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#### Abstract

Individual behavioral biases can affect savings behavior. We conduct an experiment to evaluate different strategies to increase savings. We compare an automatic savings plan (or default rule), monthly reminders, and a rule-of-thumb savings package that appeals to careful spending. We find that rule-of-thumb and default rules can increase savings for one year after the intervention. In contrast, reminders can reduce account balances and debt levels. The increase in savings under the default rule is produced by a (mechanical) increase in deposits, but savings is later decreased by an increase in withdrawals.


[^0]
## 1. Introduction

Despite enthusiasm in the policy community for promoting formal savings among the poor, the evidence on instruments to increase savings is not entirely encouraging. Three facts stand out from recent impact evaluations of savings interventions. First, low-income households sometimes save a large fraction of their earnings. Table A1 in the Annex 1 reports average savings rates from a subset of experiments for which the data are available. The total stock of savings is more than double the savers' monthly income in several of the studies and more than $35 \%$ of their monthly income in most of them. Second, despite these findings, treatment effects from savings-promotion interventions tend to be small: Bachas et al. (2021) review the magnitude of the treatment effects for many of these interventions and conclude that none of them increase the stock of savings by more than $2 \%$ of annual income, on average. In fact, many of them have no discernible effect. Finally, one reason the impact is limited is that takeup of the (usually subsidized) savings product on offer as a part of the intervention is often surprisingly low.

In Chile, the percentage of adults (persons aged 15 or older) with financial accounts stands at $87 \%$, which surpasses the global average of $76 \%$ and the $39 \%$ average in low-income economies. However, only $31 \%$ of adults saved money in a financial institution in the past 12 months (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022). This suggests that it is not access to financial services but use of them that is the primary issue, particularly for savings. Indeed, savings accounts have boosted savings in countries with lower access, such as Malawi, Kenya, and Uganda, whose per capita incomes are $9 \%-15 \%$ of Chile's. ${ }^{2}$

A key to designing effective interventions is correctly positing what constrains savings. The two main candidates underlying most interventions are lack of access to formal savings opportunities and limited ability to commit to saving. The typical interventions, therefore, either provide access to a bank account (for example, Dupas and Robinson, 2013 in Kenya; Brune et al., 2017 in Malawi; Prina, 2015 in Nepal; Dupas et al., 2018 in Malawi, Uganda, and Chile; and Somville \& Vandewalle, 2018, Pomeranz and Kast, 2022, among others) or offer some kind of commitment savings product (for example, Ashraf et al., 2006 and Karlan

[^1]and Zinman, 2018 in the Philippines; and Brune et al., 2017 in Malawi, Dupas and Robinson, 2013 in Kenya; Kast et al., 2018 and Kast and Pomeranz, 2014 for Chile).

Given the relatively limited success of these interventions, interest has risen in other possible strategies for encouraging savings based on behavioral foundations other than commitment problems. Prominent among them is one designed by Karlan et al. (2016), who argue that limited attention to low-probability but substantial expenses is a source of under savings. Based on this conjecture, the authors design a set of SMS-based savings reminders that encourage savers to better plan for these expenses. They show that this strategy increases savings in their pooled sample covering Bolivia, the Philippines, and Peru. ${ }^{3}$

In this paper, we introduce another reason why people may fail to save, inspired by the study by Drexler et al. (2014) on the impact of rules of thumb on small business owners' cash management. They find that implementing some seemingly obvious decision rules leads to an increase in business revenues. While the authors do not find a significant effect on savings, that was not their focus. We start from a closely related theory: given the vast number of choices people need to make, they might find it helpful to have some simple rules of thumb to guide their consumption and savings choices. Based on this theory, we design an intervention that provides households with savings strategies (SS) that appeal to careful spending. The intervention includes rules of thumb to reduce expenditures on temptation goods and to encourage better budget planning. We show participants a video, give them a hard-copy calendar with monthly printed reminders about their plan, and send them monthly SMS messages about their SS over the following year.

We conducted an experiment to evaluate the SS intervention and compare it to the other behavioral interventions that have already been studied. The experiment was implemented between October 2015 and May 2016, included a control group and three treatment groups, and involved 6,242 participants in Santiago, Chile.

[^2]The first treatment is the SS intervention described above. The second is inspired by and very similar to the savings-reminder intervention in Karlan et al. (2016). In this treatment group, beneficiaries are sent personalized savings reminders by SMS every month for one year. As in Karlan et al. (2016 ), the messages are tailored to each participant's savings objective as declared in the baseline survey.

The third treatment, the automatic savings plan (ASP), provides participants access to a commitment savings account. ASP is inspired by Ashraf et al. (2006) and the broader literature on commitment problems in savings (Blumenstock et al., 2018; Brune et al., 2017; Dupas and Robinson, 2013; Kast et al., 2018; Somville and Vandewalle, 2018). Given our study’s goal of identifying the impact of various strategies to promote the use of savings accounts rather than the impact of account access, all participants have a basic transactional account known as CuentaRUT. For those who do not already have a savings account, the intervention offers participants the opportunity to open a savings account that heavily penalizes frequent withdrawals and to transfer a prespecified amount of funds from the CuentaRUT account into this savings account every month.

We use administrative data from BancoEstado and survey data to evaluate the experiment. The administrative data allow us to estimate our program's impact on savings balances, debt levels, and transactions (withdrawals and deposits) for 17 months after enrollment. We complement these data with a household survey of a subsample of 2,049 households, conducted approximately 16 months after enrollment began. The survey allows us to observe the program's impact on total savings (including informal savings and other savings outside the partner bank) and on participants' financial status, entrepreneurship outcomes, asset accumulation, and subjective well-being.

Using survey data, we find that the SS treatment has a significant and relatively large positive effect on formal savings of approximately US\$180 on a base of US $\$ 544.20$ in the control group. The impact on total savings, including informal savings, is significant and comparable in magnitude. The effect using administrative data is smaller (US\$73.20) and significant at the $10 \%$ level for the average savings in months 1 to 12 . Although not statistically significant, the point estimates for this effect suggest that the balance increase is produced by reducing withdrawals (not by increasing deposits). We also find no effect on realizing the baseline
savings goal or on business outcomes, total debt, or expenditures. We also test whether the SS treatment reduces spending on temptation goods, an integral feature of the treatment, and find that it has an insignificant effect. However, the impact on savings balances is more substantial for individuals that declared at baseline they wanted to decrease their consumption of tobacco and alcohol. Finally, the SS treatment increases subjective financial security, consistent with the conjecture that the strategies are useful for participants.

We observe a significant reduction in the transactional balance kept in the CuentaRUT account in the SMS treatment. However, the negative effect is no longer significant when also considering the balance in savings accounts. This result contrasts with previous findings of a positive effect (Karlan et al., 2016; Abebe, Tekle, and Mano, 2018) in poorer contexts. Using survey data, we also find a decrease in the probability of carrying retail debt. This result is similar to that of Pomeranz and Kast, 2022 who find that providing access to a savings account in Chile decreases short-term debt, and it highlights the potential fungibility between savings and credit (Bauer et al., 2012). The declines in both CuentaRUT balances and retail debt are consistent with the hypothesis that the treatment makes the saving goal more salient. Consequently, individuals withdraw funds from their account to pay for whatever they aim to purchase without adding to their existing debt. This could have a neutral or positive effect on their overall financial situation by reducing their debt levels rather than increasing their savings. These results highlight the significance of context for evaluating the external validity of approaches aimed at promoting savings.

Turning to the ASP treatment, we find that it positively and significantly affects the average savings balances by US $\$ 92.30$ from months 1 to 12 after enrollment. This effect remains positive from month 13 onward but decreases in statistical significance and size over time. These results are consistent with the literature, which finds that default treatments have positive effects (Bachas et al., 2021). Our analysis of the deposits into and withdrawals from savings accounts shows that the balance is built by a (mechanical) increase in deposits and no effects on withdrawals up to month 9 , when withdrawals pick up, consistent with a decrease in balances. Finally, ASP does not impact debt, other expenditures, or business outcomes.

The overall evidence is promising, as both SS and ASP are light-touch interventions that cost relatively little and successfully increase savings in the first 12 months after the intervention.

While only the ASP effect remains significant for up to 18 months in the partner bank, the positive impact of both interventions persists for an extended period. Furthermore, the effects of both SS and ASP are indistinguishable from each other in both the survey and administrative data, and therefore the novel SS intervention seems to work as well as the ASP treatment. Although this is specific to the study context, it is compelling enough to consider SS deserving of further trial. By contrast, the SMS treatment very clearly does not increase savings, though it does decrease retail debt. The broader message is that the evidence strongly supports Dupas et al.'s (2016) view that savings interventions need to cater to the context to be effective and that it is challenging to identify universally applicable interventions.

Our paper makes four contributions to the literature. First, we add new evidence from Chile, a country with high access to bank accounts, to a literature that has mostly focused on more disadvantaged countries with lower access to bank accounts. Second, we look at a new intervention that aims to teach how to spend carefully; the intervention has a similar impact to the well-known default treatment. Third, we conduct a replication exercise of two interventions that have been successful in other contexts aimed at addressing behavioral biases. Furthermore, our design allows us to compare multiple treatments. We find that, as in different contexts, ASP increases savings balances. The rule-of-thumb treatment also increases savings for up to a year. In contrast, perhaps surprisingly, the SMS treatment decreases CuentaRUT balances but reduces retail debt. Taken together, the results are consistent with a positive net effect on households' financial situation. Fourth, we investigate the mechanisms of successful savings interventions. ASP mechanically increases deposits in all available months and starts increasing withdrawals after a year. Therefore, the increase in savings is caused by a rise in deposits and not a reduction in withdrawals. In other words, the default works as expected, but there are no effects on the decision to withdraw. In contrast, for SS , the mechanism of the savings increase is the conscious decision to decrease withdrawals. This decision could be explored as a way to boost the effects of traditional commitment interventions.

## 2. The Intervention: SS, SMS, and ASP

### 2.1 Recruitment and Baseline Survey

This study was conducted in partnership with BancoEstado, a state-owned, autonomous financial institution. BancoEstado serves most of the financial needs of individuals in lowincome groups in Chile, provides $92.8 \%$ of the savings accounts in the country, and holds $88.7 \%$ of the savings in such accounts. ${ }^{4}$ The intervention was implemented in 23 BancoEstado branches in vulnerable urban municipalities in Chile's Metropolitan Region. ${ }^{5}$

Study participants were recruited at various BancoEstado branches. To qualify, they had to be older than 18 years of age, have an already-existing account with BancoEstado, and have or be willing to open a savings account at the branch at which they were recruited. Furthermore, individuals had to have a CuentaRUT account or be willing to open one. The CuentaRUT account (offered only by BancoEstado) is automatically connected to an individual's national identification number, known as an RUT (Rol Único Tributario). Even though a CuentaRUT account was needed for only one of the treatments, we required all study participants to have one to facilitate comparisons across treatments. For a detailed description of our offering process, see Annex 3.

There are several differences between a CuentaRUT account and a savings account that, overall, make a savings account more illiquid. First, unlike savings accounts, CuentaRUT accounts can be drawn on via debit card to buy goods, withdraw money, or pay utility bills at several retail stores (Caja Vecinas). There are currently more Cajas Vecinas than ATMs in the Metropolitan Region of Chile $(6,378$ versus 3,503$)$. Second, depending on the type, savings accounts have the advantage of offering between two and nine free withdrawals per year, while BancoEstado always charges a fee for withdrawals from CuentaRUT accounts. Third, overdraft fees for savings accounts start at a minimum of US $\$ 2.00$ per withdrawal, while the overdraft fee for a CuentaRUT account ranges from US\$0.30 to US\$1.29 (see

[^3]Annex 4 for a detailed description of the fee structure of each account). ${ }^{6}$ The average number of withdrawals in the three months before our offering for those with a CuentaRUT account was 3.1 , and the average number of deposits was 0.5 . The corresponding figures for savings accounts were just 0.3 and 0.1 , respectively.

If a BancoEstado client met the eligibility criteria, a branch associate explained the research project and invited the individual to participate in the intervention. If the client accepted, they had to sign a consent form that permitted us to use the bank's administrative data in our evaluation. Once the client signed the consent form, the branch associate gave them a tablet computer used exclusively for our study and played a one-minute video explaining the project. Afterward, the individual was prompted to take a baseline survey. ${ }^{7}$ The baseline survey included questions about education, labor market participation, family structure, reasons for saving, and whether the participant received government subsidies. After completing the survey, the participant returned the tablet to the branch associate.

Individuals were then randomly assigned to a treatment based on their national identification numbers and stratified by some specific information from their survey responses. The branch associates who administered the surveys had to tap a hidden button on the tablet to see the treatment assignment. ${ }^{8}$ These associates were trained on the procedures for each treatment and what to say during offering process. ${ }^{9}$ Table A2 reports the number of recruited individuals for this evaluation each month, and Figure 1 shows the intervention calendar.

[^4]The evaluation team trained the branch associates and continuously monitored enrollment. A team member visited every branch at least twice a week to supervise the process and collect material (for example, consent forms and executive logs). Additionally, the research team developed a monitoring system by collecting the bank data and consent form information weekly.

### 2.2 Experimental Design

Individuals were randomly assigned to four treatments: automatic savings strategies (SS), SMS reminders (SMS), savings plan (ASP), and pure control. In Table 1, we show the treatment assignment. About $20 \%$ of the participants were assigned to the SS group ( $N=$ $1,237,20 \%), 20 \%$ to SMS ( $N=1,273$ ), $30 \%$ to ASP ( $N=1,845$ ), and $30 \%$ to the control group ( $N=1,887$ ). We oversampled the control and ASP groups to increase the power because we expected a lower take-up rate for the ASP group than the other two treatments.

We asked individuals to declare their savings goals in the baseline survey. Table A3 illustrates that the two most common goals were to save for (1) purchasing a house (47\%) and (2) unforeseen expenses (13\%). ${ }^{10} \mathrm{We}$ stratified the sample by the housing saving goal since it was the most common goal and involves a longer-term commitment and, therefore, might be associated with larger balances. We also stratified individuals based on whether they received a subsidy since receiving one implies that they maintained a regular income flow.

We designed the SS treatment for this study, while the SMS reminders were similar to the ones used in the previous literature. Only the individuals assigned to SS and SMS treatments were offered these services. When we conducted this study, ASP was already among the savings services BancoEstado makes available to its clients; therefore, we only randomized the offer of this service. However, anyone seeking this service could receive it at any BancoEstado branch.

### 2.3 Treatment Groups

[^5]Once a BancoEstado branch associate saw an individual's treatment assignment on the tablet, the associate had to perform specific tasks depending on the assignment. For every treatment, the branch associate gave participants a set of plain pencils (without a logo) as a thank-you gift for their participation. Since individuals in the SS treatment were given some items, giving all individuals a gift equalized the treatments and prevented individuals in the SMS, ASP, or control group from asking for materials not part of their treatment.

## Treatment 0: Control Group

Individuals assigned to the control group did not receive any treatment. The branch associate did not offer them any new services and only opened the account the client had requested upon arrival at the branch.

## Treatment 1: Saving Strategies (SS)

We developed five strategies to help people lower their consumption of temptation goods. These strategies were (1) identifying temptations, (2) calculating how much one could feasibly save within one year by decreasing unnecessary expenses, (3) determining a concrete savings goal, (4) developing a budget and remembering that it is not necessary to cease all spending on temptation goods, and (5) saving money in the bank. These strategies were conveyed to participants in three ways: (1) a three-minute animated video shown on a tablet provided by the branch associate; (2) a gift bag with several items intended to increase the individuals' savings, including a wallet with the program's logo and a magnet that served as a reminder of the strategies presented in the video; and (3) a calendar that reminded the individuals of a different strategy every month. Later in the treatment, individuals received monthly SMS messages that reminded them of the strategies. These monthly messages are shown in Annex 5 and correspond to the messages conveyed in the calendar. For example, the SMS messages sent in February 2016 were "[Name], if you want to save, remember that spending CLP $\$ 4,000.00$ weekly on unnecessary expenses or temptation goods adds up to CLP\$208,000 per year. BancoEstado," respectively. ${ }^{11}$

## Treatment 2: Short Message Service Savings Reminders (SMS)

[^6]Individuals in this treatment received monthly SMS messages for one year. These messages were individualized and reminded the participants of their goals, which they had indicated in the baseline survey. For example, if the individual declared at baseline that they wanted to save for unexpected expenses, the monthly SMS said, "[Participant's Name], remember to deposit money into your savings account this month. Get closer to meeting your goal of saving for unexpected expenses! Greetings, BancoEstado." These messages were similar to those described by Karlan et al. (2016). Because the branch associates did not have to offer services or help clients open a bank account, from the bank's point of view this treatment seems no different from the control group.

## Treatment 3: Automatic Savings Plan (ASP)

In treatment 3, the branch associate explained how ASP works and offered the service. ${ }^{12}$ The ASP program automatically transferred money from the participant's CuentaRUT account into their savings account. The individual could specify the amount of money and the date the transfer would occur. ${ }^{13}$ If the individual did not have a savings account, they had to open one. If the participant had more than one savings account, they could choose which account the transfer would be made to. The only restriction was that the savings account had to be in the individual's name.

Table 1 shows the take-up rates for each treatment. Regarding ASP group, take-up was defined as agreeing to enroll in ASP when it was offered; the take-up rate was $31 \%$. Meanwhile, the take-up of ASP in the control group was $15 \% .^{14}$ Take-up for the SMS and SS treatments was defined as receiving at least one text message. The take-up rates for SMS and SS were $92 \%$ and $93 \%$, respectively. In Table A4, we report the main predictors of take-up for each treatment. For ASP and SMS, age predicts take-up, and for ASP alone, having worked or studied in the last week also correlates with take-up. No observed characteristic is correlated with take-up for the SS treatment.

[^7]
### 2.4 Data

BancoEstado granted us access to transactional data for bank accounts and individual-level data on monthly savings and debt balances from September 2014 to September 2017 for all financial instruments. Since the offer process ran from October 2015 to May 2016, we have 13 months of pre-enrollment and 17 months of post-enrollment administrative data for every participant. We constructed the pretreatment data as the average for the 13 months before individuals were enrolled.

