



DAY ONE

8:30am – 9:00am	Light Breakfast
9:00am – 9:15am	Welcome and Conference Overview Craig McIntosh
9:15am – 10:45am	BREAKOUT SESSION Craig McIntosh, Rachel Glennerster A: Principles of Impact Evaluation and Randomized Trials (Practitioners) B: Overview of Participating Organization Priorities (Researchers)
10:45am – 11:00am	Coffee Break
11:00am – 12:00pm	ATAI Evidence and Research Priorities Rachel Glennerster
12:00pm – 1:00pm	Lunch

AGENDA

$\Lambda \lambda$		N	
horall		I N	

1:00pm – 2:00pm	Researcher and Practicioner Presentations
2:00pm – 3:00pm	Short Implementing Partner Presentations 10 organizations, 5 minutes each
3:00pm – 3:15pm	Coffee Break
3:15pm – 4:15pm	Short Implementing Partner Presentations 10 organizations, 5 minutes each
4:15pm – 5:30pm	Breakout Session I Researchers and Implementing Partners paired by previously stated interests and meeting requests
5:30pm – 5:45pm	Day One Closing Remarks and Sign Up for Breakout Session 2
6:30pm – 9:00pm	Conference Dinner

ΛV	$\Gamma \setminus A$	۸ / ا	
H	ΙV	' V '	

8:30am – 9:00am	Light Breakfast
9:00am – 10:30am	Short Researcher Presentations 15 researchers, 5 minutes each
10:30am – 11:00am	Coffee Break
11:00am – 12:30pm	Breakout Session 2 Researchers and Implementing Partners paired by researcher requests
12:30pm – 1:30pm	Lunch Partner sign-up for Breakout Session 3
1:30pm – 2:45pm	Breakout Session 3 Researchers and Implementing Partners paired by implementing partner requests
2:45pm – 4:00pm	Researcher and Implementing Partner Group Work With coffee
4:00pm – 5:30pm	Preliminary Ideas: Joint Researcher-Practitioner Presentations 8 presentations, 10 minutes each
5:30pm	Concluding Remarks Craig McIntosh

AGENDA



WELCOME NOTE

Welcome to the 2013 Agricultural Technology Adoption Initiative (ATAI) Partnership Development Conference at the University of California, Berkeley, co-sponsored by the Center for Effective Global Action (CEGA) and the Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab (J-PAL).

Over the next two days, we hope to provide a productive space for researchers and practitioners to come together and establish new partnerships that explore questions critical to the welfare of smallholder farmers throughout Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia. Our program provides examples of how partnerships between researchers and practitioners may work, and ample opportunities for the two groups to lay the groundwork for innovative new research.

Since its launch in 2009, ATAI's has awarded over \$5 million to fund 24 unique research projects in 12 countries that explore such diverse questions as how to focus remittance payments to improve agriculture, how to strategically use social networks to change planting behavior, how agricultural insurance may affect farming practices, and how mobile technology may be an effective way to deliver information to farmers and pastoralists.



This conference is the product of a months-long portfolio analysis, in which researchers assessed areas in which critical research gaps remain. Research focusing on accessing credit, using risk markets, and provision of information are well represented in our portfolio. While ATAI will continue to fund open questions in these areas, this process, which included an academic retreat in February, has helped surface four research priority areas for ATAI. These include:

- The use of behavioral economics and marketing to increase adoption of technologies with "hidden" traits, in which the technologies' benefits are not always or immediately visible to the producer or consumer;
- Projects that address the institutional and market barriers for smallholder farmers to enter and sustain themselves in equitable value chains;
- · Research that effectively measures the impact on labor markets of technology adoption; and
- Studies that investigate various environmental impacts of technology adoption, and ways in which to promote environmentally-forward technologies.

We hope that the next two days are useful to you in exploring the potential to incorporate this research into your organization if you are a practitioner, and if you are a researcher, that you may find an organization with which you may initiate exciting new research. We look forward to a productive two days, in which the outcomes lead to research and programs that improve the lives of farmers across the developing world.

