <u>What is the appropriate unit of randomization?</u>

- A. Child level
- B. Household level
- C. Classroom level
- D. School level
- E. Village level
- F. Don't know

Lecture Overview

- What is Randomization
- Simple Randomization
- Unit of Randomization
- Methods for different evaluation questions
- Real world challenges & design solutions

The department of agriculture believes that if farmers used more fertilizer yields would improve. One advisor believes organic fertilizer will be more effective; a second believes inorganic fertilizer is better; a third believes neither will be effective. <u>Can we test all three beliefs within one single experiment?</u>

A. Yes, and we should

- B. No, they can only be answered with <u>two</u> separate experiments
- C. No they can only be answered with <u>three</u> separate experiments
- D. Yes, but <u>best practice</u> is to run <u>separate</u> <u>experiments</u>
- E. Don't know

1. Multiple treatments

Treatment 1 Treatment 2 Control

Reducing crime

The newly elected governor is looking for strategies to lower crime

- A. Advisor A suggests crime is an economic phenomenon. The best strategy to fight crime is employment. He proposes job training
- B. Advisor B says criminals already have a choice to work, and can always make more money committing crimes. We need to take the choice away with more law and order. He proposes <u>hiring</u> <u>more police officers</u> to patrol the streets.
- C. Advisor C says we need to simultaneously raise the cost of committing crime by increasing the chances of getting caught. So more officers are needed. But many criminals don't see formal employment as a choice. We need to reduce the cost of finding a job. Job training is also needed. He claims <u>a combined</u> strategy will be more cost effective than each individual strategy by itself.

Advisor A: Job Training Advisor B: More police officers Advisor C: Combined strategy How many treatment arms should we use to test all three advisors' hypotheses?

2. Cross-cutting treatments: Factorial Design

Cross-cutting treatments

Cross-cutting treatments



Cross-cutting treatments



Cross-cutting treatments



3. Varying intensity of treatment

- To Measure:
 - Dosage
 - Sensitivity
 - Elasticity
 - Spillovers

Varying intensity of treatment (individual)

- Dosage
- Sensitivity
- Elasticity



©Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab (J-PAL) | povertyactionlab.org

Varying intensity of treatment (individual)

- Spillovers
- "General equilibrium"



Lecture Overview

- What is Randomization
- Simple Randomization
- Unit of Randomization
- Methods for different evaluation questions
- Real world challenges & design solutions



To Test Housing Program, Some Are Denied Aid By Cara Buckley December 8, 2010

Half of the test subjects — people who are behind on rent and in danger of being evicted — are being denied assistance from the program for two years, with researchers tracking them to see if they end up homeless.

"They should immediately stop this experiment. The city shouldn't be making guinea pigs out of its most vulnerable" -Scott Stringer (Manhattan Borough President)

> "I don't think homeless people in our time, or any time, should be treated like lab rats" -Councilwoman Annabel Palma



To Test Housing Program, Some Are Denied Aid By Cara Buckley December 8, 2010

'It's a very effective way to find out what works and what doesn't, everybody, every country, has a limited budget and wants to find out what programs are effective." -Esther Duflo, Economist at M.I.T.

The firm's institutional review board concluded that the study was ethical for several reasons, said Mary Maguire, a spokeswoman for Abt: because it was not an entitlement, meaning it was not available to everyone; because it could not serve all of the people who applied for it; and because the control group had access to other services.

C1: Identifying Control and Treatment

• Service providers have trouble distinguishing between treatment and comparison (or customizing service)



- treatment
- comparison
 - Services provided to both
- Crossovers: Control receives intervention (No longer represents pure counterfactual)

S1: Assign to Different Service Providers

• Service providers have trouble distinguishing between treatment and comparison (or customizing service)



- Have different teams provide the different treatments
- Randomly assign to those teams

S1b: Randomize at a different unit

• Service providers have trouble distinguishing between treatment and comparison (or customizing service)



- Change the unit of random assignment
- Have providers treat entire clusters the same

C2a: Control group <u>finds out</u> about treatment

• If treatment and control individuals know each other, the control may get upset.



treatment

comparison

Talks with friends (treatment and control)

Friends in control group get upset with researchers or service providers

• Service providers may lose support of community

C2a: Control group <u>finds out</u> about treatment

• If treatment and control individuals know each other, the control may get upset.



- treatment
- comparison
- Talks with friends (treatment and control)
- Friends in control group get upset with researchers or service providers

- Service providers may lose support of community
- Attrition: Control withdraws participation from research

C2b: Control group <u>benefits</u> from treatment

May change their behavior after seeing treatment •





True impact = 5





Measured impact = 0

Treatment group

Control group

Bad health

Good health

S2a: Varying the unit to contain spillovers







Unit of Randomization



S2b: Creating a Buffer



C3: Have resources to treat everyone. (Where's the control group?)

If you had enough resources to provide everyone what would you do?