Besides the information on savings accounts, the BancoEstado data include the balances and transactions recorded in the CuentaRUT accounts. Although this account is not designed for savings purposes, individuals might maintain balances in the CuentaRUT account for this purpose. We report the effect on this instrument by itself and combined with each individual's savings account balance. We call the sum of the balances in CuentaRUT and savings accounts total savings. These reports' details are in the data appendix (Annex 6).

To complement the administrative data, we conducted a household survey between March and July 2017, corresponding to 13 to 22 months after the offering, for a subsample of the participants. ${ }^{15}$ The survey collected information on total savings, including formal and informal savings, debt, well-being, and employment, among other variables. The savings data collected in the survey include savings amounts at all financial institutions (not just BancoEstado), allowing us to study the program's impact on savings beyond the partner bank.

### 2.5 Summary Statistics

Table 2 provides the summary statistics for each treatment. Column 1 reports the number of observations. Columns 2 through 5 report the average level of each variable by treatment, and Column 6 shows the p-value for the test that all treatment and control means are the same. Panels A and B report the results for the bank data, and Panel C reports the results from the

[^8]baseline-survey variables. The variables related to balances and transactions were topcensored at the 99th percentile to eliminate outliers (similar to Bachas et al. (2021), De Mel et al., 2013; Karlan et al., 2016).

Regarding the use of savings accounts, $51.2 \%$ of the control group has a positive balance. ${ }^{16}$ Within the control group, the average savings in the savings accounts is US $\$ 224.40 .{ }^{17}$ In Column 6 , the $p$-value of the equability of means of savings balances is 0.669 , which indicates balance across treatments.

The average CuentaRUT account balance is US $\$ 78.20$ for the control group, which is balanced across treatments. In this same group, $71.4 \%$ of individuals have a positive balance in their CuentaRUT accounts. The average CuentaRUT account balance is balanced across groups ( $p$-value $=0.104$ ). However, the SMS group has a higher balance than SS (pvalue $=0.04$ ) and $\operatorname{SMS}(p-v a l u e=0.03) .{ }^{18}$

We added the balances in all of the savings accounts and CuentaRUT accounts for each individual to create an indicator of the amount of resources individuals have in relatively liquid and formal instruments; the sum is almost US\$314.50 for the control group, with no statistical differences among the treatment groups. ${ }^{19}$ The probability of having a positive balance when we sum the CuentaRUT and savings account balances is $85.4 \%$ for the control group. This percentage is not balanced across groups, as the ASP and SMS groups have a lower percentage than the control group, with a difference of at most 2.1 pp ( p -values of 0.06 and 0.01 , respectively).

Regarding nonmortgage debt levels, the average amount of debt among the control group at baseline is US\$169.40, with $6.6 \%$ of the control group carrying some debt at the baseline. Debt levels and their extensive margin are balanced across groups.

[^9]In Table 2, Panel C reports the means and the balance test for the individual characteristics gathered from the baseline survey. The first two variables (that is, saving for a house and subsidy recipient) define the stratification cells; $46.8 \%$ of the participants in the control group report saving for a home, and $42.1 \%$ report receiving subsidies. Both variables are balanced across treatments. Among the control group, $29.4 \%$ are male, the average age is 34, and the most common educational achievement level is high school, which $52.2 \%$ of the control group completed. Most participants had worked the week prior to enrollment ( $63 \%$ ), and the average monthly per capita household income for the control group was US\$276. All variables are balanced, except for gender and the probability of being a student. There is a larger proportion of men in the SS and ASP groups compared to the control group, and individuals in the SS and ASP groups are more likely to be students compared to the control group (p-value of 0.07 in each case). Finally, when comparing SMS and ASP, the high school dummy is significantly larger for SMS ( p -value $=0.034$ ).

Overall, considering the number of hypotheses tested, the random assignment seems to have provided comparable groups, which supports the internal validity of the results. Moreover, we perform a regression to see whether covariates can predict any treatment. Table 2, Panel D shows the $p$-value of the F-test that all covariates are zero. For all comparisons, the null is not rejected. However, we control for pretreatment differences in covariates across treatments to provide conservative estimates.

### 2.6 Empirical Strategy

We estimate the intention-to-treat (ITT) effects of the SS, SMS, and ASP treatments on outcomes $Y_{i}$ for each individual $i$. The identification strategy relies on the random assignment of each eligible individual to either a treatment group or the control group. This approach ensures that individuals in each group are, on average, similar. The main estimated equation is as follows:
$Y_{i}=b 0+b 1 * A S P_{i}+b 2 * S M S_{i}+b 3 * S S_{i}+a 1 * Y_{i, p r e}+a 2 * X_{i}+u_{i}$

Here, $Y_{i}$ is an outcome variable (for example, monthly balance); $A S P, S M S$, and $S S$ are indicators of the treatment status; and $Y_{i, p r e}$ is the pretreatment mean of the dependent variable. $X_{i}$ is a set of dummy variables indicating the stratification cell (defined by the intention to save for a house and receipt of subsidies) and the variables that control for the characteristics of the offer process: a dummy that identifies whether the monitor or branch associate served as a recruiter, dummies for the branch associate's name, and offering-month and branch fixed effects. We also include a set of variables that are unbalanced at baseline: gender, secondary attainment, baseline average balance in CuentaRUT, and a dummy for positive balance in a CuentaRUT or savings account at baseline. ${ }^{20}$ In addition, we include per capita income and an indicator for being a student, variables that are significant predictors of the survey response. We report all results with robust (Eicker-Huber-White) standard errors.

We use this equation (without $Y_{i, p r e}$ ) to perform a balance test on each of the 13 months before enrollment for all dependent variables. For this period, we do not include baseline average amounts in CuentaRUT and a baseline dummy of positive amounts in a CuentaRut or savings account before the intervention. ${ }^{21}$

### 2.7 Results

To facilitate our analysis, we report the parameters from Equation 1 in figures instead of tables for each month with administrative data. As mentioned above, we also use Equation 1 to test the monthly balance on the variables for 13 months before enrollment and 17 months after enrollment completion. The specifications differ for these two periods because we control for unbalanced variables and the pre-enrollment savings level in the regressions of the post-enrollment months. In each graph, we plot the ITT for each treatment, compare it to the control group, indicating if it is significant at a $5 \%$ level. Savings balances in the control group increased from US $\$ 282.30$ before the month of enrollment to US $\$ 521.98$

[^10]one year after the offering date. Therefore, all effects should be considered relative to this trend.

## A. Administrative Data

## Savings Balances in Partner Bank

We report results on savings accounts, CuentaRUT balances, and their sum. Although the CuentaRUT account is not intended to function as a savings account, individuals can use it for that purpose. In fact, in the follow-up survey, $22 \%$ of individuals mentioned that CuentaRUT accounts could be used for savings. Since we required all participants to have (or open) a CuentaRUT account, we report the impact of the treatments on this transactional account for two reasons: (1) to identify potential savings in this account, and (2) to study the potential shift of balances from CuentaRUT accounts to savings accounts.

Panel A in Figure 2 shows that the ASP treatment increases savings account balances up to 10 months after treatment began and then decreases them. The effects of ASP are significant from months 7 to 11 and reach US $\$ 83$ in month 10 . The effects of SS are significant during month 6, with an impact of US $\$ 77$. The SMS coefficients, in contrast, are mostly below 0 but are never significant.

The coefficients reported in Figure 2, Panel B show that SMS and ASP decrease the CuentaRUT balance every month (with maximum point estimates of -US\$35 in months 16 and 17 and -US\$17 in month 13), whereas the effect of SS is consistently negative starting in month 9. This negative effect is significant for SMS for 16 of the 17 months when the balances reach a level of -US\$35 relative to the control group.

In Figure 2, Panel C presents the results for both savings accounts and CuentaRUT accounts. ASP continues to have a positive and statistically significant impact on balances over the sixmonth period following the offering, with the greatest effect observed in month 10 at US\$84. Moreover, the SS treatment follows a similar pattern to ASP and is marginally significant three months after the offering, reaching a US\$80 impact on the balance. In contrast, the SMS coefficients are always negative and statistically significant only in month 15 after enrollment.

In Table 4, Panel A, we also present the impact on savings by taking averages over several months. The analysis is presented in two periods: from month 1 to month 12, during which individuals received SMS messages, so we can study the effects while individuals received the treatment; and from month 13 to month 17 , a period after the treatment ended that coincides with the timing of the survey, conducted on average 16 months after the intervention. This allows us to compare results when using survey or bank-level data in the next section.

Table 4, Panel A shows the treatment effects on average balances during the first 12 months and subsequent 5 months after the intervention. Column 2 reports the ITT coefficient for SS , and as expected from Figure 2, it shows a large positive and significant effect on savings accounts, indicating a $14 \%$ increase in savings balances in months $1-12$, with no impact on CuentaRUT balances. When both accounts are considered, the effect size increases and is still significant (at the $10 \%$ level). However, the coefficient size declines and is not significant when considering months 13-17.

In Table 4, Panel A, we also examine the effects of the SMS and ASP treatments on CuentaRUT balances. Column 3 shows that the SMS treatment significantly decreases CuentaRUT balances in both periods, although the effect on total balances is negative but not significant. Column 4 indicates that ASP leads to an 18\% increase in savings balances during months $1-12$ and a $15 \%$ increase for the following six months. However, once CuentaRUT balances are included, the effect is only significant in the first period (the impact is still positive in the second period, with a p -value $=0.106$ ).

In Table 4, Panel B, we report the test's p-values of the difference between treatments. The results show that, for most comparisons, we reject the null that SMS has the same effect size as SS and ASP. However, we cannot reject the null that the ASP has the same effect size as SS ( $p$-value $=0.813$ for months $1-12 ; p$-value $=0.846$ for months $13-17$ ).

Our findings indicate an increase in savings balances and a decrease in CuentaRUT account balances for SS and ASP, which is consistent with a transfer of funds from transactional accounts to savings accounts. While the coefficients are largely insignificant for SS, this movement may reflect a change in behavior. However, for the ASP treatment this transfer is
partly mechanical since transfers from CuentaRUT accounts to savings accounts occur automatically. Given that the SS and ASP effects exhibit a similar pattern, we test the hypothesis that all coefficients for both treatments are the same. We cannot reject the null of similar effects for balances in savings accounts and total balances, with a $p$-value of almost 1 in both cases (see Table A5). ${ }^{22,23}$

## Effects on Transactions at Partner Bank

In order to gain insight into transaction behavior and how savings accumulate and decline over time, we examine the impacts of the treatments on monthly deposits and withdrawals. The effects on account balances may be a result of different deposit and withdrawal patterns. Figure 3 presents the treatment effects on the probability of making deposits to or withdrawals from savings and CuentaRUT accounts.

While not statistically significant, the point estimates for SS suggest that the rise in savings levels reported in the previous section may be associated with a decrease in withdrawals up to month 9 (see Figure 3, Panel B). This effect is relevant, as it aligns with the advice provided by the rules of thumb aiming to induce careful spending. For all treatments, including SS, we observe a slight uptick in withdrawals from savings accounts after the ninth month, a statistically significant effect for SMS and ASP, which is in line with the drop in savings balances in these treatment groups.

We also study whether individuals in the ASP treatment group withdraw their funds more frequently than those in the control group, thereby undoing the treatment, or whether they seemingly overlook the fact that money is being automatically transferred from their CuentaRUT accounts to their savings accounts, as hypothesized by the savings-default literature. Figure 3, Panel A shows that the probability of deposits for ASP rises throughout

[^11]the period, which is consistent with the default literature. ${ }^{24}$ However, the point estimates for the probability of withdrawals are negative but not significant for the first eight months, after which they become positive and significant. Thus, in the medium term, participants do not drop out of the default rule but rather do offset it indirectly by increasing withdrawals. These patterns (a permanent increase in deposits; no effect on withdrawals for nine months, followed by a rise in withdrawals) align with the balance change for ASP and suggest that the decrease in savings after month 10 is due to an increase in withdrawals, not a decline in deposits.

Regarding the probability of making a deposit to or withdrawal from a CuentaRUT account (see Panels C and D), only SMS exhibits negative and significant effects on both deposits and withdrawals. Therefore, the decrease in CuentaRUT balances observed in the SMS treatment appears to be due to a reduction in deposits rather than an increase in withdrawals.

The results suggest that different treatments lead to distinct transactional behavior. SS seems to have no effect on deposits but decreases withdrawals, consistent with the rule of thumb. ASP, in contrast, builds savings through an increase in deposits throughout the 17 -month period after treatment and an increase in withdrawals only after the 10th month. This implies that the nudge has the expected effect on deposits, but this effect is offset by an increase in withdrawals in the medium term. In contrast, SMS results in a reduction in deposits to and withdrawals from both CuentaRUT and savings accounts. Hence, the hypothesis that lack of attention constrains savings is not supported by the savings behavior observed. ${ }^{25}$

We also examine the savings-balance data to address whether the changes in savings balances were due to large withdrawals. ${ }^{26}$ To do this, we create an indicator variable that equals 1 if the

[^12]absolute balance change was larger than $90 \% .{ }^{27}$ Our results suggest that, in the first nine months after enrollment, the SS treatment was less likely to result in large withdrawals compared to the control group, which is consistent with the observed increase in balance during the same period. This finding aligns with the effects reported based on the transactional data: the SS treatment increases savings by decreasing the amounts withdrawn in the first months of the intervention. In contrast, from month 10 to month 17 , all treatments show a positive effect on large withdrawals, consistent with the observed decrease in balances across all treatments.

## B. Survey Data

We have three objectives in using the survey data. First, we aim to study the effect on overall savings, which encompasses informal savings and savings outside of the partner bank. This allows us to test whether the effects observed in the administrative bank data result from participants' transferring funds to BancoEstado accounts from other financial institutions or informal savings. Second, we aim to assess treatment compliance, and third, to explore downstream outcomes and changes in spending patterns.

## Survey Sample

In this study, 2,049 individuals participated in the survey. As those who were interviewed might not constitute a random sample of all participants, we study the degree to which the survey sample reflects the study population. We do so in two ways. First, Table A7 presents the correlations between not being included in the sample and the treatment assignments without controls, with controls (baseline characteristics), and with controls interacting with the treatments. We find no case in which the treatment assignment predicts whether the participant was surveyed. Hence, there is no evidence for differential attrition by treatment. ${ }^{28}$

Second, we estimate Equation 1 including a dummy taking value of 1 if the participant was surveyed and 0 otherwise. Figure A1 reports the coefficients on the interactions between this

[^13]dummy and each treatment-assignment dummy, with balance in savings, balance in CuentaRUT, and total balance as dependent variables. The point estimates are small and statistically significant in very few cases. Considering both results, we find no evidence of sample selection when comparing the survey and administrative samples.

## Evidence on the First Stage

To ensure that the treatments were delivered as planned, we asked participants if they had an ASP, received an SMS from the bank, and received gifts when they visited the bank. In Table 3, Panel A, we observe that individuals assigned to both the SS and SMS treatment groups report receiving more SMS messages than the control group. ${ }^{29}$ Additionally, participants in the SS group are more likely to receive individualized messages related to strategies, while those in the SMS group are more likely to receive general strategic reminders. Individuals in the SMS group recall receiving the message designed for this treatment arm. Moreover, individuals in the SS group are more likely to report receiving the treatment gifts, and more individuals in the ASP treatment report signing up for the ASP than the control group. These results indicate that the intervention was implemented according to the protocol and that treatment assignment randomization was followed.

## Effect on Savings

As previously stated, the results obtained from the survey data might differ from those obtained from the partner-bank data. This is because the survey includes savings from all financial institutions and informal savings, whereas the partner bank data only capture figures from the partner bank. A comparison between formal savings balances obtained from the survey data and administrative data indicates that the survey data capture savings from more financial institutions. For example, the average formal savings balance for the control group is US\$544.20 in the survey, compared to US\$526 in the administrative data (in month 16, which is the average month in which the survey was conducted). ${ }^{30}$ The balance information on the CuentaRUT accounts was not explicitly asked for in the survey and may or may not

[^14]have been included in the respondent's reported savings balances. Also, there is sample variation, as we did not survey all participants. Nevertheless, we find no statistical differences between savings account balances from the survey data and total bank balances for individuals in the surveyed sample (see Figure A1).

Furthermore, the survey also gathered information on informal savings, which includes savings kept at home, in participants' businesses, with a Rotating Savings and Credit Association, or held by someone else. Given our access to data on informal savings, we can study whether participants opted to transfer their informal savings to formal savings accounts in response to the treatments.

Table 4 presents the treatments' ITT impacts on savings balances in the administrative data (Panel A) and survey data (Panel C) for comparison. Panel C shows the effect on participants’ total formal savings and their total individual savings (including informal savings). Column 1 shows that the individual average savings for the control group is US\$542, which indicates that $82 \%$ of the control group's savings are formal. Column 2 presents the ITT coefficient for SS, showing a significant positive impact on savings accounts with a $33 \%$ rise in savings balances. However, the coefficient size slightly declines-but remains significant-when informal savings are included. The SS point estimate with the survey data is more than twice the reported estimate for SS with the administrative data, which is consistent with the idea that savings shift from other bank accounts to the partner bank and consistent with sample variation. Furthermore, if we restrict the sample to individuals with survey data, the point estimates of the treatment effects on savings could be twice those reported in the previous section (see Table A8 in Annex 1), which suggests that most of the differences between survey and administrative data are due to the sample variation.

Table 4, Panel C, Column 3 shows that the SMS treatment has a nonsignificant effect on decreasing savings balances. The coefficient size increases when informal savings are included, but it remains nonsignificant. Column 4 shows that ASP results in a $24.6 \%$ increase in formal balances, which is significant at the $5 \%$ level. However, once informal savings are included, the coefficient size declines substantially, its variance increases, and the impact is no longer significant $(p-v a l u e=0.20)$.

In Table 4, Panel D, the test's p-values of the difference between each treatment are reported. We reject the null that the SMS has the same effect size as SS and ASP. However, we cannot reject the hypothesis that ASP has the same effect size as SS , with p-values of 0.59 for formal savings and 0.399 for total savings.