Best Regards,

Rachel Glennerster (J-PAL), Craig McIntosh (CEGA and J-PAL), and Chris Udry (J-PAL)

ABOUT ATAI

The Agricultural Technology Adoption Initiative (ATAI) is a collaborative program between researchers at MIT's Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab (J-PAL) and UC Berkeley's Center for Effective Global Action (CEGA). Supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, the U.K. Department for International Development (DFID), and an anonymous donor, ATAI's mission is to develop and rigorously test programs that improve adoption and profitable use of agricultural technology by small-scale farmers in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia.



As many of the poorest people in the world are smallholder farmers, agricultural productivity is inextricably linked to poverty alleviation. In order to maximize gains from investments in new technologies, we need to understand why appropriate technologies are not adopted, and determine how to design the most cost-effective ways to ensure that new technological advances translate into improved lives for the poorest.

Through semi-annual grantmaking competitions, ATAI funds randomized impact evaluations that seek to identify and overcome constraints that keep low-income farmers from adopting profitable agricultural technologies, and that measure the welfare impact of technology adoption on individuals, households, and communities.

ATAI's conceptual framework defines seven constraints to adoption of profitable technologies by smallholder farmers. In a well-functioning economy where markets perfectly capture all costs and benefits, and individuals are fully informed and unconstrained, farmers will adopt a technology if they make a profit from adopting it. Of course, most economies of the world are very far from the well-functioning ideal. Movement away from this ideal creates constraints on the adoption of even profitable technologies. ATAI's research focuses on improving the ability of low-income farmers to benefit from agricultural technology by seeking ways around these constraints. Successful approaches require attention to the

market imperfections and other constraints that characterize the contexts in which adoption decisions are made. ATAI has identified seven market inefficiencies that lower expected profits from agricultural technology adoption:

- I. **EXTERNALITIES.** Some technologies create spillovers that affect others. If farmer decisions ignore these spillovers then technologies that create benefits for others may not be adopted, while technologies that impose costs on others may be adopted too widely.
- 2. **INPUT AND OUTPUT MARKET INEFFICIENCIES.** Problems with infrastructure and with supply chains, compounded by weak contracting environments, make it more costly for farmers to access input and output markets and access the benefits from technology adoption.
- 3. **LAND MARKET INEFFICIENCIES.** In settings where land tenure is weak and property rights insecure, farmers may not have an incentive to invest in beneficial technologies.
- 4. **LABOR MARKET INEFFICIENCIES.** New technologies need different types and timing of labor input. Restrictions on labor mobility and high costs in the labor market will interfere with adoption opportunities.
- 5. **CREDIT MARKET INEFFICIENCIES.** Many farmers have difficulty accessing credit and face high interest rates, which prevents investment in profitable technologies. Financial decisions may be difficult for farmers without high levels of financial literacy.



6. **RISK MARKET INEFFICIENCIES.** Technologies that carry a small risk of a loss may not be worth large expected gains if risks cannot be offset. Psychological issues around risky decisions further lower levels of adoption.

7. **INFORMATIONAL INEFFICIENCIES.** If an individual does not know that a technology exists, does not know about its benefits, or does not know how to use it effectively, then the technology will not be adopted.

The long-term objective of ATAI is to ensure that the poor derive greater benefit from existing and new technologies. ATAI will achieve this objective by generating rigorous evidence from randomized impact evaluations on problems faced by implementing organizations in order to identify the most cost-effective ways of promoting take-up of these technologies.

ABOUT THE 2013 ATAI PARTNERSHIP DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE

ATAI provides research funding to J-PAL and CEGA affiliates as well as to select other ATAI network researchers through semi-annual Requests for Proposals (RFP) to conduct randomized impact evaluations of innovative programs that have potential to improve agricultural technology adoption among and human welfare of smallholder farmers in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia. Funded projects reflect partnerships between researchers and program and project implementing organizations in the public, non-profit, and private sectors.

The 2013 ATAI Partnership Development Conference is designed to forge such collaborations between researchers and implementation agencies to undertake new research. The conference includes presentations by academics and sector experts on important open questions related to agricultural technology adoption, as well as a series of iterative breakout sessions in which researchers and practitioners who share similar regional and/or thematic interests can discuss and map out opportunities for collaboration. By process of the breakout sessions, organizations will receive structured guidance from academic experts on how to build randomized evaluations into the design and execution of their specific programs.