- A. It's an important question, let's run the experiment anyway
- B. Give the control group an alternate treatment
- C. Scrap the experiment and look elsewhere
- D. Something else





S3: Phase In





























ŤŤŤ









































Phase 4: All treated control (experiment over)

























J-PAL | HOW TO RANDOMIZE

Phase-in: Can be any level, any # of phases Phase 1: Half get treatment, half control

* † *	* † *			* † *	* † *		
ŤŤŤ	ŤŤŤ	ŤŤŤ		ŢŢŢ	ŤŤŤ	ŤŤŤ	ŤŤŤ
						" 	
* † *	* † *	Π ΙΙ Π	πшπ	* † *	*†*	πιπ	πιπ
İİİ	İŻİ	* † *	* † *	İ İ	İ İ İ		*†*
* † *	ŤŤŤ	Ť Ť Ť	ŤŤŤ	†††	ŤŤŤ	Ť Ť Ť	İ İİ
À 🛉 Å	Å 📩 Å			Å 📩 Å	Å Å Å		
	T T M A M	* † *	* † *	Π Τ Μ 🗍 Μ	ΤΙΤ Μ΄♠΄Μ̈́	*†*	**
	Å Ť Å	İ İ İ	İİ İİ İİ	* † *	* † *	İ İ	İ İ
		* † *	*†*			*†*	**

Phase-in: Can be any level, any # of phases Phase 2: Everyone is treated

		* * *	Å Å Å			Å Å Å	* • *
' ↑ ↑ ↑	` ` 	ŤĬŤ Ť ŤŤ		"ТТ" * † *	'	ŤĨŤ ŇĂŇ	
†	*†*	ŤŤŤ	ŤŤŤ	* † *	*†*	ŤŤŤ	ŤŤŤ
		'∏' Ť` '∏' Ť Ť Ť	' Ĥ ' ♣ Ħ ♣			" 	ĬĬŤĬĬ ŤŤŤ
ŤŤŤ ŇÅ Ň	ŤŤŤ ŇÅ Ň	* † *	**	ŤŤŤ ŇÅ Ň	ÅŤŤ ₩Å₩	ዹ፟ ፹፞፞ዹ	* † *
		* ' * *	 			* ' *	

C4: There's an eligibility criteria



C4: There's an eligibility criteria



S4: Relax the eligibility criteria



S4: Randomize "on the bubble"

C5: Program is an entitlement Cannot force nor deny intervention

The Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act 2005

Ministry of Rural Development Government of India

Ministry of Rural Development Government of India

C5: Program is an entitlement

S5: Encouragement

Treatment Group

Control Group

S5: Encouragement

Treatment Group

Control Group

3/4^{ths} take-up

1/4th take-up
To evaluate the effect of this program, you would first:

- A. Compare those who enrolled to those who didn't
- B. Drop those who didn't enroll from the treatment group
- C. Drop those who did enroll from the control group
- D. Both B&C
- E. Compare treatment group to entire control group



S5: Encouragement

Treatment Group

Control Group



3/4^{ths} take-up

1/4th take-up

Compare Entire Treatment Group to Entire Control Group

C6: Sample size is small



S6a: Change the unit of randomization







S6a: Change the unit of randomization

* † *	¶¶ ∰ ¶ ♣ ¶ ♠		↑ ↑ ↑		
	ŤŤŤ ŤŤŤ				
	ŤŤŤ Å Å Å				
	ŢŢŢŢ ŢŢŢŢ	ŢŢŢŢ ŢŢŢŢ ŢŢŢ		ŢŢŢŢ ŢŢŢŢ	

Stratification: Why Stratify?





Stratification



Stratification II: By Gender



Take the women



Randomize Women separately



Randomize the men separately







Stratification by Gender



Recap on Challenges

Challenge		Implication		Solution		
•	Service provider can't distinguish between T & C	•	Crossovers	•	Change Unit of Randomization Create a buffer	
•	Control group finds out, benefits or is harmed	•	Spillovers Crossovers Attrition	•	Change Unit of Randomization Create a buffer	
•	Resources to treat all	•	No control group	•	Phase in	
•	Strict eligibility criteria	•	Can't be randomized	•	Randomization on the bubble	
•	Program is an entitlement	•	Can't force/deny program	•	Encouragement Design	
•	Sample size is small	•	Insufficient power	•	Change unit of randomization Stratification	





Questions?

Follow us on Twitter @JPAL_SA Visit our website <u>www.povertyactionlab.org</u> for more resources





Appendix: Methods of randomization - recap

Design	Most useful when	Advantages	Disadvantages
Basic Lottery	•Program oversubscri bed	 Familiar Easy to understand Easy to implement Can be implemented in public 	 Control group may not cooperate Differential attrition

Methods of randomization - recap

Design	Most useful when	Advantages	Disadvantages
Phase-In	 Expanding over time Everyone must receive treatment eventually 	 Easy to understand Constraint is easy to explain Control group complies because they expect to benefit later 	 Anticipation of treatment may impact short-run behavior Difficult to measure long-term impact

Methods of randomization - recap

Design	Most useful when	Advantages	Disadvantages
Rotation	 Everyone must receive something at some point Not enough resources per given time period for all 	•More data points than phase-in	 Difficult to measure long-term impact

Methods of randomization - recap

Design	Most useful when	Advantages	Disadvantages
Encouragement	 Program has to be open to all comers When take-up is low, but can be easily improved with an incentive 	•Can randomize at individual level even when the program is not administered at that level	 Measures impact of those who respond to the incentive Need large enough inducement to improve take-up Encouragement itself may have direct effect