Overall, the survey and administrative results suggests that both ASP and SS have the potential to increase formal savings when considering all financial institutions. However, when informal savings are included, the effect size decreases and is not significant for ASP, indicating that some of the effect on savings may be due to a shift of savings from informal to formal instruments and that the timing of the survey captures the period in which savings for ASP treatment were decreasing.

## Effects on Other Outcomes

We study the treatment effects on several measures of entrepreneurship outcomes (dummy indicating whether the respondent is self-employed or an employer; sales; number of workers; and assets) in Table 4, Panel A. We find that no treatment has any effects on these outcomes.

In contrast, Panel B reports the effects on an index of subjective financial security. This index is the sum of the responses to three questions: (1) How would you describe your household's economic situation? (2) How complicated is your household's economic situation? (3) How financially secure do you feel about your household's economic situation? We find that the SS treatment has a positive and significant effect on financial security at the $5 \%$ level. In contrast, ASP has a negative impact that, although not statistically different from the control group, differs significantly from SMS's and SS's effects.

Finally, Table 5, Panel C presents the coefficients on subjective financial knowledge and formal savings perception. To measure financial knowledge, we combine responses to three questions that assessed participants' agreement with the following statements: (1) I understand what an interest rate is. (2) I know where to open an account to save in the formal financial system. (3) I know how to open an account to save in the financial system. Meanwhile, the Formal Savings Perception Index is calculated by summing up responses indicating the degree of agreement with the following statements: (1) Having a savings account is too expensive.
(2) I am afraid that having a savings account might entail additional costs. (3) Opening a savings account is necessary. The results presented in Panel C indicate that only ASP decreases individuals' perception of formal savings, and that ASP has no effect on financial knowledge. The decrease in positive perception of formal savings is consistent with individuals' seeing a decrease in their CuentaRUT balance and not understanding the mechanism.

## Interpreting the Results

Based on the survey data, we found that the SS treatment has slightly larger effects than the ASP treatment and that both effects are statistically significant. However, when examining the administrative data, both SS and ASP have positive and significant effects, with ASP having a greater impact. These effects tend to decrease over time. ASP's effects are similar to SS's effects, and the differences between them are not statistically significant. The mechanisms for the increase in balances seem to depend on the treatment arm. For the ASP treatment, we observed a permanent (within the observed period) increase in deposits and no change in withdrawals until month 9 , when withdrawals begin to increase, and savings balances to decrease. For SS, the coefficients are mostly not statistically significant. The patterns suggest no effects on deposits and a decrease in withdrawals for the first nine months, after which withdrawals start to increase. In contrast, SMS reminders have-if anything-a negative effect on savings balances and a consistent and negative impact on CuentaRUT account balances.

Note that our estimates are ITT effects. As most people received the text messages, while only one-third of the ASP treatment group signed up for the ASP, the similarity in the estimated impacts of the SS and ASP treatments suggests that the ASP treatment has a more substantial impact on a smaller subset of individuals.

## Effects on Spending and Borrowing Patterns

In the household survey, we asked each individual whether they had made any expenditures on the baseline goals they were saving toward in the past 12 months. We created a dummy variable that indicates whether an individual had spent money on the goal. ${ }^{31}$

To study consumption patterns, we asked individuals for their spending on frequently consumed items such as food, health care, education, tobacco, alcohol, and gambling in the previous month. We also collected information on their expenses throughout the prior 12 months to identify any lumpy expenses (for example, electronics). To assess debt, we collected information about the type of debt individuals had acquired as well as the total amount they owed. We now explore the effect that the treatments had on these variables.

The pattern of total balances over time-an initial rise followed by a decline-suggests that individuals may have reached their savings target and then withdrawn funds from their accounts. As shown in Table 6, Panel A, 33.6\% of the control group reported spending money on the spending category stated at baseline in the past 12 months. However, we do not observe significant differences in goal achievement among the treatment groups.

In Panel B, we examine the impact of the treatments on spending on temptation goods (for example, cigarettes, alcohol, and entertainment) in the month before the survey was conducted. We also assess the effects on spending on durable electronic goods purchased in the 12 months before the survey as well as spending on health care, education, food, and total consumption in the previous month. We find that the SS and SMS treatments do not affect spending on temptation goods. However, the ASP treatment leads to an increase in spending on temptation goods of almost US $\$ 7.00$. Furthermore, we observe that the SS treatment significantly reduces health care spending and has a negative but insignificant effect on spending on electronic goods and food. In contrast, the SMS treatment has a negative but insignificant impact on electronic spending. Likewise, the ASP treatment appears to lower spending on education, health care, food, and overall consumption, but the effects are not statistically significant.

[^15]Table 7 presents our analysis of the treatments' effect on total debt and the probability of holding different types of debt. ${ }^{32}$ We use self-reported data to identify the type of debt held by participants, including bank credit, line(s) of credit, retail credit card(s), consumer credit, and mortgage credit. Total debt is also self-reported. With the exception of the SMS treatment, none of the treatments have a significant or sizable impact on debt. The SMS intervention leads to a significant reduction in the likelihood of borrowing from a line of credit and a retail credit card. We also use administrative data to examine the impact of each treatment on debt owed to the partner bank. We do no not observe any significant impact for any treatment (see Figure A2). ${ }^{33}$ Finally, we conduct a difference-in-differences analysis using administrative data, with the period before the offering as the reference, and analyzing whether there was an effect on debt levels 1 to 13 months or 14 to 17 months after the offering. The results, presented in Table A9, show that the SMS treatment leads to a decrease in total debt by US $\$ 38.40$ after month 13 , but the effect is not statistically significant. The SS and ASP treatments have smaller and insignificant effects. Note that the reduction in debt observed in the survey data for the SMS treatment might not be captured in the administrative data. Thus, these results from both sources are complementary.

The expenditure results mentioned above complicate our ability to interpret the impacts of the interventions. We find no impact on achieving the saving goal for any of the treatments. While we observe some changes in the spending composition, such as an increase in spending on temptation goods for the ASP treatment and a decrease in health care spending for the SS treatment, most of the effects are nonsignificant. In particular, we do not find the expected impact of the SS treatment on expenditures on temptation goods. However, we find a reduction in debt associated with the SMS treatment.

## Understanding SS's Effect

[^16]In Table 5, Panel D, we examine whether the SS intervention increased budget planning, which was one of the rules of thumb emphasized by the intervention and find a small insignificant negative effect. In Table 6, Panel A, we show that the SS treatment has a small and positive but not significant impact on spending on the original goal. As previously mentioned, the SS treatment does not affect the consumption of conventional temptation goods, but it results in a considerable but not significant reduction in the purchase of electronics, another potential category of temptation goods. Finally, the SS treatment does not have any effect on debt.

In the baseline survey, we asked participants whether they needed to decrease their spending on alcohol and tobacco. ${ }^{34}$ Figure 4 shows the SS treatment's impact on savings relative to the control group for two subgroups: those who wanted to reduce their alcohol and tobacco consumption ( $33 \%$ of the sample) and those who did not. The results indicate that the effects of the SS treatment on savings account balances and total savings are larger for, and only significant for, the former group. ${ }^{35}$ These findings align with the SS's message, which emphasized responsible spending. ${ }^{36}$

Overall, the treatment has a positive impact on savings in the short term and seems to promote greater awareness of spending, resulting in an increased sense of financial security. The effects are particularly pronounced for those individuals who aimed to decrease their consumption of temptation goods. However, we do not find evidence that the treatment leads to a higher likelihood of achieving the initial savings goal.

## Understanding the Negative SMS Effect

Several hypotheses are consistent with the negative effect of the SMS treatment on CuentaRUT accounts. Pomeranz and Kast (2022) study the impact of offering a free savings account and find a negative impact on debt and an unclear and sometimes negative impact on

[^17]saving balances. They hypothesize this is because individuals use savings and debt as substitutes when the savings motive is precautionary. Our results are consistent with this motive since individuals could use the more liquid instrument (CuentaRUT) for debt payment or to smooth consumption, but it is unclear why the text message would induce this behavior.

Alternatively, text messages may have annoyed participants, leading them to withdraw their balances from BancoEstado. However, the SS treatment also included monthly (albeit different) text messages, which did not have a negative effect on CuentaRUT account balances. Therefore, it is likely that the SMS content-not the messages themselves-had a negative impact.

A third hypothesis is that the SMS treatment made the end goal of saving too salient; consequently, instead of inducing savings, it generated consumption. To test this hypothesis indirectly, we study the effect of spending on the savings goal reported at baseline. Our findings, presented in Table 6, Panel A, show a positive but not statistically significant impact of SMS on spending on the original goal ( p -value $=0.52$ ). There is also a substantial decrease in the probability of bearing retail debt (Table 7). Therefore, while the SMS intervention had a negative effect on CuentaRUT accounts, it did decrease retail debt.

Therefore, the evidence suggests that individuals in the SMS treatment not only read and comprehended the messages but also used their CuentaRUT balances to consume instead of resorting to debt to finance consumption. As a result, the treatment may have had a positive impact on their overall net wealth.

## 3. Conclusion

Financial inclusion and savings encouragement are critical aspects of social protection and promotion because they increase lower-income families' ability to guard against adverse economic shocks. In this paper, we evaluated several treatments aimed at promoting savings: financial services previously studied in the literature (default options and reminders) and a new intervention providing individuals with rules of thumb to encourage careful spending. Our findings indicate that both the default option and rule-of-thumb intervention had a positive impact on savings in the medium term, while the reminders had some negative effects
on savings levels but may have had positive effects on net wealth as debt decreased. These results are promising, considering the treatments' small nudges, as the savings increase was sustained up to a year after the intervention.

Different savings-promotion strategies operate via different mechanisms. ASP, by definition, increases savings by default but accumulates balances until withdrawals catch up, which starts happening in our experiment in month 10 . Savings reminders decrease account balances but simultaneously decrease retail debt. The rule-of-thumb intervention increases savings but solely for individuals who intended to cut back their consumption of tobacco and alcohol. It operates by reducing withdrawals from savings rather than increasing deposits.

Our results are in line with previous research on the impact on savings relative to annual household income, at least for ASP and SS. Using survey data, we estimated that the impact on formal savings is equal to $1.5 \%$ of annual household income for $\mathrm{SS},-0.2 \%$ for SMS , and $1.2 \%$ for ASP. These effects are statistically significant for the SS and ASP treatments. When we include informal savings, those figures are $1.5 \%,-0.5 \%$, and $0.9 \%$, respectively, with only the effect for SS being statistically significant. Using administrative data, we found that the effect on the average total balance in the first 12 months after the offer, relative to annual household income, was $0.70 \%$ for SS, $-0.1 \%$ for SMS, and $0.80 \%$ for ASP. However, only the effect for ASP was statistically significant.

Both the SS and ASP treatments are cost-effective. The cost of implementing SS ranges from US $\$ 13.00$ to US $\$ 16.00 .37$ Considering that the SS intervention's maximum impact on savings balances is US\$80.7, the benefit-to-cost ratio is 6.2. Meanwhile, the cost to implement the ASP is negligible, making the intervention's maximum balance increase (US\$84) a net benefit.

Taken together, these results show that simple and cost-effective interventions can have a sizable impact on savings. However, they also reinforce doubts about the efficacy of savingspromotion strategies to generate sustainable savings in the long run.

[^18]
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Figure 1: Timeline

| Year | Month | Event PAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | July <br> August <br> September <br> October <br> November <br> December | Design and pilot preparation <br> Administrative data first month <br> Pilot implementation |
| 2015 | January <br> February <br> March <br> June <br> July <br> August <br> September <br> October <br> November <br> December | Pilot Evaluation <br> Offering process and baseline survey Treatment implementation (SMS) |
| 2016 | January <br> February <br> March <br> April <br> May <br> June <br> July <br> August <br> September <br> October <br> November <br> December | End of offering process |
| 2017 | January <br> February <br> March <br> April <br> May <br> June <br> July <br> August <br> September | Follow-up survey <br> Treatment implementation (SMS) ends <br> Follow-up survey ends <br> Administrative Data Ends |

Figure 2: ITT Effects Using Administrative Data



Panel C: Total Balances (Savings and CuentaRUT Accounts)


Notes: The figure reports coefficients from the regression of savings and CuentaRUT account amounts on the treatments. Panel A reports coefficients on balance in savings accounts, Panel B reports coefficients on balance in CuentaRUT accounts, and Panel C reports coefficients on total balance (savings and CuentaRUT accounts). All variables are measured in real US dollars based on the exchange rate of September 2014. Variables are topcoded at the 99th percentile. All regressions include dummies for strata (defined by savings goal and receipt of subsidy), fixed effects for enrollment date, branch-associate fixed effects, branch fixed effects, and a dummy indicating whether an enumerator or a branch associate recruited the individual. We also include a dummy variable to control for rare cases in which a branch associate makes multiple enrollments within the same hour and dummies for the unbalanced variables: gender and student status. We also include per capita income because it predicts survey attrition. In the case of post-enrollment regressions, we also include the mean values of CuentaRUT account balance, a dummy variable indicating a positive balance in savings and CuentaRUT, and the respective dependent variable before the program. We use robust standard errors.

Figure 3: ITT Effects on the Probability of Making Deposits and Withdrawals Using Administrative Transactions Data

Panel A: Prob. of Depositing into Savings Account
Panel B: Prob. of Withdrawing from Savings Account


Panel C: Prob. of Depositing into CuentaRUT Account Panel D: Prob. of Withdrawing from a CuentaRUT Account


Notes: The figure reports coefficients from the regression on the probability of making deposits or withdrawals from savings and CuentaRUT accounts. It includes transfers within accounts in BancoEstado. General notes from Figure 2 apply.

Figure 4: SS Treatment Heterogeneity by Type of Expenditures Aimed to Decrease at Baseline
Panel A: Balance in Savings Accounts


Panel B: Balance in CuentaRUT Accounts


Panel C: Total Balances (Savings and CuentaRUT Accounts)


Notes: The figure reports coefficients from the regression of savings and CuentaRUT balances interacted with the type of expenditures individuals declare that they wanted to decrease at baseline. General notes from Figure 2 apply.

Table 1: Treatment Assignment

| Treatment arm | Participants | Take-up | Signed-up for <br> ASP |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Control Group | 1,887 |  | $14.7 \%$ |
| Savings Strategies (SS) | 1,237 | $92.9 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ |
| SMS Reminders (SMS) | 1,273 | $91.9 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ |
| Automatic Savings Plan (ASP) | 1,845 |  | $31.0 \%$ |
| Total | 6,242 |  |  |

Note: Author's calculation. For SMS and SS, take-up was defined as receiving at least one of the text messages. Take-up of ASP and Control Groups took place if individuals opted to open an ASP at the time of the offering.

Table 2: Variable Means and Difference-Test between Treatment Groups

|  | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] | [5] | [6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Product Category | N obs | Control | Savings Strategies (SS) | SMS <br> Reminders | Automatic Savings Plan (ASP) | $\begin{gathered} p \text {-value } \\ \text { ASP }=\text { SMS }= \\ \text { SS }=0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Panel A: Amounts (US\$) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance in savings accounts | 6,242 | $\begin{gathered} 224.4 \\ (755.7) \end{gathered}$ | 234.3 | 242.9 | 254.6 | 0.669 |
| Balance in CuentaRUT | 6,242 | $\begin{gathered} 78.16 \\ (194.7) \end{gathered}$ | 71.59 | 91.35 | 72.43 | 0.104 |
| Total balance (savings acc. \& CuentaRUT) | 6,242 | 314.5 | 317.76 | 352.24 | 337.60 | 0.770 |
| Total debt | 6,242 | $\begin{gathered} (845.0) \\ 169.4 \\ (957.0) \end{gathered}$ | 156.04 | 202.54 | 170.80 | 0.694 |
| Panel B: Probability ( $>0$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance in savings accounts | 6,242 | $\begin{gathered} 0.512 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.916 |
| Balance in CuentaRUT | 6,242 | $\begin{gathered} 0.714 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | 0.72 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.258 |
| Total balance (savings acc. \& CuentaRUT) | 6,242 | 0.854 | 0.84 | 0.83** | 0.83* | 0.0651 |
| Total debt | 6,242 | $\begin{gathered} (0.3) \\ 0.066 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.510 |

Notes: Column 1 shows the number of observations. Columns $2-5$ show the mean values for the control group, savings strategies, SMS reminder, and automatic savings plan, respectively. Column 2 also shows standard deviations in parentheses. Columns 6-11 report the $p$-values of the regressions of treatment assignment controlling by strata. Standard Deviations in parenthesis. Variables in Panel A are in US dollars, using the exchange rate of September 2014 (USS=CLP 593.47). Regressions include dummies for strata (defined by savings motive and receipt of subsidy), fixed effects for date of offering, bank-executive fixed effects, branch fixed effects, and a dummy indicating whether the individual was recruited by an enumerator or a bank executive. We also include a dummy variable to control for rare cases in which bank executives offered the program at the same hour. We use robust standard errors. Data in Panels A and B come from the partner bank's administrative data, and Panel C's data come from the baseline survey. The sample size varies because of missing values. In comparing treatment groups and control, *** denotes difference significant at the $1 \%$ level, ${ }^{* *}$ at the $5 \%$ level, and * at the $10 \%$ level.