This conference follows an academic retreat hosted by ATAI in Cambridge, MA in February 2013, which brought together

researchers to discuss open questions in developing country agriculture. Through this matchmaking conference, ATAI hopes to generate new partnerships that can result in impact evaluation proposals related to some of ATAI's current research priorities, which include behavioral marketing, value chains, labor markets, green technologies, and environmental impacts of technology adoption.

Research funding comes in three categories:

ADOPTION PILOT STUDIES: Pilot funding supports projects in their infancy, in which researchers and practitioners define research questions and logistics for full-scale research projects.

ADOPTION FULL RESEARCH STUDIES: Full research projects on adoption that use randomized interventions designed to increase the uptake of a technology, testing the existence of market inefficiencies.

IMPACT FULL RESEARCH STUDIES: Full research projects that build on studies which demonstrate sufficient adoption to evaluate the welfare impact of a technology on individuals, households, and communities, including crop yields, income and consumption, health and nutrition, and agricultural and educational investments.



ABOUT CEGA



The Center for Effective Global Action (CEGA) is the University of California's premier center for research on global development, with a network of forty faculty extending to Stanford and the University of Washington. CEGA's affiliates use randomized controlled trials and other, quasi-experimental methods to measure the impacts of large-scale social and economic development programs. CEGA is truly inter-disciplinary, integrating business and economic approaches with expertise in agriculture, public health, engineering, education, and the environment.

INNOVATION

To effectively tackle poverty, donors and governments need to know which policies improve economic and social welfare. CEGA works with global funding agencies to develop research challenge initiatives designed to draw researchers into neglected areas of study, thus generating evidence to guide NGOs and governments in creating more effective policy.

COLLABORATION

CEGA is committed to empowering researchers in developing countries and building the capacity of local institutions to undertake rigorous evaluations. CEGA's portfolio of leadership and training programs is conceived and established in partnership with developing country universities and global implementation partners.

IMPACT

To effect social change, evidence must be transformed into better policies and programs. Toward this end, CEGA maintains strong relationships with public and private sector allies committed to evidence-driven development, and incentivizes the scale-up of proven interventions through targeted outreach to NGOs, governments, and foundations.



ABOUT J-PAL



The Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab (J-PAL) was established in 2003 as a research center at the Economics Department at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Since then, it has grown into a global network of over seventy researchers who use randomized evaluations to answer critical policy questions in the fight against poverty. J-PAL's mission is to reduce poverty by ensuring that policy is based on scientific evidence and research is translated into action. We do this through three main activities:

CONDUCTING RIGOROUS IMPACT EVALUATIONS

J-PAL researchers conduct randomized evaluations to test and improve the effectiveness of programs and policies aimed at reducing poverty. Our work spans several program areas: agriculture, education, environment and energy, finance, health, governance, and youth and labor markets.

POLICY OUTREACH

J-PAL's policy group analyzes and disseminates research results, and builds partnerships with policymakers to ensure policy is driven by evidence and effective programs are scaled up.

CAPACITY BUILDING

J-PAL equips practitioners with the expertise to carry out their own rigorous evaluations through training courses and joint research projects.

In addition to our global office at MIT, Cambridge, J-PAL has regional centers in AFRICA at the University of Cape Town (Cape Town, South Africa); SOUTH ASIA at the Institute for Financial Management Research (Chennai, India); EUROPE at the Paris School of Economics (Paris, France); LATIN AMERICA AND CARIBBEAN at Pontificia Universidad Católica (Santiago, Chile); and SOUTHEAST ASIA at University of Indonesia (Jakarta, Indonesia). J-PAL's regional offices coordinate evaluations of development programs and actively work in capacity building and policy outreach.



WHY RANDOMIZE?

It is not always obvious which policy will have the largest impact on intended beneficiaries: What is the best way to increase school participation--incentives for parents, information on returns to schooling, or school inputs? Do quotas for women in politics reduce (or increase) biases against women politicians? What is the most cost-effective way of improving learning in schools?

To design good policy, we need to know how well a policy is working and whether it is a good value for the money: Random assignment offers a simple way to generate these insights. In randomized evaluations, individuals are selected to receive a program based on a lottery. Those individuals who are not selected form a comparison group. Because the selection process is random, the two groups are similar in every respect, except that one group receives the program, while the other does not.