Table 2: Variable Means and Difference-Test between Treatment Groups (cont'd)

|  | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] | [5] | [6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Product Category | N obs | Control | Savings Strategies (SS) | SMS <br> Reminders | Automatic Savings Plan (ASP) | $\begin{gathered} p \text {-value } \\ \text { ASP=SM } \\ \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{SS}=0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Panel C: Baseline Variables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saving for a home | 6,242 | $\begin{gathered} 0.47 \\ (0.50) \end{gathered}$ | 0.46 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.608 |
| Subsidy recipient ( $1=$ receives) | 6,242 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.421 \\ & (0.49) \end{aligned}$ | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.789 |
| Gender (1=male) | 6,242 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.294 \\ & (0.46) \end{aligned}$ | 0.323* | 0.321 | 0.323* | 0.173 |
| Age | 6,242 | $\begin{gathered} 34.00 \\ (13.92) \end{gathered}$ | 33.66 | 33.74 | 33.82 | 0.939 |
| Highest educational level Primary | 6,242 | $\begin{gathered} 0.11 \\ (0.31) \end{gathered}$ | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.336 |
| Secondary | 6,242 | $\begin{gathered} 0.52 \\ (0.50) \end{gathered}$ | 0.53 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0.165 |
| Tertiary | 6,242 | $\begin{gathered} 0.34 \\ (0.47) \end{gathered}$ | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.401 |
| Working | 6,148 | $\begin{gathered} 0.63 \\ (0.48) \end{gathered}$ | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.63 | 0.897 |
| Studying | 6,148 | $\begin{gathered} 0.11 \\ (0.31) \end{gathered}$ | 0.12* | 0.12 | 0.13** | 0.118 |
| Retired | 6,148 | $\begin{gathered} 0.02 \\ (0.15) \end{gathered}$ | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.021 | 0.874 |
| Household per capita income | 5,854 | $\begin{gathered} 275.56 \\ (261.38) \end{gathered}$ | 279.79 | 265.88 | 271.82 | 0.331 |
| Panel D: F-Test |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASP vs C | 0.258 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SMS vs C | 0.406 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SS vs C | 0.617 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASP vs SMS | 0.148 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASP vs SS | 0.636 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SMS vs SS | 0.109 |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes: Column 1 shows the number of observations. Columns 2-5 show the mean values for the control group, savings strategies, SMS reminder, and automatic savings plan, respectively. Column 2 also shows standard deviations in parentheses. Columns 6 reports the $p$-values reports the p-value of all coefficients being equal to zero. Standard Deviations in parenthesis. Variables in Panel A are in US dollars, using the exchange rate of September 2014 (US\$=CLP 593.47). Regressions include dummies for strata (defined by savings motive and receipt of subsidy), fixed effects for date of offering, bank-executive fixed effects, branch fixed effects, and a dummy indicating whether the individual was recruited by an enumerator or a bank executive. We also include a dummy variable to control for rare cases in which bank executives offered the program at the same hour. We use robust standard errors. Data for Panels A and B come from the partner bank's administrative data, and Panel C's data come from the baseline survey. The sample size varies because of missing values. In comparing treatment groups and control, ${ }^{* * *}$ denotes difference significant at the $1 \%$ level, ${ }^{* *}$ at the $5 \%$ level, and * at the $10 \%$ level.

Table 3: ITT Effects on Treatment Components Using Survey Data

|  | [1] <br> Control Mean | [2] <br> Savings Strategies (SS) | [3] <br> SMS Reminders | [4] <br> Automatic Savings Plan (ASP) | [5] <br> Sample Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Panel A: Processes Receive SMS | $\begin{gathered} 0.227 \\ (0.419) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.54 * * * \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.52 * * * \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.01 \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ | 1,957 |
| SMS reminder | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0995 \\ & (0.300) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.24 * * * \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.52^{* * *} \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.01 \\ (0.02) \end{gathered}$ | 1,785 |
| SMS strategies | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0615 \\ & (0.240) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.35^{* * *} \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.04 * * \\ (0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.01 \\ (0.02) \end{gathered}$ | 1,785 |
| Hires ASP | $\begin{gathered} 0.12 \\ (0.300) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.01 \\ (0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.02 \\ (0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.13^{* * *} \\ (0.02) \end{gathered}$ | 2,028 |
| Receive treatment gifts | $\begin{gathered} 0.195 \\ (0.397) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.46^{* * *} \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.03 \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.01 \\ (0.02) \end{gathered}$ | 1,957 |
| Panel B: P-values | $\begin{gathered} {[1]} \\ \mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{SMS} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2]} \\ \mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{ASP} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[3]} \\ \mathrm{SMS}=\mathrm{ASP} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Receive SMS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
| SMS reminder | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
| SMS strategies | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |  |  |
| Receive treatment gifts | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 |  |  |
| Signed up for ASP | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |

Notes: reminder and SMS strategies dummies are constructed from a question different from where the dummy of receiving an SMS was constructed, therefore the coefficients do not necessarily add up. The table reports the mean for the control group for the followup survey, intent-to-treat (ITT) estimates, and standard errors (in parentheses) of program assignment. Regressions include dummies for strata (defined by the reception of subsidy and savings motive), fixed effects by the date of the offering, bank executive fixed effects, branch fixed effects, and a dummy indicating if the individual was recruited by an enumerator or a bank executive. We also include a dummy variable to control for "rare" cases where bank executives offer the program at the same hour. Because the sample is not balanced in all the variables, we include dummies for primary and secondary education, studying dummy and gender as control variables. Finally, we control for per capita income, as it is a significant predictor for attrition in our sample. Panel B report the p-values of the comparison between the three treatment groups. We use robust standard errors. The sample size varies due to missing values. $* * * \mathrm{p}<0.01$, **p $<0.05, * p<0.1$

Table 4: ITT Effects on Savings Stock Using Survey Data and Administrative Data

| Panel A: Administrative-Data Outcomes | [1] <br> Control Mean | [2] <br> Savings Strategies (SS) | [3] <br> SMS <br> Reminders | [4] <br> Automatic Savings Plan (ASP) | [5] <br> Sampl <br> e Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between 1st and 12th months |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance in savings accounts | $\begin{gathered} 504.7 \\ (1117) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73.18^{*} \\ & (43.06) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.29 \\ (41.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92.41^{* *} \\ (39.19) \end{gathered}$ | 6,242 |
| Balance in CuentaRUT | $\begin{gathered} 113.808 \\ (244.123) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.26 \\ (6.74) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -22.27 * * * \\ (6.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -4.98 \\ (5.98) \end{gathered}$ | 6,242 |
| Total balance (savings acc. \& CuentaRUT) | $\begin{gathered} 627.8 \\ (1218) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.04^{*} \\ & \text { (45.05) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -12.98 \\ & (43.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91.15 * * \\ (39.19) \end{gathered}$ | 6,242 |
| Between 13th and 17th months |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance in savings accounts | $\begin{gathered} 538.2 \\ (1230) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64.69 \\ (48.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -11.58 \\ & (44.79) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.15^{*} \\ & (41.78) \end{aligned}$ | 6,242 |
| Balance in CuentaRUT | $\begin{gathered} 114.444 \\ (262.107) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -9.59 \\ & (7.78) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -29.85 * * * \\ (7.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -10.92 \\ (6.90) \end{gathered}$ | 6,242 |
| Total balance (savings acc. \& CuentaRUT) | $\begin{gathered} 660 \\ (1327) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59.92 \\ (50.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -40.42 \\ (46.94) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.05 \\ (43.32) \end{gathered}$ | 6,242 |
| Panel B: P-values | $\begin{gathered} {[1]} \\ \mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{SMS} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2]} \\ \mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{ASP} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[3]} \\ \mathrm{SMS}=\mathrm{ASP} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Between 1st and 12th months |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance in savings accounts | 0.191 | 0.668 | 0.0587 |  |  |
| Balance in CuentaRUT | 0.001 | 0.370 | 0.008 |  |  |
| Total balance (savings acc. \& CuentaRUT) | 0.0660 | 0.813 | 0.0219 |  |  |
| Between 13th and 17th months |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance in savings accounts | 0.150 | 0.774 | 0.0536 |  |  |
| Balance in CuentaRUT | 0.009 | 0.857 | 0.006 |  |  |
| Total balance (savings acc. \& CuentaRUT) | 0.0704 | 0.846 | 0.0246 |  |  |
| Panel C: Main Outcomes | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] | [5] |
|  | Control Mean | Savings Strategies (SS) | SMS <br> Reminders | Automatic Savings plan (ASP) | Sampl e Size |
| Total formal savings | $\begin{gathered} 544.2 \\ 1117 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 180.40^{* *} \\ (84.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-21.06 \\ & (70.27) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 134.35 * * \\ (66.25) \end{gathered}$ | 2,045 |
| Total savings (including informal savings) | $\begin{aligned} & 658.8 \\ & 1220 \end{aligned}$ | 171.75* | -56.02 | 93.09 | 2,046 |
| Panel D: P-values | [1] | [2] | [3] |  |  |
|  | SS $=$ SMS | $\mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{ASP}$ | SMS $=$ ASP |  |  |
| Saving accounts and saving for a home | 0.0246 | 0.590 | 0.0399 |  |  |
| Total savings (including informal savings) | 0.0198 | 0.399 | 0.0709 |  |  |

Notes: The table reports the means for the control group for the follow-up survey, intent-to-treat (ITT) estimates, and standard errors (in parentheses) of the program assignment. Panel A reports the effects on total formal savings (saving accounts and saving for a home) and total savings. Total savings include the first category and informal savings, which include the following: saving for their home or their business, savings with another person, or savings in a polla. All the amounts are measured in real US dollars (using the exchange rate as of September 2014). See also notes from Table

Table 5: ITT Effects on Other Outcomes Using Survey Data

|  | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] | [5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Control Mean | Savings Strategies (SS) | SMS <br> Reminders | Automatic Savings Plan (ASP) | Sample Size |
| Panel A: Entrepreneurship |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entrepreneurship | 0.143 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 2,049 |
|  | (0.351) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) |  |
| Sales | 106.9 | 6.06 | 51.25 | -4.78 | 2,049 |
|  | (482.6) | (29.72) | (36.15) | (24.12) |  |
| Number of workers | 0.220 | -0.02 | 0.02 | -0.04 | 2.014 |
|  | (0.923) | (0.05) | (0.06) | (0.05) |  |
| Household assets and business | $4172$ | $357.20$ | $266.13$ | 46.73 | 2,049 |
|  | (6296) | (412.73) | (439.30) | (357.08) |  |
| Panel B: Subjective Well-Being |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial Security Index | 8.288 | 0.30** | 0.10 | -0.14 | 2,049 |
|  | (2.202) | (0.14) | (0.14) | (0.13) |  |
| Panel C: Financial Perception |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial Knowledge Index (1-15) | 10.11 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 2,042 |
|  | (2.542) | (0.16) | (0.15) | (0.14) |  |
| Bank Perception Index (1-15) | 9.798 | -0.16 | -0.07 | -0.26* | 2,037 |
|  | (2.469) | (0.15) | (0.16) | (0.14) |  |
| Panel D: Budget |  |  |  |  |  |
| Has an expenditure budget | 0.494 | -0.02 | -0.04 | 0.01 | 2,049 |
|  | (0.500) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) |  |
| Panel E: P-values | $\lceil 11$ | [2] | [3] |  |  |
|  | SS=SMS | SS=ASP | SMS $=$ ASP |  |  |
| Entrepreneurship | 0.611 | 0.669 | 0.327 |  |  |
| Sales | 0.210 | 0.680 | 0.102 |  |  |
| Number of workers | 0.392 | 0.647 | 0.167 |  |  |
| Household and business assets | 0.853 | 0.458 | 0.625 |  |  |
| Financial security | 0.213 | 0.00229 | 0.0872 |  |  |
| Financial Knowledge Index (1-15) | 0.695 | 0.677 | 1 |  |  |
| Bank Perception Index (1-15) | 0.602 | 0.488 | 0.218 |  |  |
| Has an expenditure budget | 0.545 | 0.373 | 0.126 |  |  |

Notes: The table reports the mean for the control group for the follow-up survey, intent-to-treat (ITT) estimates, and standard errors (in parentheses) of program assignment. Panel A reports entrepreneurship variables. "Business assets" is the amount that people report when asked the question "What is the approximate value of all the products you have in stock?" "Household assets" corresponds to the sum of the amounts people report when answering the following question: "If you have to sell some of these products, how much would you receive for them?" Panel B reports coefficients from a Financial Security Index, which is the sum of the answers to three questions. The first question is "How would you describe the economic situation of your household?" Answers range from 1 to 4, where 1 is "do not have enough to satisfy basic needs" and 4 is "lives comfortably." The second one is "How complicated is your household financially?" Answers range from 1 to 5 , where 1 is "very complicated" and 5 is "not complicated at all." The third question is "How safe do you feel financially with the situation of your household?" Answers range from 1 to 5 , where 1 is "unsafe" and 5 is "very safe." Panel C reports two financial-perception indexes. The Financial Knowledge Index is the sum of the answers to three questions regarding how much one agrees with some affirmations. The three statements are the following: (1) I understand what interest rate is. (2) I know where to open an account to save in the formal financial system. (3) I know how to open an account to save in the financial system. The index ranges from 1 to 15 , where 1 means that the person disagreed with one statement (and did not know the answer for the rest) and 15 means that the person agreed with the three statements. The Bank Perception Index is the sum of three questions regarding how much one agrees with some affirmations. The three statements are the following: (1) Having a saving account is too expensive. (2) I'm afraid that having a saving account might have additional costs. (3) Opening a saving account is necessary. The index ranges from 1 to 15 , where 1 means that the person disagreed with one statement (and did not know the answer for the rest) and 15 means that the person agreed with the three statements. Panel D reports the effect from a dummy that takes the value 1 if the interviewee has a budget for the expenditures they want to make and 0 if they do not. Panel E reports the $p$-values of the comparison between the three treatment groups. See also notes from Table 3. We use robust standard errors. The sample size varies because of missing values. ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.1$.

Table 6: Expenses

|  | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] | [5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Control <br> Mean | Savings Strategies (SS) | SMS <br> Reminders | Automatic Savings Plan (ASP) | Sample Size |
| Panel A: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pr. spending on baseline goal | $\begin{gathered} 0.336 \\ (0.473) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.001 \\ (0.035) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.023 \\ (0.036) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.027 \\ (0.032) \end{gathered}$ | 1,253 |
| Panel B: Expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expenditure on temptation goods | $\begin{gathered} 43.901 \\ (66.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.215 \\ & (4.015) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.581 \\ & (4.139) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.170^{*} \\ & (3.797) \end{aligned}$ | 2,045 |
| Electronic durable goods | $\begin{gathered} 417.409 \\ (304.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.642 \\ (19.988) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -4.239 \\ (19.230) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -3.222 \\ (16.825) \end{gathered}$ | 2,010 |
| Other electronic goods | $\begin{gathered} 613.753 \\ (594.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -14.788 \\ (38.010) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -31.260 \\ & (36.985) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.699 \\ (33.750) \end{gathered}$ | 2,031 |
| Education | $\begin{array}{r} 60.929 \\ (129.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.539 \\ (8.179) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.417 \\ & (8.281) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -6.662 \\ & (7.053) \end{aligned}$ | 2,037 |
| Health care | $\begin{aligned} & 38.155 \\ & (77.53) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -10.430 * * \\ (4.597) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -6.232 \\ & (8.281) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -5.301 \\ & (4.155) \end{aligned}$ | 2,027 |
| Food | $\begin{gathered} 217.244 \\ (141.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -9.592 \\ & (8.679) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.804 \\ (9.067) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.340 \\ & (7.876) \end{aligned}$ | 2,010 |
| Total | $\begin{aligned} & 700.470 \\ & (424.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -19.920 \\ (28.320) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -26.088 \\ (27.299) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -34.884 \\ (23.986) \end{gathered}$ | 2,046 |
| Panel C: P-values | $\stackrel{[1]}{\mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{SMS}}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2]} \\ \mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{ASP} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[3]} \\ \mathrm{SMS}=\mathrm{ASP} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Pr. Spending on baseline goal | 0.577 | 0.470 | 0.919 |  |  |
| Expenditure on temptation goods | 0.883 | 0.036 | 0.065 |  |  |
| Expenditure on electronic durable goods | 0.520 | 0.506 | 0.957 |  |  |
| Expenditure on other electronic goods | 0.691 | 0.538 | 0.291 |  |  |
| Health care | 0.742 | 0.419 | 0.671 |  |  |
| Education | 0.387 | 0.250 | 0.835 |  |  |
| Food | 0.193 | 0.544 | 0.423 |  |  |
| Total | 0.841 | 0.598 | 0.746 |  |  |

Notes: The table reports the means for the control group for the follow-up survey, intent-to-treat (ITT) estimates, and standard errors (in parentheses) of the program assignment. Panel A reports the probability of spending on the baseline saving goals. The first row is a dummy variable that takes the value of 1 if the respondent reports having spent money on their baseline saving goal during the last 12 months and 0 otherwise. Panel B reports the effects on the amounts spent on temptation goods, which include expenditure on cigarettes and alcohol, bars, or entertainment. The variable "Expenditure on electronic durable goods" concerns expenses during the last 12 months on a washing machine, a refrigerator, an oven, or a microwave. "Expenditure on other electronic goods" concerns money spent on a computer, a TV, a piece of music equipment, a DVD player, or a video game console. See also notes from Table 3. ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.1$

Table 7: Stock of Debt and Probability of Positive Debt (Survey Data)

|  | [1] <br> Control Mean | [2] <br> Savings Strategies (SS) | $\overline{[3]}$ <br> SMS <br> Remainders | [4] <br> Automatic Savings Plan (ASP) | $[5]$ <br> Sample Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Panel A: ITT effects |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total debt amount | $\begin{gathered} 1590 \\ (3,572) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -36.770 \\ (240.583) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.613 \\ (247.185) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -30.482 \\ (221.120) \end{gathered}$ | 2,038 |
| Bank Credit | $\begin{gathered} 0.111 \\ (0.315) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.016 \\ (0.023) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.033 \\ (0.023) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.014 \\ (0.020) \end{gathered}$ | 1,795 |
| Credit Line | $\begin{gathered} 0.069 \\ (0.253) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.019 \\ (0.016) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.031^{* *} \\ (0.015) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.009 \\ (0.016) \end{gathered}$ | 1,754 |
| Retail credit cards | $\begin{gathered} 0.349 \\ (0.477) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.013 \\ & (0.032) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.083 * * * \\ (0.032) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.009 \\ & (0.029) \end{aligned}$ | 1,850 |
| Consumption credit (bank, finantial institution or retail) | 0.108 | -0.012 | $0.004$ | -0.012 | 1,782 |
|  | (0.310) | (0.021) | (0.022) | (0.019) |  |
| Mortgage credit | $\begin{gathered} 0.055 \\ (0.228) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.021 \\ & (0.014) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.011 \\ & (0.015) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.011 \\ & (0.014) \end{aligned}$ | 1,728 |
| Panel B: P-values | [1] | [2] | [3] |  |  |
|  | SS $=$ SMS | $\mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{ASP}$ | SMS $=$ ASP |  |  |
| Total debt amount | 0.782 | 0.980 | 0.789 |  |  |
| Bank Credit | 0.513 | 0.924 | 0.419 |  |  |
| Credit Line | 0.431 | 0.515 | 0.125 |  |  |
| Retail credit cards | 0.044 | 0.895 | 0.019 |  |  |
| Consumption credit (bank, finantial institution or retail) | 0.464 | 0.992 | 0.436 |  |  |
| Mortgage credit | 0.513 | 0.463 | 0.995 |  |  |

Note: The table reports the mean for the control group for the Follow-Up Survey, intent-to-treat (ITT) estimates and standard errors (in parenthesis) of program assignment. Output variables consider the total debt amount reported by the respondent and dummies that take the value 1 if the participant reports to have a debt in the corresponding category. All the amounts are measured in real US dollars (using the exchange rate as of September, 2014). See also notes from Table 3. We use robust standard errors. The sample size varies due to missing values. ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.1$

## ANNEX 1: Additional Tables and Figures

Table A1: Comparison with Other Studies


| Dupas et al.(2018) | Account | Uganda | Unbanked | 43.2 | 42.6 | 98.6\% | Individual | Individual. Stock | Total monetary savings (multiple sources) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Malawi |  | 56,7 | 25.3 | 44.7\% | Individual | Individual. Stock | Total monetary savings (multiple sources) |
|  |  | Chile |  | 61.2 | 23.9 | 39.0\% | Per capita | Individual. Stock | Total monetary savings (multiple sources) |
|  |  | Philippines | Clients who recently | 198.4 | N/A | N/A | Individual | Savings reported but it is unclear if it is at baseline | Savings by goal date in the account |
| Karlan et al. (2016) | Reminders | Peru | opened commitment savings | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Savings reported but it is unclear if it is at baseline | Savings by goal date in the account |
|  |  | Bolivia | account | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Savings reported but it is unclear if it is at baseline | Savings by goal date in the account |
| Karlan et al. (2017) | Savings group | Ghana, Uganda, and Malawi | Female in target villages | 16.6 | N/A | N/A | Per capita | Unclear of reported savings are household or individual level. | Total monetary savings (multiple sources) |
| Karlan and Zinman (2018) | Interest rate, account ownership requirements | Philippines | People with a regular income interested in open a commitment savings account | ```Unclear of reported savings are household or individual level.``` | 305.5 | 220.2\% | Individual | Individual. Stock | Total monetary savings (multiple sources) |
| Kast and <br> Pomeranz <br> (2014) | Account | Chile | Self-employed microentrepreneurs | 203.9 | 167.3 | 82.1\% | Per capita | Individual. Stock | Savings in banks or cooperatives. Regardings savings, it sayss "while income is reported in per capita temrs, these figures may represent the savings of several household members combined.." |


| Kast, Meier <br> and Pomeranz <br> (2018) | Savings group | Chile | Microcredit <br> clients | 204.7 | 181.2 | $88.5 \%$ | Per capita | Savings in banks or cooperatives. <br> Regardings savings, it sayss "while |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| income is reported in per capita |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| temrs, these figures may represent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| the saving of several household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| members combined.." |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: [1] Per capita income is calculated by dividing the household monthly income by the number of residents, while individual income is the reported individual monthly income of the targeted person. [2] Median household income from footnote 15, it is not clear if it is baseline income. [3]Median income. All the papers correspond to the literature review of Bachas et al. (2018). Excluded papers do not include a measure of total household income.

Table A2: Recruitment by month

| Year | Month | Number of offers |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | 2015 | October |
|  | November | 460 |
|  | December | 608 |
|  | 2016 | January |
|  | February | 611 |
|  | March | 1,031 |
|  | April | 983 |
|  | May | 1,510 |
|  | Total | 1,036 |
|  | 3 |  |

Note: Author's calculation

Table A3: Savings Goals

| Baseline savings motives | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Unforseen expenses | $12.48 \%$ |
| Medical or Dental treatment | $0.57 \%$ |
| Holidays | $2.35 \%$ |
| Child's birth | $1.38 \%$ |
| Gifts | $0.26 \%$ |
| Car or bicycle | $2.48 \%$ |
| Wedding or ceremonies | $0.23 \%$ |
| For old age | $4.22 \%$ |
| Fix or expand house | $3.85 \%$ |
| Entrepreneurship | $2.08 \%$ |
| Own Education | $3.02 \%$ |
| Children education | $5.55 \%$ |
| Household stuff | $0.21 \%$ |
| Buy a house | $46.51 \%$ |
| Electronic personal items | $0.10 \%$ |
| To have savings | $10.97 \%$ |
| Other motives | $3.75 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ |

Note: Author's calculation.

Table A4: Take-up Prediction using administrative data

|  | $[1]$ | $[2]$ | $[3]$ <br> Automatic |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sariables | Savings Strategies (SS) | SMS Remainders | Savings Plan <br> (ASP) |
|  |  |  |  |
| Gender (1=female) | -0.014 | -0.010 | 0.004 |
|  | $(0.017)$ | $(0.017)$ | $(0.025)$ |
| Highest educational level |  |  |  |
| Primary | 0.220 | 0.092 | 0.012 |
|  | $(0.196)$ | $(0.104)$ | $(0.139)$ |
| Secondary | 0.263 | 0.146 | 0.032 |
|  | $(0.194)$ | $(0.100)$ | $(0.137)$ |
| Tertiary | 0.302 | 0.166 | -0.001 |
|  | $(0.194)$ | $(0.101)$ | $(0.137)$ |
| Worked last week | 0.016 | 0.002 | $0.113^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(0.019)$ | $(0.019)$ | $(0.027)$ |
| Studied last week | 0.009 | -0.033 | $0.101^{* *}$ |
|  | $(0.027)$ | $(0.033)$ | $(0.040)$ |
| Retired last week | -0.024 | -0.022 | -0.062 |
| Household per capita income | $(0.060)$ | $(0.055)$ | $(0.059)$ |
|  | -0.002 | -0.001 | -0.006 |
| Age | $(0.003)$ | $(0.003)$ | $(0.005)$ |
|  | 0.059 | $0.145^{* *}$ | $-0.273^{* * *}$ |
| Observations | $(0.062)$ | $(0.062)$ | $(0.085)$ |

Note: The table reports the results of the regression between the probability of accepting the program offering and baseline characteristics. Column [1] reports the results from from Strategies take-up; column [2] reports the results from SMS take-up; and column [3] reports the results ASP take-up. Age and Income were rescaled dividing them by 100. Regressions include dummies for strata (defined by the reception of subsidy and savings motive). We use robust standard errors. Sample size varies due to missing values and treatment arms size. ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.1$.

Table A5: Interaction between treatments and months after the offer
$P$-value F Test - Months 1 to 17 interacted with each treatment

| SS=ASP | 1.000 | 0.993 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SS=SMS | 0.004 | 0.000 |
| ASP=SMS | 0.001 | 0.000 |

[^19]Table A6: Study of Attrition by Treatment

|  | $[1]$ <br> Follow-up <br> Survey | $[2]$ <br> Follow-up <br> Survey | $[3]$ <br> Follow-up <br> Survey |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependent variable: Non completed survey |  |  |  |
| Panel A:Treatments | -0.01 | -0.01 | 0.013 |
| Savings Strategies (SS) | $(0.02)$ | $(0.02)$ | $(0.141)$ |
|  | 0.02 | 0.02 | -0.049 |
| SMS Remainders (SMS) | $(0.02)$ | $(0.02)$ | $(0.127)$ |
|  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.185 |
| Automatic Saving Plan (ASP) | $(0.02)$ | $(0.02)$ | $(0.116)$ |
|  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Panel B: Baseline Characteristics |  | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ |
| Saving Accounts amounts pre offering mean |  | -0.00 | $-0.00^{*}$ |
|  |  | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ |
| Cuenta Rut amounts pre offering mean |  | $0.06^{* * *}$ | $0.05^{* *}$ |
|  |  | $(0.01)$ | $(0.02)$ |
| Gender (male==1) | -0.03 | -0.06 |  |
| Primary |  | $(0.07)$ | $(0.10)$ |
|  |  | -0.02 | -0.04 |
| Secondary |  | $(0.06)$ | $(0.10)$ |
| Tertiary | -0.01 | -0.05 |  |
|  |  | $(0.06)$ | $(0.10)$ |
| Worked last week | -0.01 | 0.02 |  |
|  |  | $(0.02)$ | $(0.03)$ |
| Studied last week | -0.02 | 0.03 |  |
| Retired last week | $(0.02)$ | $(0.04)$ |  |
| Household per capita income | 0.03 | -0.08 |  |
| Age | $(0.04)$ | $(0.08)$ |  |
|  |  | $0.01^{* * *}$ | $0.01^{* * *}$ |
|  |  | $-0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ |

Baseline Characteristics interacted with treatments X p-value from test that baseline characteristics interacted with treatments are jointly 0
Observations 6,242 6,242 6,242
Note: The dependent variable takes a value of 1 if the individual was not found. Column [1] presents results for the follow-up survey. The sample includes all individuals originally sought. Panel A presents the differential attrition rate. Panel B presents coefficients from interactions between treatments and covariates. In the regression, we also use as control all the variables with which treatments are interacted. We use robust standard errors. ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.1$

Table A7: Large withdrawls after offering

|  | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] | [5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Control Mean | Savings Strategies (SS) | SMS <br> Remainders | Automatic Savings Plan (ASP) | Sample Size |
| Panel A: Months after offering |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings Accounts |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st-9th month | 0.299 | -0.025 | 0.003 | 0.008 | 6,242 |
|  | 0.458 | (0.016) | (0.017) | (0.015) |  |
| 10th-17th month | 0.205 | 0.038** | 0.041*** | 0.038*** | 6,242 |
|  | 0.403 | (0.015) | (0.014) | (0.014) |  |
| CuentaRUT |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st-9th month | 0.812 | -0.000 | -0.004 | -0.005 | 6,242 |
|  | 0.391 | (0.014) | (0.014) | (0.012) |  |
| 10th-17th month | 0.783 | 0.013 | 0.006 | 0.023 | 6,242 |
|  | 0.413 | (0.015) | (0.014) | (0.017) |  |
| Savings Acc. \& CuentaRUT |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st-9th month | 0.727 | 0.003 | -0.003 | -0.018 | 6,242 |
|  | 0.446 | (0.016) | (0.016) | (0.015) |  |
| 10th-17th month | 0.660 | 0.029* | 0.023 | 0.012 | 6,242 |
|  | 0.474 | (0.017) | (0.017) | (0.015) |  |
| Panel B: F-Test | [1] | [2] | [3] |  |  |
|  | SS=SMS | SS=ASP | SMS $=$ ASP |  |  |
| 1st-9th month |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings Accounts | 0.113 | 0.0445 | 0.777 |  |  |
| CuentaRUT | 0.793 | 0.740 | 0.962 |  |  |
| Savings Acc. \& CuentaRUT10th-17th month |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings Accounts | 0.837 | 0.973 | 0.796 |  |  |
| CuentaRUT | 0.655 | 0.563 | 0.925 |  |  |
| Savings Acc. \& CuentaRUT | 0.757 | 0.297 | 0.478 |  |  |

Note: The table reports coefficients from the regression of the probability of a withdrawal larger than $90 \%$ in the total savings or CuentaRUT account balance. Regressions include same controls as table 2

Table A8: ITT effects on savings stock using administrative data for non-attrited sample Panel A: Administrative data Outcomes

| $[1]$ | $[2]$ | $[3]$ | $[4]$ | $[5]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Savings |  | Automatic |  |
| Control | Strategies | SMS | Savings | Sample |
| Mean | (SS) | Remainders | plan | Size |
|  |  |  | (ASP) |  |

Between 1st-12th month

| Balance in Savings Accounts | 498 | 126.58 | -25.72 | $126.19^{*}$ | 2,049 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1110 | $(79.83)$ | $(72.54)$ | $(67.26)$ |  |
| Balance in CuentaRUT | 114.464 | 8.41 | -11.01 | 11.32 | 2,049 |
|  | 253.942 | $(11.00)$ | $(10.56)$ | $(10.78)$ |  |
| Total Balance (Savings Acc. \& |  |  |  |  |  |
| CuentaRUT) | 624.9 | $144.53^{*}$ | -32.74 | $137.40^{* *}$ | 2,049 |
|  | 1231 | $(82.69)$ | $(75.67)$ | $(69.34)$ |  |

Between 13th-17th month

| Balance in Savings Accounts | 532.2 | $152.80^{*}$ | -46.04 | $124.05^{*}$ | 2,049 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance in CuentaRUT | 1226 | $(86.56)$ | $(75.63)$ | $(72.64)$ |  |
|  | 117.040 | -0.61 | -20.46 | 7.50 | 2,049 |
| Total Balance (Savings Acc. \& | 260.470 | $(12.78)$ | $(12.72)$ | $(12.47)$ |  |
| uentaRUT) | 662.5 | $146.96^{*}$ | -71.41 | 121.98 | 2,049 |
|  | 1338 | $(88.65)$ | $(78.80)$ | $(75.10)$ |  |


| Panel B: P-values | $[1]$ <br> $\mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{SMS}$ | $[2]$ <br> $\mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{ASP}$ | $[3]$ <br> $\mathrm{SMS}=\mathrm{ASP}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between 1st-12th month <br> Balance in Savings Accounts | 0.0774 | 0.996 | 0.0442 |
| Balance in CuentaRUT | 0.109 | 0.811 | 0.057 |
|  <br> CuentaRUT) | 0.0506 | 0.931 | 0.0310 |
| Between 13th-17th month <br> $\quad$ Balance in Savings Accounts | 0.0294 | 0.739 | 0.0293 |
| Balance in CuentaRUT <br>  | 0.148 | 0.535 | 0.033 |
| CuentaRUT) | 0.0211 | 0.0211 | 0.0178 |

Note: Same as Table 4.

Table A9: Difference and Difference Effect in Debt

|  | $(1)$ <br> Debt (1 to 13 <br> months) | $(1)$ <br> Debt (14 to 17 <br> months) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| VARIABLES | $56.498^{* * *}$ | $127.339^{* * *}$ |
| Time Dummy | $(9.516)$ | $(16.640)$ |
| ASP | 5.158 | 3.583 |
|  | $(8.934)$ | $(8.997)$ |
| SMS | $51.307 * * *$ | $50.468^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(10.548)$ | $(10.576)$ |
| SS | -7.125 | -8.072 |
|  | $(9.586)$ | $(9.587)$ |
| ASP * Time Dummy | -1.096 | 3.824 |
|  | $(13.635)$ | $(23.736)$ |
| SMS * Time Dummy | -2.140 | -38.368 |
|  | $(15.874)$ | $(26.098)$ |
| SS * Timy Dummi | 4.926 | 0.428 |
| Constant | $(14.820)$ | $(26.332)$ |
|  | $-79.022^{* *}$ | $-89.303^{*}$ |
| Observations | $(37.746)$ | $(45.764)$ |
| R-squared | 162,292 |  |
|  | 0.032 | 106,114 |
|  |  | 0.034 |

Note: Time Dummy takes the value of 1 if months are between 1 and 14 (column [1]) months 14 or more (column [2]), and 0 if before baseline. General notes from Table 5 apply ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05$, ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.1$

Figure A1: Interactive Effect of Being in the Survey Sample

Panel A: Balance in Savings Accounts


Panel B: Balance in CuentaRUT Account


Panel C: Total Balance (Savings and CuentaRUT Accounts)


Note: General notes from Figure 2 apply.

Figure A2: Total Debt


Note: The figure reports coefficients from the regression of balance in debts on treatments. Balance in debts is measured in real US dollars based on the exchange rate for September 2014 and top-coded at $99 \%$. General notes from Figure 2 apply.

Figure A3: Net Savings


Note: The figure reports coefficients from the regression of balance in debts on treatments. Net savings is measured in real US dollars based on the exchange rate for September 2014, top-coded at $99 \%$ and also bellow-coded at a $1 \%$. General notes from Figure 2 apply.

## ANNEX 2 <br> BRANCH SELECTION

This experiment was implemented in 23 BancoEstado branches located in vulnerable urban municipalities in the Metropolitan Region of Chile. The research team determined the number of branches based on the number of participants needed to conduct the experiment as well as budget requirements. The research team and BancoEstado selected the branches based on the following four criteria: (1) location in a vulnerable municipality, measured by the Social Priority Index 2014, (2) location in Santiago de Chile, (3) the presence of a maximum of two BancoEstado branches in each municipality, and (4) BancoEstado's approval. An explanation of each criteria is below:

## 1. Vulnerability

All branches are located in highly vulnerable municipalities and were chosen based on the Social Priority Index 2014, ${ }^{38}$ which the Ministry of Social Development has computed since 1995. The index considers income, education, and health to define municipalities' level of social development and is used to target social assistance across municipalities. The Social Priority Index classifies the municipalities into five categories: ${ }^{39}$ "High Priority," "MediumHigh Priority," "Medium-Low Priority," "Low Priority," and "No Priority."
2. Branch located in Santiago City

The Metropolitan Region of Chile is made up of 6 provinces: Chacabuco, Cordillera, Maipo, Melipilla, Talagante, and Santiago.
3. Maximum of two branches per municipality

BancoEstado is a state-owned bank that is present throughout Chile, especially in areas where the low-income population resides. Any given municipality has up to 18 BancoEstado branches. In order to increase the external validity of our evaluation, we selected up to 2 branches per municipality.
4. BancoEstado's selection and approval

The research team sent BancoEstado a list of municipalities with the highest Social Priority Index 2014 (i.e., high or medium priority). BancoEstado then provided information about the branches in these municipalities, including their addresses and the number of initial executives at each branch. Based on this information, the research team selected 32 branches, focusing on those that have more initial executives as an indicator of the number of clients, and sent the preliminary list to BancoEstado for approval.