Therefore if, after the program is implemented, the group that received the program has different outcomes (e.g. more or less healthy, or higher or lower test scores), we know that this difference was caused by the program. This clear attribution of what effects where caused by the program gives us insights about its effectiveness.

Randomized evaluations are particularly appropriate when programs are oversubscribed, scheduled to be rolled out in a gradual fashion, or are initially tested with pilot programs. In those cases, randomization is one of the fairest ways of determining participation, while simultaneously allowing for rigorous measurement of the effect.



SAMPLE ATAI RANDOMIZED EVALUATIONS

1 BARRIERS TO FERTILIZER USE: EVIDENCE FROM A FIELD EXPERIMENT IN KENYA

Can time-limited coupons for fertilizers, redeemable immediately after the harvest, help farmers overcome savings constraints and increase fertilizer use? Can farmer cooperatives accelerate the diffusion of innovation and knowledge between farmers?

Researchers: Esther Duflo, Mahnaz Islam, Michael Kremer,

Jonathan Robinson, Frank Schilbach

Partners: Innovations for Poverty Action (IPA), United States

Agency for International Development (USAID)

Location: Western Province, Kenya

Sample: 20,000 subsistence farmers in rural Kenya with

primary school-aged children

Timeline: June, 2010 - October, 2015

Constraints on Adoption: Credit Market Inefficiencies, Informational Inefficiencies, Input and Output Market

Inefficiencies

POLICY ISSUE

Agricultural outputs in Africa have stagnated over the past decades: although total output has risen, food production has not kept up with the increase in Africa's population. The number of chronically undernourished people in Africa has increased to 200 million in 1997-99.1 When is not

used correctly, chemical fertilizer can substantially raise agricultural yields, yet usage of fertilizer remains low in Sub-Saharan Africa. Past studies suggest that usage is low because farmers have difficulty saving harvest income to purchase fertilizer for the next growing season, have limited information on the benefits of using fertilizer properly, and the fact that knowledge about fertilizer passed from one farmer to another. This project attempts to address all three issues.



DETAILS OF THE INTERVENTION

This experiment looks at a complex intervention with several components meant to increase fertilizer use and dissemination of knowledge. Farmers were recruited to the study through meetings at primary schools and randomly divided into four groups.

- I. The first group received small, time-limited discounts which were valid within a 3 week window right after harvest, redeemable at a local shop. Farmers received coupons for a discount of about 15% of the price of fertilizer, for up to 25 kilograms.
- 2. The second group was encouraged to form farmers' cooperative with their friends and neighbors to talk about fertilizer and agricultural practices. The researchers organized the groups and coordinated the first few meetings, but did not provide any direct information to the groups.
- 3. The third group participated in both the coupon scheme and the cooperatives.
- 4. A fourth group received none of these services, and served as a comparison.

Researchers will examine the changes in fertilizer usage between the different groups and whether farmers in the treatment groups talk to each other about agriculture more than others.

A separate intervention was designed to investigate the spread of information and technology when provided only to a subset of farmers in the treatment and comparison groups. The research team visited the randomly selected farmers and provided them with ½ teaspoon measuring spoons, as well as information about the returns to using ½ teaspoon of fertilizer per plant. To enable diffusion of this technology to others in the community, the spoons were made available in nearby fertilizer shops to other farmers for a nominal fee. In addition, when distributing the measuring spoons, the farmers were given vouchers for spoons which they could give to their friends. This intervention will test the hypothesis that the fertilizer discount intervention and the cooperative intervention could lead to greater diffusion of information about fertilizer.

SAMPLE ATAI RANDOMIZED EVALUATIONS

2 PROMOTING ADOPTION OF NEW RICE VA-RIETIES: ADDRESSING THE COSTS OF EARLY ADOPTION IN SIERRA LEONE

Can improved seed varieties benefit poor farmers in Sierra Leone? Can price subsidies and agricultural extension training lessen the costs of early adoption?