BancoEstado subsequently selected 23 municipalities from this list based on the willingness of the different branches to participate in the evaluation, whether branches were already participating in another program, the branches' internal workflow, client influx, and branch capacity.

Table A2.1 shows the final list of branches and the corresponding number of branch associates who participated in the program.

[^20]Table A2.1: Branches Selected

| Social Priority Index 2014 Classification | Municipality | Branch Name | Number of Participating Branch associates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High Priority | Renca | Santiago Panamericana Norte | 1 |
| High Priority | Renca | Santiago Renca | 2 |
| High Priority | Cerro Navia | Santiago Cerro Navia | 1 |
| High Priority | Lo Prado | Santiago Lo Prado | 2 |
| High Priority | Conchali | Santiago Conchali | 2 |
| High Priority | Conchali | Conchali El Cortijo | 1 |
| High priority | La Granja | Santiago Santa Rosa | 2 |
| High Priority | San Bernardo | San Bernardo Eyzaguirre | 1 |
| Medium-High Priority | Recoleta | Santiago Recoleta | 2 |
| Medium-High Priority | Recoleta | Santiago Av. Mexico | 2 |
| Medium-High Priority | Estacion Central | Estacion Central Las Rejas | 1 |
| Medium-High Priority | Pedro Aguirre Cerda | Santiago Jose Maria Caro | 1 |
| Medium-High Priority | Quinta Normal | Santiago Quinta Normal | 2 |
| Medium-High Priority | Independencia | Santiago Independencia | 2 |
| Medium-High Priority | Puente Alto | Puente Alto | 2 |
| Medium-High Priority | Puente Alto | Puente Alto Plaza | 1 |
| Medium-High Priority | Huechuraba | Santiago Huechuraba | 1 |
| Medium-High Priority | Cerrillos | Santiago Los Cerrillos | 1 |
| Medium-High Priority | Peñalolen | Santiago Penalolen | 2 |
| Medium-Low Priority | La Cisterna | Santiago La Cisterna | 2 |
| Medium-Low Priority | Macul | Santiago Macul | 2 |
| Medium-Low Priority | San Miguel | Santiago San Miguel | 4 |
| Medium-Low Priority | La Florida | Santiago La Florida | 4 |

In December 2015, the Santiago Panamericana Norte branch (Renca Municipality), the San Bernardo Eyzaguirre branch (San Bernardo Municipality), and the Santiago Santa Rosa branch (La Granja Municipality) were removed from the evaluation. The research team and bank staff made this decision based on the low number of participants at these branches and the branch associates' unwillingness to participate. We chose the following three branches as replacements.

Table A2.2: Replacement Branches

| Social Priority Index <br> 2014 Classification | Municipality | Branch Name | Number of <br> Participating <br> Branch <br> associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Medium-Low Priority | Maipu | Maipu | 4 |
| Medium-Low Priority | Maipu | Maipu Vespucio | 2 |
| Medium-Low Priority | Santiago | Santiago San Diego | 1 |

## ANNEX 3 <br> ENROLLMENT PROCESS

Program implementation was made possible through collaboration with BancoEstado, particularly its branches and branch associates. At every branch, BancoEstado has executives that fulfill different roles: initial executives, credit executives, and cash executives, among others. The initial executives open savings and CuentaRUT accounts, credit executives process loans, and cash executives are cashiers. ${ }^{40}$ Only initial executives participated in the enrollment process. Their participation was voluntary but encouraged by the BancoEstado's central offices.

Bank staff directly invited the branch operations managers ${ }^{41}$ to participate in this study, and the operations managers subsequently informed the branch employees. The research team developed and led training sessions with coffee breaks and gave the executives boxes of chocolate with the project's logo in order to thank them and encourage their participation. ${ }^{42}$

The fieldwork for this project was carried out in three stages: executive training, the enrollment process, and data collection. Each stage is described below.

## 1. Training

The research team designed and led 10 training sessions for the branch associates from the different branches. These sessions lasted approximately two hours.

The training sessions included a :
a. Presentation of the intervention: the field manager presented the program, its objectives, purpose, and the implementation process. At the beginning of the training, high-ranking managers showed a motivational video that they had made in order to show BancoEstado's commitment to the study.
b. Description of the offer process: each step of the offer process was explained in detail. Particular emphasis was placed on the executives' role in the process.
c. Tablet device operation instruction: The field manager explained how to operate the tablet (e.g., how to turn it on and off, open the program, save the survey, etc.), and a member of the research team assisted the executives in practicing how to use the device correctly by following the field manager's instructions.
d. Practical role-playing exercise: The executives then simulated the program offer by alternately playing the roles of executive and client.

Training took place one week before the offer process was launched.

[^21]
## 2. The Enrollment Process

We used a tablet in the offer process. The tablet contained the following content: a oneminute introduction video, a baseline survey, and a program to randomize the treatment assignment. It also had an educational video for the Savings Strategies (SS) treatment arm. The initial offer process involved the following steps:

- The client entered the branch and waited for his turn with the branch associate. ${ }^{43}$
- The branch associate determined if the client was a potential participant. A potential participant had to be a BancoEstado client who is 18 years of age or older and had "intentions to save," which were determined by whether the individual had a savings account, had come to the branch to open one, or had mentioned to the branch associate the desire to start saving money.
- The executive explained the program and invited the client to participate.
- If the client agreed to participate, the branch associate explained the content of the consent form. ${ }^{44}$ The potential participant signed the consent form.
- The branch associate gave the tablet to the participant, waited until the participant had finished watching the introduction video, ${ }^{45}$ and then assisted him/her with the baseline survey.
- After finishing the survey, the participant handed the tablet back to the branch associate, who then verified to which treatment the participant had been assigned.
- If the client agreed to participate in the program, then the executive opened a savings account or CuentaRUT account (or both) for the client, depending on the client's wishes. If the individual had both types of accounts, then no account was opened unless the client requested a new one. The account opening process took place at the same time as the offer process. For example, while the participant filled out the survey, the branch associate opened the account(s). At the end of the offer process every participant had to have a savings account and CuentaRUT account.
- The executive checked the tablet to see whether the participant was assigned to one of the in situ treatments and, therefore, should be invited to the automatic savings program or shown the educational video. If the client was not assigned to either of these treatments, then the executive proceeded to open the account that the customer wanted and give him/her the small gift (a recyclable bag and a small box with six pencils that cost approximately $\$ 1.00$ USD).

By December 2015, after 2 months of promoting enrollment, we had not yet met our enrollment goal. In order to speed up the enrollment process, we recruited enumerators/monitors to help at 11 branches that have greater client flow. ${ }^{46}$ monitors assisted

[^22]the executives at the bank branches by approaching the clients in the waiting area, encouraging them to participate in the project, and assisting them in using the tablet.
The new offer process with monitors involved the following steps:

- The monitor approached a client in the waiting area and checked whether he/she was eligible to participate (same eligibility criteria as in the original process).
- If the client was eligible, the enumerator explained the program and invited him/her to participate. If the client agreed to participate, the enumerator gave him/her the Informed Consent form.
- Once the form was signed, the monitor gave the participant the tablet. The participant then watched the introduction video and filled out the baseline survey.
- After completing the survey, the participant returned the tablet to the enumerator who then checked the tablet to find out to which treatment the participant has been assigned.
- The monitor gave the participant a "treatment card" with a number that indicated the treatment assignment. ${ }^{47}$ The monitor also asked the participant to keep the card and give it to the executive once the executive was available to attend to him $/$ her.
- If the individual was assigned to the saving strategies treatment arm, then the monitor gave him/her the tablet to watch the video about that treatment arm.
- Once the executive met with the participant, he/she looked at the number written on the treatment card, proceeded to explain the treatment to which the participant was assigned (just like in the original enrollment process), and then gave the participant a gift.


## Program presentation video transcript

- Hi! "Peso a Peso [Penny by Penny], start saving" is a research project developed by The Pontifical Catholic University of Chile in collaboration with BancoEstado. Our goal is to investigate how to improve savings in Chile, and we hope to do this by measuring the effects of different tools that may help you increase your savings.
- Am I required to participate in this study?
- Participation is voluntary and absolutely free.
- How does the study work?
- After watching a video, you complete a survey, and then an executive will inform you of the next steps.
- How will you benefit from participating in this project?
- This project will help people like you increase your savings. Also, by participating you will get to know more about savings in Chile.
${ }^{47}$ The treatments and their corresponding numbers were $\mathrm{ASP}=1, \mathrm{SMS}=2, \mathrm{SS}=3$, Control $=4$.
- Your collaboration is crucial to the success of this project!
- "Peso a Peso, start to save" and make your dreams come true.


## 3. Data Collection

During the third phase of the fieldwork, the research team collected data from the branches. Due to confidentiality, the team did not have access to the clients' RUT (National Identification Number); consequently, BancoEstado collected each individual's information provided via the RUT, replaced the RUT and identifying information with a non-identifying study ID, and then sent the information, including the baseline survey responses and Informed Consent forms, to the research team.
The research team collected the process documents used to monitor the intervention, which consisted of a worksheet completed by the executives, the automatic savings confirmation (physical document), treatment cards, and the interviewers' logbooks. The information contained in these documents did not include RUTs. A more detailed explanation follows.
3.1. The bank followed the following protocol for data collection:

- The branches administered the baseline survey, which asked for personal identification numbers (RUT), via the tablet and collected the information using SurveyCTO Collector. ${ }^{48}$ The program was configured to automatically send survey information to the SurveyCTO server once the tablet was connected to the internet. Only the bank could access the data via a secure password on the SURveyCTO server.
- Members of the research team visited the branches once a week and connected the tablets to the internet via Wifi. The information on the tablets was then automatically sent to the SurveyCTO server. The field coordinator of the research team also contacted the BancoEstado central offices to ensure that BancoEstado received the information.
- Branch staff collected the completed Informed Consent forms every week at the braches. The consents were then manually typed and scanned at the bank's central offices. In this process, the RUTs were digitally erased, and this data was then merged with the survey.
- The staff at the BancoEstado's central offices replaced the participants' personal identifiers (RUT) with a non-identifying study ID and then sent the de-identified baseline information to the research team.
- The bank scanned the consents, digitally erased the RUT, and sent them to the research team every two weeks.
3.2. The research team followed the protocol below for the data collection:
- During the offer process, branch associates recorded enrollment details(date, participant's name, treatment assignment, etc.) in a workbook. The executives also filled out a form with the automatic saving information, indicated if the participant was assigned to the treatment as well as whether the participant agreed to have an automatic savings program with BancoEstado. Neither forms of documentation

[^23]contained the participants' RUTs, so the research team was authorized to collect this data.

- Enumerators assisted during the enrollment and filled out the treatment card and a logbook with data about the enrollment process (date, participant's name, and treatment assignment). Neither forms of documentation contained the participants' RUTs; instead, each participant was identified by a unique study ID number.
- Members of the research team visited the branches once a week in order to collect all the documents and send them to the central research team's offices.
- The research team typed and scanned the documents and used the information to monitor the process. For example, the team checked the number of participants reported by the branch associates against the worksheets and the Informed Consent forms.


## ANNEX 4

## BANCOESTADO SAVINGS ACCOUNTS

BancoEstado offered four types of savings accounts when this study was being conducted. For the purposes of this study, however, we were unable to distinguish between these types of savings accounts because the data available to us grouped all of the savings accounts together.

### 4.1. Cuentas de Ahorro Niño

The Cuentas de Ahorro Niño is a savings account in Chilean pesos (CLP) with annual interest rates. Those who wish to open this account must make a minimum deposit of approximately $\$ 8.00$ USD and are not required to have a savings record (i.e., a notebook with a record of all deposits and withdrawals). If a person does not have a savings record, however, then the interest rate is higher. Account holders can make withdrawals of up to 30 Unidad de Fomento (UF) ${ }^{49}$ (approximately $\$ 1,200.00$ USD) every day. If the account holder wishes to withdraw more, then he/she must notify the bank 30 days prior to the withdrawal. Account holders can make two free withdrawals every year. If an account holder does not make any withdrawals and has a savings record, then the interest rate for this account is $3.08 \%$. If the account holder does not have a savings record, then the interest rate for this account is $3.74 \%$. If the account holder makes one or more withdrawals, then the interest rate is $2.80 \%$ with a savings record and $3.40 \%$ without a savings record. There is no maintenance cost for this account.

### 4.2. Cuenta de Ahorro Estudio Seguro

This type of savings account is in UF. The purpose of this account is to finance the higher education of young students up to 16 years of age. Individuals can open this account with or without a saving record, but the interest rate is higher in the latter case. If the interested party has a savings transaction record, then the interest rate is 1 UF plus $0.5 \%$. If the account holder opens the account without a savings transaction record then the interest rate is 1 UF plus $0.6 \%$. When opening this account, the account holder must choose an amount between 50 UF and 1000 UF, which must be deposited in installments during a predetermined period. Account holders can make withdrawals of up to 30 UF $^{50}$ (approximately $\$ 1,200.00$ USD) every day. If they wish to withdraw more than 30 UF, then they must give the bank at least 30 days notice before the withdrawal. The account also allows clients to make six free withdrawals without any adjustment to their interest rate during the year if they have maintained their balance for at least 90 days.

### 4.3. Cuentas de Ahorro Multipropósito

4.3.1 Platino

This type of account can be personal or shared with another person indefinitely. There is no maintenance cost for this account, which can be opened with or without a saving transaction record, but the interest rate is greater in the latter case. Those who wish to open this account must make a minimum deposit of approximately $\$ 8.00$ USD.

There are three version of this account:

[^24]- Ahorro Platino con Giro Diferido: This account allows the holder to make withdrawals of up to 30 UF on a daily basis. The holder can withdraw more than 30 UF if he/she notifies the bank at least 30 days before the withdrawal. With a savings transaction record, the interest rate is $2.6 \%$ and $3.2 \%$ without.
- Ahorro Platino con Giro Incondicional: Withdrawal amounts from this account are unlimited. An account holder can make up to six withdrawals each year without losing interest. With a savings transaction record, the interest rate is $1.9 \%$, and $2.3 \%$ without.
- Ahorro Platino con Giro Condicional: This account allows its holder to specify a special condition that must be met in order to withdraw deposited funds.


### 4.3.2 Máxima

This is a personal account that pays an annual interest rate. Those wishing to open such an account can do so with or without a savings transaction record, but the interest rate is higher in the latter case. Account holders can make withdrawals of up to 30 UF on a daily basis or more if they notify the bank at least 30 days before the withdrawal. They can also make two free withdrawals during the year. If the account holder makes one or no withdrawals during the year, then the bank pays an additional interest rate. If the account holder does not make any withdrawals, then the interest rate is $2.80 \%$ with a savings record and $3.40 \%$ without. If one or more withdrawals are made the interest rate is $2.60 \%$ with a savings transaction record and $3.20 \%$ without. There is no maintenance fee for this account.

### 4.3.3 Premium

This type of savings account is in UF and lets account holders make withdrawals of up to 30 UF ${ }^{51}$ (approximately $\$ 1,200.00$ USD) or more every day if they notify the bank at least 30 days before the withdrawal. Moreover, it pays interest and readjusts the interest rate annually if account holders maintain their balance for at least 90 days. This account also allows clients to make three free withdrawals during the year. If an account holders does not withdraw any amount from the account or only make one withdrawal, the bank pays the account holder an additional increased interest rate for up to three consecutive cycles. If the account holder does not make any withdrawals during the first year, then the interest rate is $1 \mathrm{UF}+0.40 \%$ with a savings record and $1 \mathrm{UF}+0.50 \%$ without. In the second year, the interest rate is $1 \mathrm{UF}+$ $0.60 \%$ with a savings transaction record and $1 \mathrm{UF}+0.70 \%$ without. In the third year, the interest rate is $1 \mathrm{UF}+0.70 \%$ with a savings transaction record and $1 \mathrm{UF}+0.80 \%$ without. If one or more withdrawals are made, then the interest rates are $1 \mathrm{UF}+0.60 \%$ with a savings transaction record and $1 \mathrm{UF}+0.3 \%$ without. 4.3.4. Savings Accounts for Housing Subsidies

This individual savings account in UF is necessary to apply to receive housing subsidies. It accrues an annual interest and readjusts for deposits saved in this account for more than 90 days. Account holders can make withdrawals of up to 30 UF (approximately \$1,200.00 USD) or more every day if they notify the bank 30 days before the withdrawal.

In order to open this account, an account holder must make a minimum deposit of approximately $\$ 20.50$ USD and must not have other savings accounts for housing in the financial system. An individual can open this account with or without a savings transaction record, but the interest rate is greater in the latter case.

## ANNEX 5

## THE TREATMENTS

This evaluation includes a control group and the following three treatments: an automatic savings plan (ASP), SMS reminders (SMS), and a set of savings strategies (SS) aimed to reduce spending on temptation goods. A more comprehensive explanation of the treatments follows.

### 5.1 Treatment 1: Automatic Savings Plan (ASP)

This treatment consists of offering bank clients the already-existing product automatic savings program (ASP) through BancoEstado. The treatment consists of offering the product, and not the product itself. If a participant assigned to another treatment arm asked to be enrolled in the ASP, then the branch associate proceeded with the enrollment according to bank protocol.

The ASP automatically transfers a specific amount of money from the participant's bank account to his/her savings account every month. Individuals can determine and specify the transfer date and amount. While there is no operational minimum, branch associates are instructed to set the minimum at 5,000 CLP per month (approximately $\$ 8.00$ USD). However, after considering the vulnerability of the program's target population, the amount changed to a minimum of $\$ 1,000$ CLP (approximately $\$ 1.50$ USD) for program participants. The ASP itself is free to the client.

If, after one month, the amount in the bank account is less than the automatic transfer amount specified, the transaction is not made and does not accumulate for the next month.

For the first two months of the intervention, individuals assigned to this treatment arm could practice a savings planning exercise on the tablet before being offered the ASP. In this exercise, the participant indicates the amount he/she wants to save and the period in which he/she wants to achieve the goal. In response, the savings planning exercise showed the individual how much he/she should save daily, weekly, and monthly to reach his/her goal by the stipulated deadline. This planning tool was eliminated in December 2015, when, due to logistics, we incorporated enumerators in the offer process instead.

### 5.2 Treatment 2: Short Message Service (SMS)

In this SMS treatment, participants were sent monthly personalized (e.g., included the participant's name) SMS message reminders of the savings goals that they indicated in the baseline survey.

One of the questions contained in the baseline survey was, "What is your main reason for saving? (Mark only one option)." Participants had to choose one reason for saving among 17 options. The 17 options are as follows: (1) Contingencies (emergency, unemployment, sickness, funeral costs, or others), (2) Old age, (3) Medical or dental treatment, (4) Home extension or repairs, (5) Vacations, (6) Begin or improve business, (7) Having children, (8) Own education, (9) Children's education, (10) Home items, (11) Presents (Christmas, birthdays, anniversaries, baptisms, other), (12) Purchasing a home, (13) Car or motorcycle, (14) Electronic personal items (cellphone, tablet, console, etc.), (15) Weddings or other events (Baptisms, graduations, birthdays, anniversaries, other), (16) Other reasons, and (17) To have savings.

Thirteen messages were sent to each SMS treatment participant between November 2015 and April 2017. The first SMS was a welcome message that reminded participants about
the program. The remaining messages were related to their savings motive. The research team sent the SMS messages using a mass text messaging internet page. The participants received the first message approximately one month after they were invited to participate in the program.

The SMS messages were sent on the first Monday of every month. On the following day, the research team downloaded the message reports from the internet ${ }^{52}$ and forwarded the messages that were not received. A second attempt to forward the messages was made one day later. After the three attempts at sending a message on three consecutive days, the research team could obtain the final message reception rate. The average reception rate was $70 \%$.

Table A5.1 presents the monthly SMS messages that were sent to participants of Treatment 2.
Table A5.1: SMS Treatment

| Savings Goal | SMS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Unexpected expenses <br> (emergencies, <br> unemployment, <br> funeral, among others) | [Name], remember to make a deposit into your savings account <br> this month. Get closer to reaching your savings goal for <br> unexpected expenses! Greetings, BancoEstado. |
| Retirement | [Name], remember to make a deposit into your savings <br> account this month. Get closer to reaching your savings goal <br> for vour retirement! Greetings, BancoEstado. |
| Medical/dental <br> treatment. | [Name], remember to make a deposit into your savings account <br> this month. Get closer to reaching your savings goal for medical <br> or dental treatments! Greetings, BancoEstado. |
| Remodel/expand home | [Name], remember to make a deposit into your savings account <br> this month. Get closer to reaching your savings goal for fixing <br> up your home! |

[^25]|  | Greetings, BancoEstado. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vacation | [Name], remember to make a deposit into your savings account <br> this month. Get closer to reaching your savings goal for <br> vacation! Greetings, BancoEstado. |
| Initiating or improving <br> your own business | [Name], remember to make a deposit into your savings <br> account this month. Get closer to reaching your savings <br> goal for vour own business! Greetings, BancoEstado. |
| Having children | [Name], remember to make a deposit into your savings <br> account this month. Get closer to reaching your savings goal <br> for having children, Greetings, BancoEstado. |
| Participant's education | Name], remember to make a deposit into your savings <br> account this month Get closer to reaching your savings goal <br> for vour education! Greetings, BancoEstado. |
| Children's education | [Name], remember to make a deposit into your savings <br> account this month. Get closer to reaching your savings goal <br> for your children's education! Greeting, BancoEstado. |
| Household items | [Name], remember to make a deposit into your savings account |
| this month. Get closer to reaching your savings goal for |  |
| household items! Greeting, BancoEstado. |  |$|$| [Name], remember to make a deposit into your savings |
| :--- |
| [ncont |
| account this month. Get closer to reaching your savings goal |
| for gifts! Greetings, BancoEstado. |


| Celebration (wedding, <br> graduation, birthday, <br> among others) | [Name], remember to make a deposit into your savings account <br> this month. Get closer to reaching your savings goal for your <br> own celebration! Greetings, BancoEstado. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Others/have savings | [Name], remember to make a deposit into your savings account <br> this month. Get closer to reaching your savings goal! Greetings, <br> Banco |

### 5.3 Treatment 3: Savings Strategies (SS)

The SS treatment is a four-part treatment focused on strategies to save based on lowering one's consumption of temptation goods. This treatment consists of (1) an animated video with strategies, (2) a savings kit with reminders of the strategies, (3) a calendar with messages referring to the strategies, and (4) SMS message reminders of the strategies. A more detailed explanation of each part is below.

- Strategies Video

This treatment begins with a 3:26 minute video shown on a tablet during the enrollment process. Participants used headphones while watching the video. The video showed five strategies to help people lower their consumption of temptation goods. The research team developed these strategies in consultation with a psychologist. The video is available at: https://sites.google.com/site/clpmartineza/projects.

The five strategies are: 1 ) identify temptations, 2 ) calculate how much it is possible to save in a year by decreasing unnecessary expenses, 3 ) determine a concrete goal, 4 ) develop a budget plan and remember that it is not necessary to cut out all expenses related to temptation goods, and 5) save money in the bank.

The video transcript is below.

## Video Script

"... Maybe you are wondering how to save money every month when it seems at times that you only have enough money to get by for one month. Don't worry! Here you can learn simple strategies to make saving money easier.

These strategies will help you save money by decreasing unnecessary expenses that you incur without even thinking.

There are five keys to saving money by decreasing your spending on temptation goods.

## Key 1: Identify your temptations.

Start by writing down what temptation goods you spend your money on.
To do this, think about your small daily expenses, for example: drinks, beer, cookies, chocolates, sweets, ice cream, cigarettes, gambling, and snacks, among other things.

Key 2: Calculate how much can you save in one year if you decrease these unnecessary expenses.
Calculate approximately how much you spend per week on daily pleasures. For example, if you used to spend $\$ 300$ weekly on drinks, beer, sweets, or gambling, then this turns out to be $\$ 15,600$ in a year!

If you spend $\$ 50.00$ weekly.. That is $\$ 2,400.00$ per year!
Key 3: Encourage yourself by making a concrete goal!
To decrease these expenses, the best thing to do is to set an achievable goal, but one that is strong enough to help you overcome any temptation.

Therefore, not only think about how much money you will save, but what you want to do with that money.

Maybe your goal is to save money for a house, your kids' education, or some another specific thing.
Key 4: It is not necessary to cut out all temptation goods. It is simply enough to decrease spending on them and plan for the other things you would like to have.
Even if you save money, sometimes you just want to treat yourself. Therefore, plan to give yourself a monthly allowance to spend on temptation goods.

For example, buy a drink only on the weekend, or determine a maximum amount of money per week that you will let yourself spend on the things you enjoy. This way, you can treat yourself without feeling guilty or compromising on your resolve to save.

Key 5: Save your money in the
bank.
If you have your savings on hand, you are more likely to spend it before you reach your goal. Therefore, we recommend saving your money in a bank account every month, even if it is just a small amount.

Also, remember that you have different alternatives when it comes to saving your money in the bank: the Internet, any CajaVecina, ServiEstado, or a bank branch.

If at any point you do not follow your plan, do not be discouraged! Things happen...
Once you start saving, it is always easier to get back on track.
Along with providing these five keys to avoid unnecessary spending on temptation goods, this program seeks to support your resolve to save money, give you a few products that will be useful to remember about your savings goal, and provide strategies on how to achieve it.

Also, in order to help you save money, we will send you a monthly SMS message to remind you of the strategies.

Peso a Peso [Penny by penny], start saving! ... Make your
dreams come true!

- Savings Kit

After the participants watched the video, the executive gave them a savings kit. The kit contained a magnet, a credit card holder, and a calendar. The magnet was made up of five pieces, and each piece had one of the five savings strategies mentioned in the video. The credit card holder had the project's logo on it and was intended to serve as an item that the participants would use daily and, consequently, remember about their goals.

The magnet and credit card holder were given to the participants inside of a paper bag that had the project logo on it.

- Calendar

The desktop calendar served as a monthly reminder of the savings strategies presented in the video. A description of the calendar is below.

## Cover page

Do you want to save but only have enough money to cover your expenses for a month? We invite you to learn the simple strategy of decreasing spending on unnecessary things that you buy without even thinking - the dreaded temptation goods!

Peso a Peso, start saving! ... Make your dreams come true!

## Back cover

## Identify your temptations?

- What do you spend on temptation goods?
- Everyday expenses that do not seem to cost too much.
- How much could you save in one year if you decreased your spending on temptation goods?
- Calculate approximately how much you spend per week on temptation goods.
- Then, check the table below to see how much you could save in one year if you eliminated those expenses.

| Spending <br> temptation <br> goods per week | on |
| :--- | :--- |
| In one year, I |  |
| could save... |  |

## August 2015

Calculate... How much could you save in one year if you decreased your spending on temptation goods?

- How much do you spend on temptation goods?
- 
- Everyday spending on things that do not seem to be that expensive.


## September 2015

Motivate yourself by setting a concrete goal!

- If you want to overcome temptations ...
- Motivate yourself with a concrete goal.


## October 2015

It is not necessary to eliminate all spending on temptation goods; it is simply enough to decrease such spending and plan ahead if you want to treat yourself.

- Do not be discouraged if you spend more than you planned in a given month!
- Once you start saving, it is always easier to get back on track if you fall off the bandwagon.


## November 2015

Save money in a bank account.

- In order to avoid the temptation to spend your savings, keep your money in the bank!
- You have different alternatives for saving money in the bank: the Internet, any CajaVecina, ServiEstado, or a bank branch.


## December 2015

It is not necessary to eliminate all spending on temptation goods; it is simply enough to decrease such spending and plan ahead if you want to treat yourself.

- Remember, one strategy to save is to decrease spending and plan your expenses on temptation goods ahead of time. In this way, you do not necessarily have to eliminate temptation goods altogether.
- This month we recommend setting aside a certain amount of money for gifts and celebrations in order to avoid the temptation to spend more than you can afford!


## January 2016

Motivate yourself by setting a concrete goal!

- Sometimes it is hard not to be tempted to buy something that you do not really need.
- Remembering your concrete goal could be help you avoid this.


## February 2016

Calculate... How much could you save in one year if you decreased your spending on temptation goods?

- Calculate how much you could save in one year if you decreased your spending on temptation goods.
- You can save your money in the bank using the internet, any CajaVecina, ServiEstado, or a bank branch.


## March 2016

It is not necessary to eliminate all spending on temptation goods; it is simply enough to decrease such spending and plan ahead if you want to treat yourself

- To save does not mean that you cannot treat yourself!
- The important thing is to decrease spending and plan your expenses on temptation goods ahead of time. In this way, you do not necessarily have to eliminate temptation goods altogether.


## April 2016

Save money in a bank account.

- If you have your savings on hand...
- You are more likely to spend it before you reach your goal.
- Therefore, keep your savings in the bank.


## May 2016

Calculate... How much could you save in on year if you decrease your spending on temptation goods?

- Spending money on candy and soda can appear to be minimal, but those expenses add up.
- We recommend that you to calculate how much you spend on these kinds of products in one year.


## June 2016

Motivate yourself by setting a concrete goal!

- What is your savings goal?
- Remember what you are saving for! In this way, is easier to resist the temptation to spend money on sodas or other things every day.


## July 2016

Save money in a bank account.

- In order to avoid the temptation to spend your savings, save your money in a bank account!
- To save your money in the bank, you have different alternatives: the Internet, any CajaVecina, ServiEstado, or a bank branch.


## August 2016

## Calculate... How much could you save in on year if you decreased your spending on temptation goods?

- How much do you spend on temptation goods?
- Everyday expenses that do not seem to cost that much.


## September 2016

Motivate yourself by setting a concrete goal!

- If you want to overcome temptation ...
- Motivate yourself by setting a concrete goal.


## October 2016

Calculate... How much could you save in one year if you decreased your spending on temptation goods?

- Do not be discouraged if you fail to follow your savings plan!
- Things happen!
- Do not forget that once you start saving, it is always easier to get back on track if you fall off the bandwagon.


## November 2016

It is not necessary to eliminate all spending on temptation goods; it is simply enough to decrease such spending and to plan ahead of time if you want to treat yourself.

- To save does not mean that you cannot treat yourself!
- The important thing is to decrease and plan your spending on temptation goods. You do not necessarily have to eliminate them.


## December 2016

## Save money in a bank account.

- If you have your savings nearby on hand...
- You are more likely to spend it before you reach your goal.
- Therefore, it is very helpful keep your savings in the bank.


## January 2017

## Motivate yourself with a concrete goal!

- Do not be discouraged if you spend more than you planned in a given month!
- Once you start saving, it is always easier to get back on track if you fall off the bandwagon.
- Text messages

Monthly text messages (SMS) were sent to the participants' cellphones with the saving strategies shown in the video and the advice in the calendar. The research team developed the content of the messages with the help of a team of psychologists.

Thirteen personalized SMS messages that included the participant's name were sent to each participant. The first SMS contained a welcome message reminding the participant about the program. All other messages included savings strategy reminders. The research team sent text messages from November 2015 to April 2017 by using a mass text messaging system on the Internet and keeping the staggered enrollment process in mind. The participants received the first message approximately one month after agreeing to participate in the intervention.

The research team sent the text messages on the first Monday of every month. The following day the research team downloaded the message reports from the Internet and forwarded the messages that were not received. A second attempt to send unreceived messages was made the following day. After three attempts, the research team calculated the message reception rate.

The SMS messages that were sent to the participants every month are presented in table A.5.2.

Table A5.2: SMS Savings Strategies (Treatment 3)

| Month | SMS |
| :---: | :---: |
| November 201 - | [Name], if you want to save, then depositing money in the bank will help you to avoid the temptation to spend it. BancoEstado. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { December } \\ & 2015 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | [Name], if you want to save, then remember to reduce spending and plan your expenses. BancoEstado. |
| January 2016 | [Name], if you want to save money, but it is difficult to avoid the temptation to spend, then it helps to remember about your savings goal. BancoEstado. |
| February 2016 | [Name], if you want to save money, then remember that spending $\$ 4,000$ weekly on unnecessary expenses or temptation goods adds up to $\$ 208,000$ per year. BancoEstado. |
| March 2016 | [Name], if you want to save money, then remember that it is important to reduce and plan your spending, rather than eliminating it altogether. BancoEstado. |
| April 2016 | [Name], if you want to save money, then remember that it helps not to have too much cash on hand. To avoid this temptation, deposit it in the bank. BancoEstado. |
| May 2016 | [Name], if you want to save money by reducing spending on temptation goods, then it is helpful to remember what you are saving for. <br> Domarato |
| June 2016 | [Name], if you want to save money, then remember that spending \$2,500 weekly on unnecessary expenses or temptation goods adds up to $\$ 130,000$ per year. BancoEstado. |
| July 2016 | [Name], if you want to save money, then it is helpful to avoid having cash on hand. That is why you should deposit it into your bank account. BancoEstado. |
| August 2016 | [Name], if you want to save money, then calculating how much you can save in a year will help you reduce your spending on temptation goods. BancoEstado. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { September } \\ & 2016 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | [Name], if you want to save money by reducing spending on temptation goods, then think about your savings goal. BancoEstado. |
| October 2016 | [Name], do not be discouraged if you have spent more than what you planned this month! Once you start saving, it is always easier to get back on track. BancoEstado. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { November } \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | [Name], if you want to save, then regularly depositing money in your savings account will help you to avoid spending on temptation goods and reach your goal. BancoEstado |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { December } \\ & 2016 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | [Name], if you want to save money, then remember to reduce and plan your spending. BancoEstado |
| January 2017 | [Name], if you want to save, but it is difficult to avoid spending money unnecessarily, then it is helpful to remember what you are saving for BancoEstado. |
| February 2017 | [Name], if you want to save money, then remember that spending $\$ 4000$ weekly on unnecessary expenses or temptation goods adds up to $\$ 208,000$ in one year. |


| March 2017 | [Name], if you want to save money, then remember that it is important to <br> reduce and plan your expenses rather than eliminating them. BancoEstado. |
| :--- | :--- |
| April 2017 | [Name], if you want to save, then it is helpful not to have cash on hand. <br> That is why you should deposit it in your bank account. BancoEstado. |

### 5.4 Control group

The participants assigned to the control group received only the small gift that was given to each participant in every treatment arm.

## ANNEX 6

## DATA

### 6.1 Products and Account Balance Data

The data contains all information regarding the financial products and accounts that each of the 6,242 participants holds in the bank and shows the average balance for every month considered in the study as well as the date on which the product was acquired. The information had to be sent one week after 3 months had passed since the first study participant was enrolled. The data considered information from 15 months before enrollment and from 18 months after the end of the treatment. At this point, BancoEstado has sent information from September 2014 to December 2016.

For our analysis, we classify balances into 4 different categories:

1) Balances in Savings Accounts: Considers traditional savings accounts, Ahorro vista accounts (used mainly for alimony), and accounts for housing subsidies.
2) Balances in CuentaRUT Accounts: This bank account does not pay interest and has no maintenance fee, but it has a withdrawal fee of $\$ 0.50$ USD (from an ATM), $\$ 1.00$ USD (at a branch), and all other transactions are free.
3) Total Balances (Savings Accounts and CuentaRUT Accounts): The sum of the account balances of the savings accounts and CuentaRUT accounts (1+2).
4) Debt Balances: Consumption, education, and mortgage debts.
a. Consumption: Includes any type of consumption credits and insurances associated with them.
i. Commercial credits
ii. Lines of credit (linked to commercial credit)
iii. Consumption
iv. Credit card debts
b. Education: Considers education credits (with and without the state's guarantee).
c. Mortgage: Considers mortgage credits.

All of the variables we use are generated for the five categories mentioned above. Particularly, we calculated the probability of having a positive balance in any of these products and the amounts associated to them 13 months before, and after the enrollment process. Since the offer was not made to all of the participants on the same day, there is more information on certain participants in some months and other participants in other moths before or after enrollment. This information includes the following:

Probability: We define probability by having a positive balance in product X as a dummy variable that takes the value of 1 if the amount that appears in the data is greater than 0 and different from missing, or in any other case, the value of 0 . When there is a missing value in product $x$ in month $y$, but then a different amount missing in month $z$, then it means that the product was not acquired in month $y$, but it was a acquired in month z .