Researchers: Jeannie Annan, Charles Dixon, Rachel

Glennerster, Frances Kimmins, Tavneet Suri

Partners: Innovations for Poverty Action (IPA), International Rescue Committee (IRC), Sierra Leone Agricultural Research

Institute (SLARI)

Location: Various communities, Sierra Leone

Sample: 245 communities **Timeline:** February, 2011 -

Constraints on Adoption: Externalities, Informational

Inefficiencies, Risk Market Inefficiencies

POLICY ISSUE

Agricultural productivity has stagnated in much of sub-Saharan Africa, while many other regions of the world have seen dramatic productivity improvements in recent decades. New agricultural technologies, such as high-yielding crop varieties, offer the promise of improving productivity and hence the welfare of farmers. But adoption of these technologies has

often been low in countries where dissemination programs have been conducted. First adopters of new technologies play an important role in the spread of technology as they take on the burden of experimentation—testing whether and how a new variety works in local conditions. This is particularly important in much of sub-Saharan Africa where a multiplicity of micro climates within a small area means that experimentation is essential for farmers to learn which crop varieties are best for their particular land. There is also concern that early subsidization to increase adoption of new technologies will lead to expectation of continued subsidies, depressing demand at market prices.

DETAILS OF THE INTERVENTION

TResearchers sought to test whether improved seeds are beneficial for the poor in Sierra Leone and how best to promote uptake given the high costs of early adoption. Early adopters generate positive externalities to surrounding farmers and communities by delivering information on the effectiveness of new varieties and how to make the most of them in local conditions.

In 2011, a random sample of 5 farmers in each of 36 communities received half a bushel of NERICA-3, a short-duration variety of rice with the potential for high yields. These farmers also received regular visits from agricultural extension workers who provided practical advice on how to cultivate these seeds.

In 2012, researchers will test a more complex set of incentives that includes: (1) a price subsidy scheme allowing farmers to purchase new seeds at lower than market price, and (2) provision of targeted agricultural extension work involving community demonstration plots. The second year of the intervention will include four treatment arms, each with approximately 40 communities under three different schemes:

PRICING SCHEME

TI: Farming households offered NERICA-3 variety at o percent subsidy (market price)

T2: Farming household offered NERICA-3 variety at 50 percent subsidy.

T3: Farming households offered NERICA-3 variety at 100 percent subsidy (free)

ROK-16 SCHEME

T4: Farmers are offered ROK-16 at 100 percent subsidy (free)

TRAINING SCHEME

Each of these four treatment groups are cross-cut so that half of the communities in each treatment group will receive a targeted training program intended to assist the farmer in adapting to the cultivation techniques specific to the new variety of rice they acquired. The pricing scheme aims to test the hypothesis that a onetime subsidy can reduce the adoption cost for early-adopters and have a long-lasting effect on both the beneficiary and their neighbors. The training scheme aims to reduce the cost of learning by providing information on how well the seed works in the community (through a demonstration plot) and on how to cope with some of the features specific to the new variety of rice.

In 2013, ten farmers from the 160 treatment communities as well as ten farmers from an additional 40 control communities will be offered the chance to purchase NERICA-3 at full market price without targeted access to extension work. Key outcome variable to be measured at the endline include: (1) the amount of improved rice variety seeds (NERICA-3 and ROK-16) purchased and planted; (2) the impact of subsidies and training on purchasing decisions in subsequent years; (3) planting of other rice varieties and other crops; (4) yields, consumption, and food security.



ACUMEN FUND



TOM ADAMS
Head of Impact
tadams@acumenfund.org



JOZIMO SANTOS-ROCHA
Senior Technical Advisor for
Agriculture and Economic
Development

jozimo.santos@adra.org

ADVENTIST DEVELOPMENT AND RELIEF AGENCY INTERNATIONAL (ADRA)



MARK CASTELLINO
Associate Director, Business
Development

Mark.Castellino@adra.org

ALLIANCE FOR A GREEN REVOLUTION IN AFRICA (AGRA)



DAVID AMEYAWDirector for Strategy, Monitoring and Evaluation Division

dameyaw@agra.org

BRAC-BANGLADESH



WAEZA HOSSAINE JAIMDirector, Research and Evaluation
Division

jaim.wmh@brac.net

CIMMYT



TSEDEKE ABATELeader, DTMA Project; CIMMYT-Kenya

t.d.abate@cgiar.org

BRAC-UGANDA



MOZAMMEL HUQProgram Manager, Agriculture & BRAC Social Business Enterprises

huq.mm@brac.net

HEIFER INTERNATIONAL

ELISEE KAMANZI

Country Project Manager, Rwanda

elisee.kamanzi@heifer.org

HEIFER INTERNATIONAL



HENRY NJAKOI
Country Director, Tanzania
Henry.Njakoi@heifer.org



CONSTANZA DI NUCCI
Researcher, Statistics and Studies
for Development Division (SSD)

c.dinucci@ifad.org

INTERNATIONAL FUND FOR AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT (IFAD)

HUBERT BOIRADCountry Programme Manager
Sierra Leone and Liberia

h.boirard@ifad.org



ALESSANDRA GARBERO
Statistician/Econometrician,
Statistics and Studies for
Development Division (SSD)

a.garbero@ifad.org

INTERNATIONAL FOOD POLICY RESEARCH INSTITUTE (IFPRI)



EKIN BIROLSenior Research Staff, Harvest Plus Division





MOURAD MOURSI
Senior Research Staff, Harvest Plus
Division

m.moursi@cgiar.org



DANIEL GILLIGANSenior Research Staff, Poverty,
Health, and Nutrition Division

d.gilligan@cgiar.org

LEONARD ORUKO

Senior Manager of Special ATA Projects, Markets, Trade, and Institutions Division

L.Oruko@cgiar.org

INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR TROPICAL AGRICULTURE (IITA)



WILLIAM BOWSERPostdoctoral Fellow, Agricultural Economist

w.bowser@cgiar.org



UMESH SHANKAR SINGH Senior Scientist, South Asia Regional Project Coordinator-STRASA

u.singh@irri.org

INTERNATIONAL RICE RESEARCH INSTITUTE



MANZOOR DAR
Senior Associate Scientist,
Seed Up-scaling, Technology
Dissemination (STRASA)

m.dar@irri.org

TAKASHI YAMANO

t.yamano@irri.org

LAND O' LAKES, INC.



GISELLE ARIS Enterprise Development and Gender Specialist



DEAN SMITH New Business Development Manager, Land O'Lakes International Development

DGSmith@landolakes.com



GREG GROTHE Enterprise and Cooperative Development Program Manager

gdgrothe@landolakes.com





ALLISON DAVIS Research and Evaluation Senior Advisor

adavis@oxfamamerica.org

OXFAM AMERICA

KIMBERLY PFEIFER

Head of Research

kpfeifer@oxfamamerica.org

SYNGENTA



ELISABETH FISCHERPublic Policy and Partnership
Manager

Elisabeth.Fischer@syngenta.com

SAVE THE CHILDREN

REID HAMEL

Associate Director, Design, Monitoring, Evaluation, and Research, Dept. of Hunger and Livelihoods

rhamel@savechildren.org



ELLEN JOBLINGFood Security Associate

ellen.jobling@syngenta.com

TANGO INTERNATIONAL

MARK LANGWORTHY

Vice President

markl@tangointernational.com

WORLD BANK



YURIE TANIMICHI HOBERG
Senior Economist
ytanimichi@worldbank.org









STEVE BOUCHER
Associate Professor of Agricultural and Resource Economics, UC
Davis

boucher@primal.ucdavis.edu



SHAWN COLE
Associate Professor of Business
Administration, Harvard Business
School

scole@hbs.edu



MARSHALL BURKE
Doctoral Candidate, Agricultural
and Resource Economics, UC
Berkeley

marshall.burke@gmail.com



SAUGATO DATTAVice President, ideas42

saugato@ideas42.org



LORENZO CASABURIDoctoral Candidate, Economics,
Harvard University

lcasaburi@gmail.com



ALAIN DE JANVRY

Professor of Agricultural and Resource Economics, UC Berkeley

alain@berkeley.edu



KYLE EMERICK Doctoral Candidate, Agricultural and Resource Economics, UC Berkeley

kemerick@berkeley.edu



MARY KAY GUGARTY Associate Professor of Public Affairs, University of Washington



LAUREN FALCAO Graduate Student, Economics, UC Berkeley

Ifalcao@econ.berkeley.edu



SARA HERNANDEZ-MARTINEZ Doctoral Candidate, Economics, MIT

sara hdz@mit.edu



RACHEL GLENNERSTER Executive Director, Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab, MIT

rglenner@mit.edu



SYLVAN HERSKOWITZ Graduate Student, Economics, UC Berkeley

sherskowitz@gmail.com



VIVIAN HOFFMANN
Assistant Professor of Agricultural and Resource Economics,
University of Maryland