Amounts: These variables correspond to the sum of the amounts in each category. That is, we add up the amounts of the balances for each category and for each participant.

### 6.2. Bank Transaction Data

The data contains all transfers made by each of the 6,242 participants, the dates on which the transfers were made, the types of transfer, the product involved, and the channels used. Each line in the data represents a different transfer, so participants will not necessarily have the same number of observations. This information had to be sent one week after 3 months had passed since the first individual enrolled in the program. The data consider information from 13 months before enrollment and from 17 months after the treatment ended.

For the analysis of this data we consider only information regarding transfers made to and from savings and CuentaRUT accounts. Particularly, we consider the following categories:

1) Savings Accounts: Considers traditional savings accounts, Ahorro vista accounts (mainly used for alimony), and accounts for housing subsidies.
2) CuentaRUT Accounts
3) Total Transactions: Savings accounts and CuentaRUT accounts (1+2)

On the other hand, we also consider the following type of variables in our analysis: the probability of making a transaction, the number of transactions, and the amount of each transaction:

Probability: As in Products data, we worked with transactions greater than 0 . That is, the probability of a positive transaction (deposits or withdrawals) is defined as dummy that takes the value 1 if the transaction is greater than 0 , and the value of 0 otherwise.

Number: These variables correspond to the number of times the participant made a transfer related to one of the two categories considered. If the participant made five transfers using a CuentaRUT account, then the number of transfers associated with this individual's de-identified study ID is five.

Amounts: These variables correspond to the sum of the amounts in each category. That is, for both categories (savings account and CuentaRUT accounts) and for each participant, we add up the amounts of the transfers. For example, if a participant has 10 transfers related to savings in month x, we add up these amounts.

### 6.3 BancoEstado Administrative Data

The administrative data provided by BancoEstado shows all of the sociodemographic information and contact information that the bank collected from participants. Specifically, it includes information about: age, gender, education, occupation, marital status, cellphone number, and municipality. The data also includes information on the checking and savings accounts that participants opened, the dates on which the accounts were opened, as well as the amounts the participants deposited when they opened the accounts.

### 6.4. Baseline Survey Data

The participants completed the baseline survey on a tablet as part of the enrollment process. The data includes the participants' sociodemographic information, including: gender, age, income, education, occupation, people per household, and bank branch office.

### 6.5. Follow-Up Survey Data

A sample of 2,049 participants took the follow-up household survey. Variables included in the final data considered: savings, entrepreneurship, subjective well-being, financial perception, temptation good spending, and the probability of spending on savings goals.

## ANNEX 7

## THE TIMING OF TRANSACTIONS

In this appendix, we study if there is a correlation between the dates on which the text messages for the SMS and SS treatment arms were sent and the participants' transactions (deposits and withdrawals). To this end, we use the administrative transactions data provided by BancoEstado, since it contains all of the transfers that the participants made from September 2014 to September 2017, which is three years of data of all of their deposits and withdrawals.

Transactional daily data was collapsed by month and merged with project data of the day that each SMS reminder was sent. In total 12 SMS messages per participant were supposed to be sent. However, since the enrollment process lasted 8 months, the SMS messages were sent in 18 rounds. For example, the first SMS was sent on November 2, 2015, but only 14 SMS messages were sent on this date. The remainder of the participants received their first message on different dates. Table A7.1 reports the specific dates on which the SMS messages were sent. All of the participants received the messages in the same sequence. When they received these messages, however, depended on when they enrolled in the study.

Table A7.1
SMS dates

| SMS number | Date |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $11-02-2015$ |
| 2 | $12-07-2015$ |
| 3 | $01-04-2016$ |
| 4 | $02-01-2016$ |
| 5 | $03-01-2016$ |
| 6 | $04-04-2016$ |
| 7 | $05-02-2016$ |
| 8 | $06-06-2016$ |
| 9 | $07-04-2016$ |
| 10 | $08-01-2016$ |
| 11 | $09-05-2016$ |
| 12 | $10-03-2016$ |


| 13 | $11-07-2016$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 14 | $12-05-2016$ |
| 15 | $01-02-2017$ |
| 16 | $02-06-2017$ |
| 17 | $03-06-2017$ |
| 18 | $04-03-2017$ |

With this information, we generate a dummy variable that takes the value 1 if a transaction was made between 0 (the same day the SMS was sent) and 3 days after the text message was sent and the value of 0 in other cases.

This dummy is used as a dependent variable in the following equation:

$$
\text { Any transaction }_{i}=b_{0}+b_{1} A S P_{i}+b_{2} S M S_{i}+b_{3} S S_{i}+a_{1} X_{i}+u_{i}
$$

where Any transaction $_{i}$ is a dummy variable that takes the value 1 if a transaction $i$ was made between 0 and 3 days after the text message was sent. All regressions include dummies for strata (defined by the reception of subsidy and savings motive), fixed effects by the offer date, branch associate fixed effects, branch fixed effects, and a dummy indicating whether an enumerator or a branch associate recruited the individual. We also include the pre-treatment mean values of CuentaRUT, savings and debt balances because of unbalance. In addition, we include gender and per capita income because they are significant predictors for attrition in our sample We use robust standard errors.

The coefficients of interest are $b_{1}-b_{3}$, which correspond to the treatment assignment. For example, a positive sign for $b_{1}$ means that there was an increase in the probability of making a transaction between 0 and 3 days after the text message was sent for those who received the ASP offer.

Table A7.2 presents estimates for withdrawals and Table 6.3 for deposits. For savings account deposits, we observe that all coefficients of the treatment assignment have the same sign, and it is only significant for ASP. This positive effect is consistent with ASP deposits being made in the first days in a month: for example, in March 2016, $50 \%$ of the ASP deposits in the control group were during the first 5 days of the month. Regarding withdrawals from savings accounts, the coefficients are positive for SS and SMS and negative for ASP. The coefficients for CuentaRUT are all negative and significant, consistent with a decrease in withdrawals for all treatments at the beginning of the month.

Table A7.2 Withdrawals in the first $\mathbf{3}$ days after the text message

|  | $[1]$ | $[2]$ | $[3]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Savings | CuentaRUT | Savings <br> Accounts |
|  |  |  |  |
| SS |  |  |  |
|  | 0.001 | $-0.010^{* *}$ | -0.008 |
| SMS | $(0.002)$ | $(0.005)$ | $(0.005)$ |
|  | 0.004 | $-0.012^{* *}$ | -0.008 |
| ASP | $(0.002)$ | $(0.005)$ | $(0.005)$ |
|  | -0.003 | $-0.014^{* * *}$ | $-0.016^{* * *}$ |
| Constant | $(0.002)$ | $(0.004)$ | $(0.004)$ |
|  | $-0.029^{* * *}$ | $0.078^{* * *}$ | $0.057^{* *}$ |
|  | $(0.011)$ | $(0.027)$ | $(0.028)$ |
| Observations | 74,112 | 74,112 | 74,112 |
| R-squared | 0.014 | 0.046 | 0.046 |

Note: Columns [1] to [3] report the intent-to-treat (ITT) estimate and standard error (in parentheses) of the program assignment to each treatment arm on withdrawals in the 3 days after the text message was sent. Robust standard errors in parentheses. ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.1$

Table A7.3 Deposits in the first 3 days after the text message

|  | $[1]$ | $[2]$ | $[3]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Savings Accounts | CuentaRUT | Savings Accounts+CuentaRUT |
| SS | 0.002 | 0.004 | $0.006^{*}$ |
| SMS | $(0.003)$ | $(0.003)$ | $(0.004)$ |
|  | 0.001 | -0.003 | -0.002 |
| ASP | $(0.003)$ | $(0.003)$ | $(0.004)$ |
|  | $0.014^{* * *}$ | -0.003 | $0.009^{* * *}$ |
| Constant | $(0.002)$ | $(0.002)$ | $(0.003)$ |
|  | $-0.056^{* * *}$ | $0.046^{* * *}$ | -0.005 |
| Observations | $(0.013)$ | $(0.017)$ | $(0.021)$ |
| R-squared | 74,112 | 74,112 | 74,112 |

Note: Columns [1] to [3] report the intent-to-treat (ITT) estimate and standard error (in parentheses) of the program assignment to each treatment arm on deposits in the 3 days after the text message was sent. Robust standard errors in parentheses. *** $\mathrm{p}<0.01, * * \mathrm{p}<0.05, * \mathrm{p}<0.1$
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[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Per capita GDP (PPP) in 2014 (the year of the intervention analyzed in this paper).

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Similar default payments have been shown to increase savings, for example, among factory workers in Afghanistan (Blumenstock et al., 2018), farmers in Malawi (Brune et al., 2016), and villagers in rural India (Somville \& Vandewalle, 2018). Other type of interventions focus on joint decision-making (Seshan \& Yang, 2014) and changes in interest rates (Schaner, 2018).

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Retrieved May 22, 2018, from
    http://www.sbif.cl/sbifweb/servlet/InfoFinanciera?indice=4.1\&idCategoria=564\&tipocont=905.
    ${ }^{5}$ Highly vulnerable municipalities were chosen in accordance with the Priority Social Index 2014 and in agreement with BancoEstado. The number of branch associates per branch and the presence of a maximum of two branches per municipality were taken into consideration. See Annex 2 for details. The Ministry of Social Development computes the Priority Social Index, which considers income, education, and health, to determine a municipality's level of social development.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Overall, BancoEstado savings accounts are similar to those used in the rest of the literature. See Dupas et al. (2018) for a summary of account characteristics from other studies and Annex 4 for a description of the savings account used in our study.
    ${ }^{7}$ The baseline survey had 11 questions and took an average of 14 minutes to complete. It had to be short to avoid hindering branch services.
    ${ }^{8}$ Individuals had to provide their national identification number. We subsequently used the last two digits of the number to assign the participants to each treatment. Before enrollment, we randomized the numbers that corresponded to each treatment for each stratum. Then, when individuals provided their identification numbers and answered the baseline survey questions, they were assigned to a treatment.
    ${ }^{9}$ In December 2015, after three months of recruitment, we engaged monitors at the largest bank branches to help increase enrollment. The monitors wore identification badges and approached clients in the waiting area to motivate them to participate in the project. If a client agreed to participate, they signed the consent form and took the baseline survey on the tablet. After the participant completed the survey, the monitor saw the treatment assignment on the tablet and gave the client a card with a number that indicated the treatment assignment. The client subsequently gave this card to the branch associate. Approximately $54.2 \%$ of the participants $(N=3,208)$ were recruited by branch associates and $45.8 \%(N=2,715)$ were recruited with the monitors' assistance. See Annex 3 for details.

[^5]:    ${ }^{10}$ People typically keep their savings for buying a house in a BancoEstado account since this is required to apply for housing subsidies.

[^6]:    ${ }^{11}$ Corresponding to US\$6 and US\$315, respectively.

[^7]:    ${ }^{12}$ When inviting individuals to participate, branch associates were trained to ask, "Would you like to participate in a savings program that will automatically transfer the amount you choose into your savings account every month?"
    ${ }^{13}$ The minimum transfer amount was CLP 1,000 (approximately US\$1.50).
    ${ }^{14}$ The figures are $15 \%$ and $16 \%$ for the SMS and SS groups, respectively.

[^8]:    15 We sent the entire experimental sample to the survey firm, which administered the survey to 2,049 individuals. The survey firm intended to contact the whole sample but could only reach 2,049 people. Therefore, the survey sample was not selected randomly. We present in section 2.7 a discussion of the implications for the analysis of the survey data.

[^9]:    ${ }^{16}$ Average of pretreatment months (between 13 and 18 months, depending on the offering month).
    ${ }^{17}$ All amounts report real prices in USD for the same month in September 2014.
    ${ }^{18}$ In Table 2 we only report the test of the control group with each treatment group, the tests between groups are mentioned in the text, but available upon request.
    ${ }^{19}$ After the sum was generated, all categories were winsorized, which is why "Total Savings and CuentaRUT Account" is not equivalent to the sum of these two balances.

[^10]:    ${ }^{20} \mathrm{We}$ also included a dummy indicating the 55 cases in which the same executives offered a product to more than one person on the same date and time. A given executive could potentially have enrolled two individuals at the same time, which is what this variable indicates. We imputed the average value if there was a missing value in the independent variables and included a dummy indicating this. When controls are excluded, the results remain largely unchanged.
    ${ }^{21}$ The first month after enrollment corresponds to the month in which the offer was made because dependent variables are measured at the end of the month.

[^11]:    ${ }^{22}$ We also find statistically significant differences between SMS and the other two treatments.
    ${ }^{23}$ Analyzing the extensive margin, we find that no treatment has an impact on the probability of having positive balances in the savings accounts. The point estimates for CuentaRUT accounts are positive and significant for SS over several months, which implies that individuals in these treatment arms maintained positive but smaller balances in their CuentaRUT accounts compared to the control group (results available upon request). The effect on account possession is irrelevant because all study participants were required to have them.

[^12]:    ${ }^{24}$ In order to study the mechanical effect, we would need to identify the transfers from the CuentaRUT accounts to the savings accounts. We are unable to do so, however, because this type of transaction is not recorded in the transactional data. Yet, since the number of monthly deposits increased by approximately 0.05 , and since the difference in take-up between the ASP group and the control group was approximately 0.18 , the change in the number of monthly deposits of those participating in the ASP was, on average, 0.28 . Since this number is less than 1 , the estimated impact is less than the expected mechanical effect.
    ${ }^{25}$ To understand what caused balances to increase (more deposits or fewer withdrawals) and to determine whether the treatments affected these patterns, we also study whether the withdrawal pattern is associated with the days on which we sent text messages. The SMS and SS texts were sent on the same day. We do not find evidence of this behavior for SS and SMS (See Annex 7).
    ${ }^{26}$ To determine large withdrawals, we use changes in savings balances instead of transactional data, mostly because balances are monthly averages, then it is not direct how to compare the withdrawals with the average balances. This implies that the timing of the withdrawals affects the observed balance. We choose to use the balance data to have an order of magnitude of the withdrawals.

[^13]:    ${ }^{27}$ We separately report the results in Table A6 for the first nine months and months 10 to 17.
    ${ }^{28}$ Columns 2 and 3 show that men and those with a greater per capita income were more likely to participate in the survey.

[^14]:    ${ }^{29}$ The survey question was: "During the last year, did you receive a SMS message from BancoEstado?"
    ${ }^{30}$ There is no significant difference between savings balances in the administrative data (month 12) and survey data for the control group (available upon request).

[^15]:    ${ }^{31}$ This module had a high nonresponse rate: of the 2,049 individuals in the sample, only 1,253 individuals provided this information.

[^16]:    ${ }^{32}$ The high variance in the amounts of debt resulted in imprecise estimates.
    ${ }^{33} \mathrm{We}$ calculate net savings by adding savings, the balance in CuentaRUT, and the balance in other checking accounts and then subtracting debt. Figure A3 shows the results for the net-savings variable. We find a similar pattern to that for the effect on total savings. The ASP and SS treatments have a positive effect, with a peak around month 10 after the offering and decreasing afterward. The SMS treatment has mostly a negative effect. However, because of the variable's variability, almost none of the coefficients are significant.

[^17]:    34 The question was: "In which of the following categories do you think you have unnecessary expenses that you could reduce? (Check only one option)." The categories were housing, clothing, communications, recreation, food, transportation, household maintenance, health and medicine, education, alcohol and tobacco, and other expenditures. We generated a dummy taking the value of 1 if the individual responded, "Alcohol drinks and tobacco," and 0 if they responded with another category or if the value was missing.
    ${ }^{35}$ The effect on CuentaRUT is less clear because of the unbalance before the offering.
    ${ }^{36}$ The difference between the two groups is not significant.

[^18]:    ${ }^{37}$ The higher amount of US $\$ 16.00$ represents all costs, including the production of the video. Once made, however, the video can be shown to many individuals without increasing the intervention cost. For this reason, we include the lower intervention cost of US\$13.00.

[^19]:    Note: Table reports p-values from the F-test of the interaction between all treatments and the 17 months after the offering. In column [1] the dependent variables is savings accounts, while in column [2] is total balance (savings accounts and Cuenta Rut). General notes from Figure 2 apply. We use robust standard error. Sample size varies due to missing values. ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05, * \mathrm{p}<0.1$

[^20]:    38 "Índice de Prioridad Social de Comunas 2014, Región Metropolitana," Ministerio de Desarrollo Social.
    ${ }^{39}$ The Priority Social Index 2014 classified 14 municipalities as "High Priority," 23 as "Medium-High Priority," 9 as "Medium-Low Priority," 3 as "Low Priority," and 3 as "No Priority."

[^21]:    ${ }^{40}$ Depending on the size of the branch, an executive can take on multiple roles (e.g., initial and credit executive duties).
    ${ }^{41}$ Every branch has an operations manager who is in charge of the branch's communication and coordination with the BancoEstado's central offices.
    ${ }^{42}$ In addition, the research team developed a reception at each branch in January 2016 in order to thank executives for their participation and show appreciation for their involvement in the enrollment process.

[^22]:    ${ }^{43}$ Usually there was a line of people waiting at each branch because the clients outnumbered the executives.
    ${ }^{44}$ The Informed Consent form is a physical document that allows the research team to receive all of the participants' de-identified bank information and data.
    ${ }^{45}$ The video explained the project and its objective as well as the partnership between BancoEstado and the research team. It indicated that participation was voluntary, free, and that the participant could withdraw from the study whenever he or she wanted. It also explained that the participant had to take a baseline survey and that the branch associate would indicate what steps to follow.
    ${ }^{46}$ The monitors were economics, sociology, or social work students. Nine monitors worked in January and February, seven in March, and eight in April.

[^23]:    ${ }^{48}$ SurveyCTO Collector is an Android application that gathers and encrypts the information that is input into the tablet.

[^24]:    ${ }^{49}$ Unidad de Fomento (UF) is a monetary unit used in Chile, and its value of which fluctuates based on inflation.

[^25]:    ${ }^{52}$ The website allows users to download the status of each message (i.e., whether or not it was received).