vhoffmann@arec umd edu



ETHAN LIGONAssociate Professor of Agricultural and Resource Economics, UC
Berkeley

ligon@are.berkeley.edu



MARIEKE KLEEMANS

Doctoral Candidate, Agricultural and Resource Economics, UC Berkeley

mkleemans@berkeley.edu



JEREMY MAGRUDER

Assistant Professor of Agricultural and Resource Economics, UC Berkeley

jmagruder@berkeley.edu



RACHID LAAJAJ

Postdoctoral Scholar, Paris School of Economics

rachid.laajaj@ parisschoolofeconomics.eu



APRAJIT MAHAJAN

Assistant Professor of Economics, UCLA; Visiting Assistant Professor of Economics at Stanford University

amahajan@stanford.edu



CRAIG MCINTOSHAssociate Professor of Economics, UC San Diego

ctmcintosh@ucsd.edu



RESHMAAN NAHAR-HUSSAMDoctoral Candidate, Economics,
MIT

reshmaan@mit.edu



SCOTT MCNIVEN

Doctoral Candidate, Agricultural and Resource Economics, UC Davis

mcniven@primal.ucdavis.edu



TRISTAN REED

Doctoral Candidate, Economics, Harvard University

reed.tristan@gmail.com



EDWARD MIGUEL

Professor of Economics, UC Berkeley

emiguel@econ.berkeley.edu



ELISABETH SADOULET

Professor of Agricultural and Resource Economics, UC Berkeley

esadoulet@berkeley.edu



FRANK SCHILBACHDoctoral Candidate, Economics,
Harvard University

frankschilbach@gmail.com



CHRISTOPHER UDRYProfessor of Economics, Yale
University

christopher.udry@yale.edu



EMILIA TJERNSTRÖMDoctoral Candidate, Agricultural and Resource Economics, UC

etjernstrom@ucdavis.edu

Davis



LIAM WREN-LEWIS

Researcher Fellow, Paris School of Economics

liamwrenlewis@cantab.net;





RICHARD CALDWELL
Senior Program Officer,
Monitoring, Learning, and
Evaluation, Bill and Melinda Gates
Foundation

rcaldwell@gatesfoundation.org



MUSA KPAKA
Assistant Program Officer,
Measurement, Learning, and
Evaluation, Bill and Melinda Gates
Foundation

Musa.Kpaka@gatesfoundation.org



ELVIS FRASERSenior Program Officer,
Evaluation, Bill and Melinda Gates
Foundation

elvis.fraser@gatesfoundation.org



JAMES STEVENSON
Agricultural Research Officer, CGIAR
Standing Panel on Impact Assessment
(SPIA)

james.stevenson@fao.org



JOSEPHINE KINGMAN
Program Assistant, Bill and
Melinda Gates Foundation

josephine.kingman@gatesfoundation.org





THOMAS CHUPEINResearch Initiatives Manager,
Center for Effective Global Action,
UC Berkeley

thomaschupein@berkeley.edu



TEMINA MADONExecutive Director, Center for Effective Global Action, UC
Berkeley

tmadon@berkeley.edu



BEN JAQUESResearch Manager, Abdul Latif
Jameel Poverty Action Lab, MIT

bjaques@mit.edu



ELEANOR TURNER

Research Initiatives Manager, Center for Effective Global Action, UC Berkeley

elcturner@gmail.com

THE ABDUL LATIF JAMEEL OVERTY ACTION LAB (J-PAL)

J-PAL Global at MIT 30 Wadsworth Street, E53-320 Cambridge, MA 02142

Website: http://www.povertyactionlab.org/

Email contact info: http://www.povertyactionlab.org/offices-contacts

Phone: (617) 324-6566



CENTER FOR EFFECTIVE GLOBAL ACTION

University of California, Berkeley 207 Giannini Hall Berkeley, CA 94720-3310

Website: http://cega.berkeley.edu/

Email: cega@berkeley.edu Phone: (510) 642-4361



AGRICULTURAL TECHNOLOGY ADOPTION INITIATIVE

J-PAL Global at MIT 30 Wadsworth Street, E53-320 Cambridge, MA 02142

Website: http://www.atai-research.org/ Email: ATAI-research@povertyactionlab.org

